## **COVER SHEET and SUMMARY**

**RE:** METROLINX BOARD of DIRECTORS, TORONTO ONTARIO

Board Meeting March 25, 2021

NORTH YONGE SUBWAY EXTENSION - BUSINESS CASE: SUBMISSION

TO: karina.avis.birch @ metrolinx.com

alba.brusenoe@metrolinx.com businesscase@metrolinx.com phil.verster@metrolinx.com

FROM: JEFFREY STONE URBAN TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANT, THORNHILL, ONTARIO (York Region)

or \_

## **SUMMARY of ENCLOSED CHART PERAMETERS:**

Please find attached / enclosed my brief submission chart about the above regional subway extension. The chart contains no measurable metrics as detailed costing is to be done in the future by professionals. Briefly is shows how better use of limited resources can be used for "the common good" within the guidelines of the Ontario Master Plan for the Greater Toronto Region so the area is more livable.

The enclosed summary chart briefly shows some of the benefits of extending into York Region the above regional transit works using selective transportation and non-transportation metrics. As this summary is also for public use, several factors have been expressed in layman's terms.

I have used selected factors that need to be considered and examined in more detail at a later time. Some of these points may not usually be examined in business cases but are used in urban planning and are important to residents and politicians when placing works in their Neighbourhoods. Other factors shown are considered by transportation and planning organizations and consultants when doing long range planning and public works construction. Land usage, finances, cost recovery, Development traffic flow and pollution are briefly mentioned. While other specific metrics are not.

Transportation factors are shown for all local transportation carriers involved, but not national railways. I have included residential housing as there exists a shortage of this and services for the disadvantaged These factors are usually not considered when discussing balanced neighbourhood development. It is hoped that the pros and cons of the factors in my chart will be also considered in your studies to assist in future construction and discussions, summaries and professional works. Hopefully, this submission will lead to more balanced planning which serves transit, taxpayers, the local area and travellers.

Should you need more information, please contact me via e-mail at yours, Jeffrey Stone

NORTH YONGE SUBWAY EXPANSION	REVIEW OF BENEFITS AND MALEFITS
TOTAL TOTAL SOCIAL PARTIES	THE TIET OF BEITEING AND INVIECTING

STATION or STOP	TRANSPORTATION FACTORS	NON- TRANSPORTATION FACTORS
CUMMER	Bypass -Rough-in for fuure station	Reduce future expansion costs
	Use as emergency exit / service entry	Increase tax base & density
	Lose Connection to 2 TTC bus routes	Access to commercial/residential-future
	Use for air or ventialation shaft	
CLARK	Bypass-Rough-in for fuure station	Reduce future development & buy costs
	Use as emergency exit/ service entry	Access to residential & commercial lands
	Use for subway crossover & air shaft	Reduce rezoning & planning time & costs
	NOT Connect to 5 YRT routes	Increase future tax base
JOHN, CENTRE	Use as emergency escape / service entry	Access to commercial/ Residential areas
(Historic District)	Bypass-Use for subway crossover	Reduce rezoning & development costs
& H.C. CEMETARY	Use for ventilation shafts	Increase tax base, decrease future buy \$
H. C. CEMETARY		Sacred lands = no trespass / development
ROYAL ORCHARD	Bypass-Rough-in for future station	More access to commercial & Residential
	Use as emegency escape / service entry	Reduce future planning time and costs
	Connect to 1 or 2 YRT route(s)	Reduce future land acquisition costs
	Use for subway crossover & air shaft	Increase future tax base
FINCH	Reduce TTC BAYS (remove #53,60 )	ADD to commercial & Resildential uses
	Reduce Parking lots- keep Taxi & Mobility	ADD in recreation, supportive housing
	Eliminate or reduce GO Facilities ?	Repurpose TTC, Hydro & surrounding lands
	Eliminate YRT & VIVA facilities	Added taxes reduce Expenses
	Keep bays for 2 or 3 TTC croutes	Increase density for better use of land
	Reduce vehicular traffic in area	
eterice	Han somfore lands on West City	Use mant of farmers and the dealers of the
STEELES	Use surface lands on West Side of Yonge	Use part of former retail lands & overflow
	South side-TTC only / both TTC & YRT use	Increased density, land use and taxes
	(North side-on street YRT looping)?	Better use of limited space for density
	TTC # 97= on-street or in terminal looping	Added taxes reduce Expenses
BRIDGE	if SFarther walk to #7 & 407 parking lots	Access to residential to reduce traffic/walk
D.NIDGE	Biz Express & Crosstown GO-separate loop	Increase density and land use mix
	Harder to transfer twixt modes	Increase recreation, supportive housing
	Closer to connect to L3T housing & stores	Added taxes reduce expenses
	possible subway crossover	The same same of the same of t
RICHMOND HILL	ADD bays for Biz Express & crosstown GO	Improve commercial mix & taxes
CITY CENTRE	ADD bays for 2 or 3 short turn YRT routes	increase density for better land use
(TERMINAL)	ADD bays for present bus ends of line	Increased taxes to offset expenses
<u>'</u>	ADD Platform for faster train turn around	· ·
	ADD additional Parking Structure	Eliminate some nearby commercial uses
	Improve inter-modal transfers & access	·
BANTRY	Consist storage yards to handle more cars	
NOTES :	NIGHT-TIME ROUTES are not mentioned / considered	Assume present terminals & route numbering kept
SUBMISSION by:	JEFFREY STONE , URBAN PLANNING	CONSULTANTS, THORNHILL, ONTARIO