

Appendix A5

Ontario Line Project

Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works – Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report



Metrolinx

Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works

Prepared by:

AECOM Canada Ltd. 105 Commerce Valley Drive West, 7th Floor Markham, ON L3T 7W3 Canada

T: 905.886.7022 F: 905.886.9494 www.aecom.com

Date: November 2021

Project #: 60611173

Statement of Qualifications and Limitations

The attached Report (the "Report") has been prepared by AECOM Canada Ltd. ("AECOM") for the benefit of the Client ("Client") in accordance with the agreement between AECOM and Client, including the scope of work detailed therein (the "Agreement").

The information, data, recommendations and conclusions contained in the Report (collectively, the "Information"):

- is subject to the scope, schedule, and other constraints and limitations in the Agreement and the qualifications contained in the Report (the "Limitations");
- represents AECOM's professional judgement in light of the Limitations and industry standards for the preparation of similar reports;
- may be based on information provided to AECOM which has not been independently verified;
- has not been updated since the date of issuance of the Report and its accuracy is limited to the time period and circumstances in which it was collected, processed, made or issued;
- must be read as a whole and sections thereof should not be read out of such context;
- was prepared for the specific purposes described in the Report and the Agreement; and
- in the case of subsurface, environmental or geotechnical conditions, may be based on limited testing and on the assumption that such conditions are uniform and not variable either geographically or over time.

AECOM shall be entitled to rely upon the accuracy and completeness of information that was provided to it and has no obligation to update such information. AECOM accepts no responsibility for any events or circumstances that may have occurred since the date on which the Report was prepared and, in the case of subsurface, environmental or geotechnical conditions, is not responsible for any variability in such conditions, geographically or over time.

AECOM agrees that the Report represents its professional judgement as described above and that the Information has been prepared for the specific purpose and use described in the Report and the Agreement, but AECOM makes no other representations, or any guarantees or warranties whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to the Report, the Information or any part thereof.

Without in any way limiting the generality of the foregoing, any estimates or opinions regarding probable construction costs or construction schedule provided by AECOM represent AECOM's professional judgement in light of its experience and the knowledge and information available to it at the time of preparation. Since AECOM has no control over market or economic conditions, prices for construction labour, equipment or materials or bidding procedures, AECOM, its directors, officers and employees are not able to, nor do they, make any representations, warranties or guarantees whatsoever, whether express or implied, with respect to such estimates or opinions, or their variance from actual construction costs or schedules, and accept no responsibility for any loss or damage arising therefrom or in any way related thereto. Persons relying on such estimates or opinions do so at their own risk.

Except (1) as agreed to in writing by AECOM and Client; (2) as required by-law; or (3) to the extent used by governmental reviewing agencies for the purpose of obtaining permits or approvals, the Report and the Information may be used and relied upon only by Client.

AECOM accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, to parties other than Client who may obtain access to the Report or the Information for any injury, loss or damage suffered by such parties arising from their use of, reliance upon, or decisions or actions based on the Report or any of the Information ("improper use of the Report"), except to the extent those parties have obtained the prior written consent of AECOM to use and rely upon the Report and the Information. Any injury, loss or damages arising from improper use of the Report shall be borne by the party making such use.

This Statement of Qualifications and Limitations is attached to and forms part of the Report and any use of the Report is subject to the terms hereof.

AECOM: 2015-04-13 © 2009-2015 AECOM Canada Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Metrolinx

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

Authors

Report Prepared By:



Nayer Daher, M.Eng. Traffic Analyst, AECOM

Report Reviewed By:

Ilya Sher, C.E.T., L.E.L. Manager Traffic Engineering, AECOM

Sarah Schmied, BSc, BEd Environmental Planner, AECOM

Nicole Cooke, MES Senior Environmental Planner, AECOM

Executive Summary

ES.1 Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works

The Ontario Line Project (the Project) is being assessed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project under the Environmental Assessment Act. Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project outlines a Project-specific environmental assessment process that includes an Environmental Conditions Report, Environmental Impact Assessment Report, and an opportunity for Early Works Report(s) for assessment of works that are ready to proceed in advance of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report. The Environmental Conditions Report documents the local environmental conditions of the Ontario Line Study Area and provides a preliminary description of the potential environmental impacts from the Project. Information outlined in the Environmental Conditions Report is used to inform the Early Works Report(s) and Environmental Impact Assessment Report, which study environmental impacts in further detail and confirm and refine preliminary mitigation measures identified in the Environmental Conditions Report.

Ontario Line early works are components of the Project that are proposed to proceed before the completion of the Ontario Line environmental impact assessment process. An overview of the Project is provided in **Section 1.2**. Early works are defined in Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project under the Environmental Assessment Act as follows:

"any components of the Ontario Line Project that Metrolinx proposes to proceed with before the completion of the Ontario Line assessment process, such as station construction, rail corridor expansion, utility relocation or bridge replacement or expansion."

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works are considered to be of strategic importance in enabling the timely implementation of the Project. These early works are being advanced where the Project interfaces with GO Expansion and the East Harbor Station (East Harbour Station is situated immediately to the west of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works). Advancing early works and supporting environmental and technical studies in this area provides planning and design efficiencies for the Project, GO Expansion and the East Harbour Station, and facilitates the timely implementation of these projects.

These early works will set the groundwork for other major construction for the Project, reducing risk of construction delays to the main contracts by completing the joint corridor work in advance of the main contracts.

AECOM Canada Limited (AECOM) was retained by Metrolinx and Infrastructure Ontario to complete the Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Report for the Project. This Final Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report (this Report) supports the Ontario Line Final Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Report and has been prepared for the Project to document the traffic and transportation impact assessment of Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works (**Figure ES-1**).

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works are planned along the Lakeshore East rail corridor between approximately Eastern Avenue and Pape Avenue and will include:

- Reconfiguration of existing GO tracks to support future Ontario Line infrastructure;
- Replacement of the existing rail bridges at Queen Street East, Dundas Street East and Logan Avenue;
- Construction of new bridges at Dundas Street East and Logan Avenue to support future Ontario Line tracks;
- Construction of the foundations for GO Overhead Catenary System (OCS) poles and supporting infrastructure to accommodate future fourth GO track;
- Construction of retaining walls; and
- Construction of noise barriers, including east of Pape Avenue.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works components and construction activities are further described in **Section 1.2**.

The purpose of this Report is to:

- Describe the local environmental conditions related to the identified transportation network and transit network within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area;
- Assess the potential impacts of Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works construction activities on the identified transportation network and transit network; and,
- Identify mitigation measures and monitoring activities for any potential negative impacts on traffic and transportation operations within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

This Report supports the Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Report prepared in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project.

Refer to **Section 1** of this Report for more information related to the Project and a detailed early works description.



Figure ES-1: Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Conceptual Design





ES.2 Methodology

This Report documents the assessment of Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works construction impacts related to traffic and transportation operations. Impacts associated with Project operations will be addressed as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report, under a separate cover. Detailed methodology is provided in **Section 2**.

Local Environmental Conditions

The following traffic and transportation elements within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area were assessed:

- Transportation network:
 - Road Network
 - Pedestrian Network
 - Cycling Network
 - Rail Network
- Transit network.

AECOM received available traffic data (i.e., turning movement counts and signal timing plans) at the intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area from the City of Toronto. In addition, the following secondary sources were used to conduct the background information review as part of the Ontario Line Final Environmental Conditions Report (AECOM, 2020)¹:

- City of Toronto's website:
 - Open Data Portal (City of Toronto, no date);
 - Road Classification System Update (City of Toronto, 2018); and
 - Application Information Centre (City of Toronto, no date);
 - Vision Zero Mapping Tool (City of Toronto, 2020).
- Transit schedule and route information:
 - GO Transit (GO Transit, 2020);
 - VIA Rail (VIA Rail, 2020); and
 - Toronto Transit Commission schedules (Toronto Transit Commission, 2019).

A quantitative multi-modal level of service assessment was undertaken at the intersections and road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area where traffic data was available. The automobile level of service assessment was completed using

^{1.} The Ontario Line Final Environmental Conditions Report (AECOM, 2020) was published on November 30, 2020 in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project.

Synchro 9 capacity analysis software in accordance with the methodologies outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual and in line with the capacity analysis guidelines outlined in the City of Toronto's Guidelines for Using Synchro 9 (City of Toronto, 2016). The City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines (City of Ottawa, 2015) were generally followed to determine the level of service for non-auto modes of travel (i.e., pedestrians, cyclists, and transit). The City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines are widely used in transportation studies within Ontario and specifically the City of Toronto which has approved multiple studies in which they were used (e.g., Yorkdale Transportation Master Plan, Golden Mile Transportation Master Plan, etc.).

The level of service designation for all modes of travel range from level of service 'A' to level of service 'F' based on the relative attractiveness (e.g., the amount of average delay for automobile and transit users, the level of comfort, safety, and convenience experienced by pedestrians and cyclists) of the traffic and transportation elements within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. A level of service target was set for each mode of travel to ensure that the respective mode users experience a minimum desirable level of service which is consistent with both the surrounding land use designation and the road classification. The levels of service targets set for motorized vehicles (i.e., automobiles and transit) and active transportation users are level of service 'D' and level of service 'C', respectively. This indicates that for motorized vehicles, level of service 'A' through 'D' typically indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operation users, level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'A' through 'C' indicate acceptable operations.

The Ontario Line Final Environmental Conditions Report (AECOM, 2020) notes that turning movement counts and signal timing plans were not available at some intersections within the Ontario Line Study Area, and were not collected through new traffic surveys considering the uncharacteristic traffic conditions as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result of the data limitations related to the identified road network within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, a quantitative traffic assessment of some intersections could not be undertaken.

Impact Assessment

This early works impact assessment and development of mitigation measures and monitoring activities considered the following in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project under the Environmental Assessment Act:

- Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works components as described in Section 1.3.1;
- The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint and Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area as described in Section 1.3.2;

- Lakeshore East Joint Corridor construction activities as described in Section 1.3.3; and,
- Local environmental conditions within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area as described in Section 3.

A quantitative impact assessment was not completed at this stage as the detailed construction staging schemes that describe the potential modifications to the existing transportation network were not available. Quantitative impact assessment will be completed, if required, as detailed design progresses and this information becomes available. The quantitative impact assessment may include a larger study area.

ES.3 Local Environmental Conditions

The findings of the quantitative multi-modal level of service assessment of the existing transportation and transit networks within the East Harbour Study Area are summarized as follows:

- Automobiles experience acceptable Automobile Level of Service 'C' or better at all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections in both the AM and PM peak hours;
- Pedestrians experience critical Pedestrian Level of Service 'D' at all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections except at the intersection of Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue where pedestrians experience acceptable Pedestrian Level of Service 'C'. Pedestrians experience critical Pedestrian Level of Service 'D' or 'E' along all the studied road segments, except along the studied section of Queen Street where pedestrian experience acceptable Pedestrian Level of Service 'B';
- Cyclists experience acceptable Bicycle Level of Service 'B' at all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections. Cyclists accommodate through the on-street bike lanes along Dundas Street and Logan Avenue experience acceptable Bicycle Level of Service 'B'. Along the remaining road segments, cyclists experience critical Bicycle Level of Service 'D' or 'E'; and
- Transit vehicles operate at acceptable Transit Level of Service 'C' or 'D' at all signalized intersection within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study, except for the intersection of Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue which operates at critical Transit Level of Service 'E' in the PM peak hour but acceptable Transit Level of Service 'C' in the AM peak hour. Along road segments, all transit vehicles experience an acceptable Transit Level of Service 'D'.

Local environmental conditions are further described in Section 3.

ES.4 Potential Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Activities

Section 3.2.2 includes information related to potential impacts, mitigation measures, and monitoring activities for the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works. Potential impacts may result from early works construction activities, including temporary closures and realignment of transportation network components (i.e., lanes, sidewalks, and rail tracks) and increased traffic within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. Mitigation measures and monitoring activities are recommended to minimize the potential impacts during construction.

Refer to **Table ES-1** for a complete list of potential impacts, mitigation measures, and monitoring activities for the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works.

ES.5 Permits and Approvals

Section 5 notes that federal or provincial permits and approvals related to traffic and transportation are not required for the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works. Metrolinx will co-ordinate with the City of Toronto and Toronto Parking Authority for transportation-related permits and approvals (e.g., street occupation permit) prior to construction, as required.

Environmental Component	Potential Impacts	Mitigation Measure(s)	Monitoring Activities
Transportation Network – Roads	 If required, temporary lane closures along some of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads (i.e., Queen Street East, Dundas Street East, and Logan Avenue) may result in impeding traffic flow and increased average delay of vehicles, including emergency vehicles. Construction vehicle traffic may impact traffic operations resulting in increased vehicular delays and queue lengths, especially at intersections where construction traffic is required to make left-turning movements. Potential overlapping construction timelines with other planned projects (e.g., capital projects and local developments) nearby may result in impacts to the transportation network and its road users. 	 A quantitative traffic impact assessment will be completed, if required, as project planning progresses to consider vehicular traffic impacts as a result of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works. Develop and implement a transit and traffic management plan(s), which could include temporary changes to intersection lane configurations, traffic signal timing optimization, modifications to existing signal timing plans, etc. The transit and traffic management plan(s) will also address specific emergency services requirements in consultation with the City of Toronto. Traffic signal timing optimization may be assessed/implemented to increase capacity of affected intersections and to aid in the movement of traffic. Traffic signal timing adjustments would require coordination between Metrolinx and City of Toronto, and will be undertaken if required, to determine appropriate changes to traffic signal timings. Consider scheduling construction activities during off-peak periods and weekends to minimize disruptions to road users during the critical peak periods. Co-ordinate with the City of Toronto regarding other ongoing construction projects when scheduling the early works activities to maintain the mobility of road users. Metrolinx will work with the City of Toronto and corresponding Business Improvement Areas to maintain access to businesses and minimize the potential impacts of the early works. 	The effectiveness of the transit and traffic management plan(s) will be monitored throughout the construction period and adjustments will be made based on actual field observations, as needed.
Transportation Network – Active Transportation	 Potential traffic congestion along the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads, as a result of the increase in heavy vehicle traffic, could increase pedestrians' and cyclists' exposure to traffic. If required, temporary realignment of the existing sidewalks along some of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads (i.e., Queen Street, Dundas Street, and Logan Avenue) may increase walking distances and impact the convenience of pedestrians. 	 Reduce interference with pedestrians and cyclists. This may include fencing, hoarding (minimum 2 meters high, solid, and secured), shared-lane markings, signals, wayfinding signs, and lighting as required to provide pedestrians and cyclists with safe, accessible, and continuous routes. If required, co-ordinate with the City of Toronto to ensure any modifications to pedestrian crossing distances at signalized intersections are reflected in revised pedestrian clearance timings. Any temporary pedestrian facilities including temporary or relocated Toronto Transit Commission transit stops will be designed to meet Toronto Transit Commission accessibility standards. Implement flagging where construction vehicles are present to ensure construction vehicle operators are aware of pedestrian and vehicular traffic within the construction area. 	The effectiveness of the transit and traffic management plan(s) will be monitored throughout the construction period and adjustments will be made based on actual field observations, as needed.
Transportation Network – Rail	Early works construction may require temporary full or partial closure of existing rail tracks, which may disrupt existing commuter and freight rail operations. The extent of track closures is dependent on the type of equipment used and construction sequencing.	Consult with rail operators with current service along the rail corridor (i.e., VIA Rail, Canadian National Railway, and Canadian Pacific Railway) to assess how track closures would impact their service and co-ordinate temporary schedules to accommodate all rail services on the open tracks.	The effectiveness of the transit and traffic management plan(s) will be monitored throughout the construction period. Adjustments to the construction staging plans and transit and traffic management plan(s) will be made based on actual field observations, as needed.
Transit Network	Potential increase of construction vehicles traffic could result in travel time delays to existing surface transit routes (i.e., streetcar routes #301 Queen Blue Night, #306 Carlton Blue Night, #501 Queen, #503 Kingston, and #506 Carlton, and bus routes #72 Pape, #143 Downtown/Beach Express, and #325 Don Mills Blue Night) that pass through the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections.	 Co-ordinate with the Toronto Transit Commission and notify transit users regarding travel delays to the bus/streetcar services in advance. Consider scheduling some construction activities during off-peak periods and weekends to minimize delays to bus services during the critical peak periods. 	Transit services will be monitored through actual field observations throughout the construction period and additional mitigation measures will be considered, as needed.

Table ES-1: Potential Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Activities for the Lakesho	ore East Joint Corridor Early Works
--	-------------------------------------

Table of Contents

			page
1.	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	Purpose of the Ontario Line Early Works	1
		1.1.1 Purpose of this Report	1
	1.2	Ontario Line Project Overview	5
	1.3	Early Works Description	5
		1.3.1 Project Description	5
		1.3.2 Early Works Project Footprint and Study Area	6
		1.3.3 Construction Activities	8
2.	Meth	odology	10
	2.1	Local Environmental Conditions	10
		2.1.1 Data Collection	10
		2.1.2 Multi-Modal Level of Service Assessment	11
		2.1.2.1 Automobile Level of Service	11
		2.1.2.2 Pedestrian Level of Service	12
		2.1.2.3 Bicycle Level of Service	14
		2.1.2.4 Transit Level of Service	15
	2.2	Impact Assessment	17
3.	Loca	al Environmental Conditions	18
	3.1	Transportation Network	18
		3.1.1 Road Network and Intersection Operations	18
		3.1.1.1 Road Network	18
		3.1.1.2 Intersection Operations	20
		3.1.2 Pedestrian Network and Operations	22
		3.1.2.1 Pedestrian Network	22
		3.1.2.2 Pedestrian Operations	24
		3.1.3 Cycling Network and Operations	26
		3.1.3.1 Cycling Network	26
		2.1.4 Poil Network	20
	30	5.1.4 Nall Network and Operations	30
	5.2	3.2.1 Transit Network	30
		3.2.2 Transit Operations	35
	-		
4.	Pote	ntial Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Monitoring	~-
	Activ	/Ities	37
5.	Pern	nits and Approvals	40
6.	Refe	rences	41

List of Figures

Figure 1-1:	Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Conceptual Design	3
Figure 1-2:	This figure shows the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint and Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	7
Figure 3-1:	Existing Road Network Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	19
Figure 3-2:	Existing Pedestrian Network Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	23
Figure 3-3:	Pedestrian Level of Service Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	25
Figure 3-4:	Existing Cycling Network Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	27
Figure 3-5:	Bicycle Level of Service Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	28
Figure 3-6:	Existing Transit Network Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	34
Figure 3-7:	Transit Level of Service Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	36

List of Tables

Table 1-1:	Report Contents in Accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project	2
Table 1-2:	Anticipated Construction Activities for the Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works	9
Table 2-1:	Key Determining Factors for Pedestrian Level of Service	14
Table 2-2:	Key Determining Factors for Bicycle Level of Service	15
Table 2-3:	Key Determining Factors for Transit Level of Service	16
Table 3-1:	Traffic Operations at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020) during the AM and PM Peak Hours	21
Table 3-2:	Pedestrian Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020)	24
Table 3-3:	Pedestrian Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Road Segments under Existing Conditions (2020)	24
Table 3-4:	Bicycle Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020)	29

Metrolinx

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

Table 3-5:	Bicycle Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Road Segments under Existing Conditions (2020)	29
Table 3-6:	Existing Transit Routes Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area	31
Table 3-7:	Transit Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020)	35
Table 3-8:	Transit Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Road Segments under Existing Conditions (2020)	35
Table 4-1:	Potential Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Activities for the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works	39

Appendices

- Appendix A. Turning Movement Counts
- Appendix B. Signal Timing Plans
- Appendix C. Multi-Modal Level of Service Criteria
- Appendix D. Synchro Modelling Assumptions and Parameters
- Appendix E. Synchro Reports
- Appendix F. Multi-Modal Level of Service Results

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Ontario Line Early Works

The Ontario Line Project (the Project) is being assessed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project under the Environmental Assessment Act. Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project outlines a Project-specific environmental assessment process that includes an Environmental Conditions Report, Environmental Impact Assessment Report, and an opportunity for Early Works Report(s) for assessment of works that are ready to proceed in advance of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report. The Environmental Conditions Report documents the local environmental conditions of the Ontario Line Study Area and provides a preliminary description of the potential environmental impacts from the Project. Information outlined in the Environmental Conditions Report is used to inform the Early Works Report(s) and Environmental Impact Assessment Report, which study environmental impacts in further detail and confirm and refine preliminary mitigation measures identified in the Environmental Conditions Report.

Ontario Line early works are components of the Project that are proposed to proceed before the completion of the Ontario Line environmental impact assessment process. An overview of the Project is provided in **Section 1.2**. Early works are defined in Ontario Regulation: 341/20: Ontario Line Project under the Environmental Assessment Act as follows:

"any components of the Ontario Line Project that Metrolinx proposes to proceed with before the completion of the Ontario Line assessment process, such as station construction, rail corridor expansion, utility relocation or bridge replacement or expansion."

Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works are considered to be of strategic importance in enabling the timely implementation of the Project. The early works are being advanced where the Project interfaces with GO Expansion and the East Harbour Station. Advancing early works and supporting environmental and technical studies in this area provides planning and design efficiencies for the Project and GO Expansion and facilitates the timely implementation of both.

Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works are described in detail in Section 1.2.

1.1.1 Purpose of this Report

AECOM Canada Limited (AECOM) was retained by Metrolinx and Infrastructure Ontario to complete the Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Report for the

Project. This Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report (this Report) supports the Ontario Line Final Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Report and has been prepared for the Project to document the traffic and transportation impact assessment of Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works (**Figure 1-1**). The early works components and construction activities are described in **Section 1.3**.

The purpose of this Report is to:

- Describe the local environmental conditions related to the identified transportation network and transit network within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area;
- Assess the potential impacts of Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works construction activities on the identified transportation network and transit network; and
- Identify mitigation measures and monitoring activities for any potential negative impacts on traffic and transportation operations within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

This Report has been prepared in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project and contains the information outlined in **Table 1-1**.

Table 1-1: Report Contents in Accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project

Reg. Section	Requirement	Report Section
Section 8(2)2	The rationale for proceeding with the early works.	Section 1.1
Section 8(2)4	A description of the local environmental conditions at the site of the early works.	Section 3
Section 8(2)6	Metrolinx's assessment and evaluation of the impacts that the preferred method of carrying out the early works and other methods might have on the environment, and Metrolinx's criteria for assessment and evaluation of those impacts.	Section 3.2.2
Section 8(2)7	A description of any measures proposed by Metrolinx for mitigating any negative impacts that the preferred method of carrying out the early works might have on the environment.	Section 3.2.2
Section 8(2)8	A description of the means Metrolinx proposes to use to monitor or verify the effectiveness of mitigation measures proposed.	Section 3.2.2
Section 8(2)9	A description of any municipal, provincial, federal or other approvals or permits that may be required for the early works.	Section 5









1.2 Ontario Line Project Overview

Metrolinx, an agency of the Province of Ontario, is proceeding with the planning and development of the Ontario Line, extending from Exhibition/Ontario Place to the Ontario Science Centre in the City of Toronto.

The Project is a new approximately 15.6-kilometre subway line with connections to Line 1 (Yonge-University) subway service at Osgoode and Queen Stations, Line 2 (Bloor-Danforth) subway service at Pape Station, and Line 5 (Eglinton Crosstown) light rail transit service at the future Science Centre Station. Fifteen stations are proposed, with additional connections to three GO Transit lines (Lakeshore East, Lakeshore West and Stouffville), and the Queen, King, Bathurst, Spadina, Harbourfront, and Gerrard/Carlton streetcar routes. The Project will reduce crowding on Line 1 and provide connections to new high-order rapid transit neighbourhoods. The Project will be constructed in a dedicated right-of-way with a combination of elevated (i.e., above existing rail corridor/roadway), tunnelled (i.e., underground), and at-grade (i.e., at grade with existing rail corridor) segments at various locations.

1.3 Early Works Description

1.3.1 Project Description

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works are planned along the Lakeshore East rail corridor between approximately Eastern Avenue and Pape Avenue and will include:

- Reconfiguration of existing GO tracks to support future Ontario Line infrastructure,
- Replacement of the existing rail bridges at Queen Street East, Dundas Street East and Logan Avenue,
- Construction of two new bridges at Dundas Street East and Logan Avenue to support future Ontario Line tracks,
- Construction of the foundations for GO Overhead Catenary System (OCS) poles and supporting infrastructure to accommodate future fourth GO track;
- Construction of retaining walls; and
- Construction of noise barriers, including east of Pape Avenue.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works will support the future Ontario Line infrastructure located between Eastern Avenue and Pape Avenue (e.g., Leslieville and Gerrard stations, and Ontario Line tracks). These early works will also streamline implementation of GO Expansion through the construction of supporting infrastructure for the future fourth track for the Lakeshore East GO corridor and the foundations for GO Overhead Catenary System (OCS) poles. The noise barriers constructed as part of these early works will mitigate noise impacts from both Ontario Line and GO operations.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works components are shown in Figure 1-1.

Rail corridor and third-party utility relocations and protection will be completed to facilitate the work described above as well as the future Ontario Line tunnel facilities. Utilities to be relocated include, but are not limited to, Bell 360 and existing Canadian National/GO signal underground fibre optic cables.

1.3.2 Early Works Project Footprint and Study Area

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint, shown in **Figure 1-2**, is defined as the area of direct disturbance associated with the early works construction activities, including anticipated required construction staging and laydown areas² and construction access. Construction is anticipated to occur primarily within the existing Metrolinx right-of-way (Metrolinx-owned rail corridor/properties). The extent of lands anticipated to be temporarily impacted by construction staging/laydown and access will continue to be refined and reduced to the extent feasible as project planning progresses.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint extends from approximately 325 metres east of the Lower Don River in the west, follows the Lakeshore East rail corridor northeast from Eastern Avenue in the south to Jones Avenue in the north.

For the purpose of this Report, the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, also shown in **Figure 1-2**, includes the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint and adjacent road segments and intersections. The adjacent road segments and intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area were identified as they meet either of the following criteria:

- Directly impacted by the early works activities within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint (i.e., construction of the new rail brides is anticipated to result in potential lane closure along Queen Street, Dundas Street, and Logan Avenue); or,
- Provide connection to the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Project Footprint (i.e., Eastern Avenue, Queen Street, Dundas Street, Gerrard Street, Logan Avenue, Carlaw Avenue, Jones Avenue, Booth Avenue, and McGee Street) and therefore may be considered as a route for construction vehicles, where heavy vehicles are permitted.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area assessed in this Report is specific to the traffic and transportation impact assessment. The study areas for other environmental disciplines are outlined in the Ontario Line Final Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Report.

^{2.} Staging and laydown areas are areas for the temporary storage of construction equipment and materials.



Figure 1-2: This figure shows the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint and Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area





1.3.3 Construction Activities

Table 1-2 provides a description of the anticipated construction activities for the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works. These typical activities serve as the basis for the assessment of construction-related potential environmental impacts. These activities may be expanded, further refined, or found to be unnecessary as the Project progresses through detailed design and construction.

Table 1-2: Anticipated Construction Activities for the Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works

Anticipated Construction Activity	Description	
Site Preparation	 Mobilization of equipment and temporary facilities to the site. Clearing and grubbing of vegetation, tree removal and protection. Protection of trees & sensitive environmental features. Erection of temporary and permanent fences. Installation of environmental management features (e.g., erosion and sediment controls). Dewatering works. Preparation of temporary laydown areas including access roads, fencing and lighting. Preparation of temporary access roads to construction sites including temporary shoring, access roads, fencing, signage, gate and lighting. Temporary closure of road curb lanes. Removal of roadway, sidewalks, buildings and retaining walls impacted by temporary or permanent conditions. 	 Site compaction Vegetation ren Excavation eq Haulage/dump
Site Servicing/ Removals/ Demolition	 Relocation and/or extension of services and utilities on the site; which may include both underground and aerial services and utilities (e.g., sewers, water, electrical, communications, gas). This may also involve installation of utilities within the site. Includes utilities on the rail corridor and off the rail corridor. Demolition and/or alteration of existing buildings and/or structures such as retaining walls and existing bridges. Removal and reinstatement of railway track. Locates and daylighting of underground utilities. 	 Excavation equipment, jack Track stabilize Hand tools. Mobile crane. Flatbed trucks. Boom truck. Spreader for tr
Construction of Structures	 All structures will be constructed using standard civil construction techniques. Includes noise walls, pre-cast and cast-in-place retaining walls including stormwater management, grounding, bonding and backfill using selected material. 	 Foundation pla Augured piles Drill rigs. Mobile cranes Concrete truck
Construction of Bridges	 Will be constructed using standard civil construction techniques. Dewatering is anticipated. Includes stormwater management grounding and bonding. 	 Augured piles Drill rigs. Mobile cranes Flatbed trucks, Back hoe. Jack hammers
Temporary Road /Sidewalk Closures	All road / sidewalk closures will follow standard traffic control management guidelines.	 Temporary traf traffic barrels.
Site Grading and Earthworks	 Removal of topsoil and excavation of unsuitable material and disposal off site Borrow additional material for fill Grading and compaction of track bed including subdrain Installation of sub ballast and pre-ballasting 	 Excavator. Dozer. Motor grader. Compactor. Water truck. Dump trucks.
Management of Stormwater	All precipitation falling within the site will be managed as stormwater within a designed system of collection, conveyance, retention and discharge features, as required. The system will be designed and operated in compliance with applicable standards and regulatory requirements. Surface flows within the site will be managed within the site to ensure discharge to off-site receivers (i.e., municipal storm sewers) is appropriate in terms of water quantity and quality.	 Site compaction Groundwater p
Track construction	 Staged realignment of existing tracks (rail on timber ties). Installation of 4 tracks in final position including ballast utilizing new rail and new concrete ties. 	 High rail excavistabilizer.
Signaling infrastructure alteration/installation	 Staged upgrade of track signals to reflect temporary staged realignment of tracks and installation of four tracks in a final position. Relocation of existing bridge and bungalow including temporary track crossings, bridge foundations, aspects, bungalow, power supply, conduits and cables. Removal from site of existing signal bridge and bungalow. 	 Backhoe. Vacuum truck. High rail crane Drill rig. Concrete pumping
Fiber Optic Cable (FOC) relocation	Staged relocation of multiple Fiber Optic Cable (FOC) to reflect temporary staged realignment of tracks and installation of four tracks in a final position, including multiple conduits, hand wells, split steel casings and splicing.	Backhoe.Vacuum truck.

Associated Equipment

on equipment and grading equipment. moval equipment. quipment. o trucks.

uipment including backhoe, dump trucks, spoil removal khammers, vacuum truck and high rail equipment. er.

rack work.

acement equipment. or rammed aggregate piers.

s and hoists. ks, pumps and vibrators. s or rammed aggregate piers.

and hoists. Concrete trucks, pumps and vibrators. s, crane, excavators, and light equipment.

affic control devices such as signs, signals, barriers,

on equipment and general grading equipment. pumping equipment.

vators, Track laying machine, ballast regulator,

•

p and concrete trucks.

2. Methodology

This Report documents the assessment of Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works construction impacts. Impacts associated with Project operations will be addressed as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report, under a separate cover.

2.1 Local Environmental Conditions

The following traffic and transportation elements within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area were assessed:

- Transportation network:
 - Road Network
 - Pedestrian Network
 - Cycling Network
 - Rail Network
- Transit network.

The types and sources of traffic data collected for the above-noted transportation elements are summarized in **Section 2.1.1**. The methodology and assumptions followed in the quantitative assessment of the transportation elements in the existing conditions are discussed in detail in **Section 2.1.2**.

2.1.1 Data Collection

Most recent available Turning Movement Count data at intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area were provided by the City of Toronto, consisting of eight-hour counts of vehicles (cars, trucks, and buses), pedestrians, and bicycles and collected at 15-minute intervals during the weekday peak periods. The Turning Movement Count data are presented in **Appendix A**.

In addition, the signal timing plans for the signalized intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area were provided by the City of Toronto and are presented in **Appendix B**.

AECOM completed a desktop background review of secondary source information to complement the data provided by the City of Toronto and establish local traffic and

transportation conditions within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. The desktop resources included the following:

- Review of City of Toronto's Open Data Portal (City of Toronto, n.d.) to obtain mapping data related to roads, pedestrian and cyclist routes related to the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area;
- Review of City of Toronto's Road Classification System Update (City of Toronto, 2018) and Vision Zero Mapping Tool (City of Toronto, 2020) to obtain road classification and speed information related to roads within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area;
- Review of City of Toronto's Application Information Centre (City of Toronto, n.d.) to obtain some of the missing traffic data at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections; and,
- Review of the GO Transit website (GO Transit, 2020), VIA Rail website (VIA Rail, 2020), and Toronto Transit Commission website (Toronto Transit Commission, 2019) to obtain transit schedule and route data related to the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

The Ontario Line Final Environmental Conditions Report (AECOM, 2020)³ notes that turning movement counts and signal timing plans were not available at some intersections within the Ontario Line Study Area, and were not collected through new traffic surveys considering the uncharacteristic traffic conditions as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. As a result of the data limitations related to the identified road network within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, a quantitative traffic assessment of some intersections could not be undertaken.

2.1.2 Multi-Modal Level of Service Assessment

2.1.2.1 Automobile Level of Service

The intersection capacity analyses for the intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area were completed using Synchro 9 capacity analysis software in accordance with the methodologies outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board, 2000) and in line with the capacity analysis guidelines outlined in the City's Guidelines for Using Synchro 9 (City of Toronto, 2016). Synchro models were developed to replicate local traffic conditions within the Lakeshore East

^{3.} The Ontario Line Final Environmental Conditions Report (AECOM, 2020) was published on November 30, 2020 in accordance with Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project.

Joint Corridor Study Area as the 2020 Existing Conditions⁴ (herein referred to as Existing Conditions) during the AM and PM peak hours on a typical weekday.

The measures of effectiveness used to assess an intersection's operations are level of service and volume-to-capacity ratio. Level of service is an indicator describing the performance of individual intersection movements and of an overall intersection from the traffic operations standpoint. The level of service designation ranges from level of service 'A' to level of service 'F' based on the amount of average delay that a motorist experiences before taking a specific manoeuvre at an intersection. Level of service 'A' through 'D' typically indicate acceptable operations, while level of service 'E' indicates increasing congestion and at capacity operations, and level of service 'F' indicates long delays and, in some cases, severe traffic congestion. The level of service criteria for signalized and unsignalized intersections are attached in **Appendix C**. The level of service 'D' which implies that intersections and movements with level of service 'E' or worse are considered to be operating at critical levels.

The volume to capacity ratio is another indicator representing the capacity utilization at an intersection or for a specific movement. A volume to capacity ratio of 1.00 indicates that a movement or an intersection is operating at capacity. The target volume to capacity ratio is 0.84 which implies that intersections and movements with volume to capacity ratio exceeding 0.84 are considered to be operating at critical levels.

The key assumptions and modifications made to the default values of the Synchro parameters in the traffic modelling exercise are summarized in **Appendix D**.

2.1.2.2 Pedestrian Level of Service

The City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service tool was used to assign a Pedestrian Level of Service to both road segments and signalized intersections along a stretch of road, based on level of comfort, safety, and convenience experienced by pedestrians as they travel along that stretch of road. The City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines are widely used in transportation studies within Ontario and specifically the City of Toronto which has approved multiple studies in which they were used (e.g., Yorkdale Transportation Master Plan and Golden Mile Transportation Master Plan).

^{4.} Traffic data were collected between 2015 and 2018 and were projected to year 2020 using an annual growth rate of 1% to account for the ambient growth in traffic volumes. The observed turning movement counts from 2015 and 2018 are provided in Appendix A. The assumptions used in the analysis, including the adopted growth rate, are presented in Appendix D.

The key determining factors in evaluating the Pedestrian Level of Service are summarized in **Table 2-1**. The Pedestrian Level of Service on a road segment is determined based on the quality of pedestrian facilities and impact of adjacent motorized traffic on pedestrians. It should be noted that sidewalk crowding was not considered in determining the segment Pedestrian Level of Service due to the absence of accurate pedestrian volumes along the sidewalks of the studied road segments. At the intersection level, and as per the City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines, the Pedestrian Level of Service is evaluated separately for each approach to a signalized intersection. For any given approach to a signalized intersection, the Pedestrian Level of Service is considered as the worst of the following two levels of service for the specific approach: (1) the level of service determined based on average delay to pedestrians crossing the specific intersection approach as per the Highway Capacity Manual methodology and (2) the level of service determined as per the pedestrian exposure to traffic at signalized intersections scoring technique. The overall intersection Pedestrian Level of Service is determined as the worst Pedestrian Level of Service among the intersection approaches. In evaluating the Pedestrian Level of Service along the road segments and at the signalized intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the following assumptions were made in estimating the key determining factors (identified in Table 2-1):

- The sidewalk width, boulevard width, and corner radius were estimated using aerial street views in Google Maps;
- For any given road segment, the average daily curb lane traffic volume was estimated separately for each direction of travel by assuming that the average traffic volumes of the AM and PM peak hours represent 10% of the average daily traffic volumes in the corresponding direction of travel and that the traffic lanes are equally utilized by motorized vehicles;
- For any given approach to the intersection, the pedestrian green time / effective walk time was calculated as per the formula included in the Addendum to the City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines (2015) by conservatively assuming that no pedestrian is initiating their crossing during the Flashing Do Not Walk time; and,
- For any given road segment within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the vehicular operating speed is assumed to be equal to the corresponding posted speed on the road segment.

Table 2-1. Rey Determining Factors for Fedesthan Level of Service		
Segment Ped	estrian Level of Service	Intersection Pedestrian Level of Service

Table 2-1:	Key Determining Factors for Pedestrian Level of Service
------------	---

 Sidewalk width Boulevard width Average daily curb lane traffic volume Average vehicular operating speed Presence of on-street parking Street width (number of lanes to be crossed) Right- and left-turn conflicts based on signal phasing (e.g., permitted, protected/permitted, protected, and prohibited) and exclusive pedestrian phases (leading pedestrian interval) Right-turn on red restrictions Corner radius and type of right turn channel (e.g., no channel, right-turn channel with receiving lane, and smart right-turn channel) Crosswalk type (e.g., standard transverse marking, textures/coloured crosswalks, and high visibility markings) Cycle Length and pedestrian green time 		
	 Sidewalk width Boulevard width Average daily curb lane traffic volume Average vehicular operating speed Presence of on-street parking 	 Street width (number of lanes to be crossed) Right- and left-turn conflicts based on signal phasing (e.g., permitted, protected/permitted, protected, and prohibited) and exclusive pedestrian phases (leading pedestrian interval) Right-turn on red restrictions Corner radius and type of right turn channel (e.g., no channel, right-turn channel with receiving lane, and smart right-turn channel) Crosswalk type (e.g., standard transverse marking, textures/coloured crosswalks, and high visibility markings) Cycle Length and pedestrian green time

Source: City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines (2015)

As per the City of Toronto's Official Plan (2019), land use designations within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area vary between "Employment Areas", "Mixed Use Areas", and "Neighbourhoods". As shown in Exhibit 22 in the City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines, the Pedestrian Level of Service target for these areas is generally Level of Service 'C'. With the noted Pedestrian Level of Service target, the intersections, individual intersection approaches, and road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area with Pedestrian Level of Service 'D' or worse are considered to be operating at critical levels.

2.1.2.3 Bicycle Level of Service

The City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service tool assigns a Bicycle Level of Service to both road segments and signalized intersections on a stretch of road, based on the level of traffic stress experienced by cyclists as they travel along the stretch of road. The level of traffic stress of a cycling facility in turn represents the degree of comfort experienced by a cyclist and the targeted category of cyclists (e.g., novice cyclists and experienced cyclists) that are comfortable using the facility. The key determining factors in evaluating the Bicycle Level of Service are dependent on the cycling facility / intersection type as summarized in **Table 2-2**. For any given road segment, the Bicycle Level of Service is considered as the worst of the following two levels of service for the specific road segment: (1) the level of service determined based on the cyclist crossing configuration at unsignalized crossings. For any given approach to a signalized intersection, the Bicycle Level of Service is qualitatively assessed based on the cycling facility type and the intersection's lane configuration. The overall intersection Bicycle Level of Service is determined as the worst Bicycle Level of Service among the intersection approaches.

 Table 2-2:
 Key Determining Factors for Bicycle Level of Service

Segment Bicycle Level of Service	Intersection Bicycle Level of Service
 Cycling facility type Bike lane width Number of travel lanes Average vehicular operating speed Frequency of bike lane blockages Presence of on-street parking 	 Right-turn lane characteristics (number of right-turn lanes, length of right-turn lane, turning speed) Left-turn accommodation (presence of bike box, number of left-turn lanes, number of lanes crossed) Average vehicular operating speed

Source: City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines (2015)

For the purpose of the Bicycle Level of Service assessment, the cycling facilities within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, namely along Dundas Street and Logan Avenue are assumed to be designated as an equivalent to the City of Ottawa's cycling "spine route". As per the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, a cycling "spine route" is described as a cycling route that follows major roadways (typically arterials) and may provide reserved space for cyclists, ideally either a cycle track or a buffered bike lane and it provides access along major corridors, connecting the Cross-Town Bikeways, defined as the top designation in the hierarchy of the cycling facilities in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, and major off-road bike paths to local routes and Neighbourhood Bikeways. As per the City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines, the Bicycle Level of Service target for any arterial road designated as a cycling "spine route", regardless of its land use designation, is recommended to be Level of Service 'C'.

Given the above, the Bicycle Level of Service target for cycling facilities as well as the mixed traffic network within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area is set at Level of Service 'C'. With the noted minimum desirable Bicycle Level of Service, the intersections, individual approaches, and road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area with Bicycle Level of Service 'D' or worse are considered to be operating at critical levels.

2.1.2.4 Transit Level of Service

The City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service tool assigns a Transit Level of Service to both road segments and signalized intersections along a stretch of road based on the relative attractiveness of transit facilities and services as compared to other modes of travel and especially autos. The relative attractiveness, for the purposes of Transit Level of Service, is evaluated based on transit travel time and level of transit priority given to transit vehicles based on varying facility types and conditions. The key determining factors in evaluating Transit Level of Service are presented in **Table 2-3**. As per the City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines, the Transit Level of Service for each approach to an intersection is evaluated separately. For any given approach to a signalized intersection, the Transit Level of Service is evaluated based on the average vehicular delay of each intersection approach, obtained from the traffic modelling output through Synchro. The overall intersection Transit Level of Service is considered to be the worst Transit Level of Service among all the intersection approaches on which buses are travelling. In evaluating the Transit Level of Service along the road segments and at the signalized intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the following assumption was made in estimating the key determining factors (identified in **Table 2-3**):

Average delay at a signalized intersection for transit vehicles is considered to be equal to the average vehicular delay obtained as one of the outputs of the Synchro modelling analysis. This indicates that the impact of transit signal priority measures is not factored into the assessment of Transit Level of Service at the intersection level.

Table 2-3: Key Determining Factors for Transit Level of Service

Segment Transit Level of Service	Intersection Transit Level of Service
 Facility Type (e.g., Mixed Traffic, Bus Lane, and Segregated Right-of-Way) Ratio of average transit speed to posted speed 	Average Signal Delay

Source: City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines (2015)

None of the road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area are designated as a transit priority corridor. Accordingly, the studied streets are considered to have some isolated transit priority measures (e.g., transit signal priority, exclusive bus/streetcar lanes) or no transit priority measures at all, and for the purposes of identifying a level of service target, are considered as "Transit Priority with Isolated Measures". As per the City of Ottawa's Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines, the Transit Level of Service target for any road designated as "Transit Priority with Isolated Measures" is recommended to be Level of Service 'D'. With the noted minimum desirable Transit Level of Service, the intersections, individual approaches, and road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area with Transit Level of Service 'E' or worse are considered to be operating at critical levels.

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

2.2 Impact Assessment

The early works impact assessment and development of mitigation measures and monitoring activities considered the following:

- Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works components as described in Section 1.3.1;
- The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint and Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area as described in Section 1.3.2;
- Lakeshore East Joint Corridor construction activities as described in Section 1.3.3; and,
- Local environmental conditions within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area as described in Section 3.

Mitigation measures and monitoring activities have been recommended for each of the transportation and transit network components within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. The results of the impact assessment are provided in **Section 4**.

A quantitative impact assessment was not completed at this stage as the detailed construction staging schemes that describe the potential modifications to the existing transportation network were not available. Quantitative impact assessment will be completed, if required, as planning progresses and this information becomes available. The quantitative impact assessment may include larger study area. Prior to construction, Transit and Traffic Management Plan(s) shall be developed to provide more specific mitigation measures and monitoring activities. Transit and Traffic Management Plan(s) will outline the potential haul routes, staging and laydown areas, construction access, and road closures and potential detour routes.

3. Local Environmental Conditions

3.1 Transportation Network

3.1.1 Road Network and Intersection Operations

3.1.1.1 Road Network

An overview of the roads located within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area is described below. All the described roads are under the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto and are classified according to the City of Toronto's Road Classification System Update (City of Toronto, 2018). As part of the City of Toronto's Vision Zero strategy, the City has been implementing speed reductions for several streets within the City (City of Toronto, 2020). Posted speed reductions that have already been implemented on the roads located within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, if any, are reflected in the description below. **Figure 3-1** presents the existing road network, road classification, and the traffic control devices of the intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

Eastern Avenue is a major east-west arterial road with a four-lane cross-section and a posted speed of 30 kilometres per hour.

Queen Street East and **Gerrard Street East** are minor east-west arterial roads with four-lane cross-sections and posted speeds of 40 kilometres per hour. The median lane in each direction is shared by general vehicles and streetcars.

Dundas Street East is a minor east-west arterial road with a two-lane cross-section and a posted speed of 40 kilometres per hour.

Jones Avenue is a minor north-south arterial road with a two-lane cross-section and a posted speed of 40 kilometres per hour.

Carlaw Avenue is a minor north-south arterial road with a four-lane cross-section. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the section of Carlaw Avenue between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street has a posted speed of 40 kilometres per hour and the section between Queen Street and Gerrard Street is assumed to have a statutory speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour, with the absence of posted speed signs.





Logan Avenue is a north-south collector road with a two-lane cross-section. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the section of Logan Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street has a posted speed of 40 kilometres per hour and the section between Dundas Street and Eastern Avenue has a posted speed of 30 kilometres per hour.

Pape Avenue is a north-south local road with a two-lane cross-section and a posted speed of 30 kilometres per hour.

Booth Avenue and **McGee Street** are local roads that run one-way in the southbound direction. Both roads have a posted speed of 30 kilometres per hour.

3.1.1.2 Intersection Operations

The analysis findings on traffic operations at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections in the Existing Conditions (2020) are summarized in **Table 3-1**. The detailed Highway Capacity Manual (Transportation Research Board, 2000) reports from Synchro pertaining to the existing conditions analysis are presented in **Appendix E**.

As shown in **Table 3-1**, all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections operate at acceptable level of service 'C' or better and within capacity in both the AM and PM peak hours. In addition, all individual movements at the studied intersections operate at acceptable level of service 'C' or better.
Table 3-1: Traffic Operations at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020) during the AM and PM Peak Hours

Synchro ID: Intersection	Movement	AM Peak Hour Volume to capacity Ratio	AM Peak Hour Delay (sec)	AM Peak Hour Level of service	AM Peak Hour 95th Percentile Queue (metres)	PM Peak Hour Volume to capacity Ratio	PM Peak Hour Delay (sec)	PM Peak Hour Level of service	PM Peak Hour 95th Percentile Queue (metres)
320: Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	EBLTR	0.21	6.1	A	15.6	0.51	9.5	A	48.5
320: Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	WBLTR	0.51	3.3	А	13.3	0.26	14.1	В	30.3
320: Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	NBLT	0.37	23.0	С	29.5	0.38	21.5	С	32.3
320: Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	NBR	0.04	20.7	С	6.7	0.35	21.4	С	27.7
320: Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	Overall	0.47	6.8	Α	-	0.47	13.2	В	-
321: Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	EBLTR	0.20	20.8	С	24.7	0.77	19.1	В	49.6
321: Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	WBLTR	0.77	14.8	В	80.1	0.57	32.1	С	49.6
321: Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	NBLTR	0.25	15.2	В	18.5	0.38	11.6	В	28.9
321: Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	SBLTR	0.53	18.3	В	43.7	0.62	22.7	С	42.6
321: Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	Overall	0.66	16.6	В	-	0.65	21.0	С	-
322: Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue (Signalized)	EBLTR	0.27	14.7	В	23.1	0.47	5.7	A	15.4
322: Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue (Signalized)	WBLTR	0.35	4.9	А	4.4	0.35	16.6	В	44.2
322: Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue (Signalized)	NBLTR	0.10	16.0	В	12.7	0.16	16.5	В	17.1
322: Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue (Signalized)	SBLTR	0.07	15.7	В	9.0	0.23	17.3	В	21.7
322: Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue (Signalized)	Overall	0.25	9.7	Α	-	0.37	11.7	В	-
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	EBL	0.14	9.2	A	7.1	0.15	7.6	A	10.9
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	EBTR	0.31	10.0	A	36.3	0.57	11.7	В	86.7
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	WBL	0.15	3.9	A	m3.4	0.18	13.9	В	m14.1
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	WBTR	0.63	6.3	А	22.4	0.41	16.0	В	70.0
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	NBLTR	0.45	23.8	С	43.6	0.79	34.3	С	72.1
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	SBL	0.07	18.6	В	7.1	0.21	21.8	С	10.7
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	SBTR	0.40	22.3	С	43.6	0.28	21.8	С	26.7
323: Dundas Street and Logan Avenue (Signalized)	Overall	0.56	12.1	В	-	0.64	18.5	В	-
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	EBL	0.18	16.3	В	m9.9	0.17	6.9	A	m5.2
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	EBTR	0.26	14.7	В	38.5	0.83	20.2	В	#162.2
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	WBL	0.29	12.0	В	23.7	0.59	30.4	С	#28.3
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	WBTR	0.70	18.3	В	106.9	0.40	12.6	В	50.0
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	NBLTR	0.30	18.5	В	21.9	0.49	20.8	С	40.8
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	SBLTR	0.59	22.3	С	53.6	0.46	20.7	С	35.2
324: Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue (Signalized)	Overall	0.65	18.6	B	-	0.69	19.0	В	-

Notes: #: 95th percentile cycle volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer m: Volume for the 95th percentile queue is metered by an upstream signal

Metrolinx

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

3.1.2 Pedestrian Network and Operations

3.1.2.1 Pedestrian Network

Pedestrians are accommodated through sidewalks provided along both sides of the following streets located within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area:

- Eastern Avenue;
- Queen Street East;
- Dundas Street East;
- Gerrard Street East;
- Logan Avenue;
- Carlaw Avenue;
- Pape Avenue;
- Jones Avenue;
- Booth Avenue; and,
- McGee Street.

In addition, painted crosswalks are provided across all legs of the signalized intersections located within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. **Figure 3-2** illustrates the location and type of pedestrian facilities provided within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.



Figure 3-2: Existing Pedestrian Network Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area





3.1.2.2 Pedestrian Operations

The findings of the Pedestrian Level of Service analysis at the signalized intersections and road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area in the Existing Conditions (2020) are summarized in **Table 3-2** and **Table 3-3**, respectively, and illustrated in **Figure 3-3**. The detailed Pedestrian Level of Service analysis results at the individual intersection approach level under the Existing Conditions (2020) are presented in **Appendix F**.

Table 3-2: Pedestrian Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020)

Signalized Intersections	Pedestrian Level of Service
Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue	С
Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue	D
Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue	D
Dundas Street and Logan Avenue	D
Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue	D

Note: The intersections that operate below the Pedestrian Level of Service target 'C' are highlighted in grey.

Table 3-3:Pedestrian Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor
Study Area Road Segments under Existing Conditions (2020)

Road Segments	Pedestrian Level of Service
Gerrard Street between Logan Avenue and Pape Avenue	Е
Dundas Street between Logan Avenue and Carlaw Avenue	E
Queen Street between Boulton Avenue and Empire Avenue	В
Eastern Avenue between Lewis Street and Dibble Street	D
Logan Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street	Е
Carlaw Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street	E

Note: The road segments that operate below the Pedestrian Level of Service target 'C' are highlighted in grey.

As shown in **Table 3-2**, pedestrians experience critical Pedestrian Level of Service 'D' at all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections except at the intersection of Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue where pedestrians experience acceptable Pedestrian Level of Service 'C'. This is mainly attributed to the long average delays/waiting times that pedestrians experience before they receive Walk Time and start crossing the arterial roads within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area such as Gerrard Street, Dundas Street, and Carlaw Avenue.



Figure 3-3: Pedestrian Level of Service Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area



In addition, as they start crossing at the signalized intersections, they experience significant "exposure to traffic" due to the generally wide crossing distances (i.e., number of lanes to be crossed, the potential conflicts with left-turning and right-turning vehicular traffic, and the absence of right-turn-on-red restrictions or pedestrian signal leading intervals at the majority of the intersections).

As shown in **Table 3-3**, the pedestrian facilities along the studied sections of Gerrard Street, Dundas Street, Eastern Avenue, Logan Avenue, and Carlaw Avenue operate at critical Pedestrian Level of Service 'D' or 'E'. This is mainly attributed to the narrow sidewalks along the noted sections. The studied section of Queen Street generally provides wider sidewalk and boulevard widths and hence pedestrians experience acceptable Pedestrian Level of Service 'B'.

3.1.3 Cycling Network and Operations

3.1.3.1 Cycling Network

Cyclists are accommodated through on-street bike lanes provided along both sides of the Dundas Street East, Logan Avenue, and Jones Avenue sections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. In addition, crossrides⁵ are provided across the north and south legs of the intersection of Dundas Street East and Logan Avenue and bike boxes are provided at the eastbound approach to the noted intersection.

Figure 3-4 illustrate the location and type of cycling facilities provided within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

3.1.3.2 Cycling Operations

The findings of the Bicycle Level of Service analysis at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area signalized intersections and road segments under Existing Conditions (2020) are summarized in **As shown** in Error! Not a valid bookmark selfreference., all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area signalized intersections operate at acceptable Bicycle Level of Service 'B' overall. This is mainly attributed to the presence of designated cycling facilities on some of the individual approaches to the studied intersections (e.g., bicycle left-turn box, pocket bike lanes, cross-rides, etc.) which facilitate the movement of left-turning cyclists without the need to weave through and cross general-purpose traffic lane(s) before making a left turn. In addition, cyclists travelling with mixed traffic and approaching the studied intersections from individual

^{5.} A crossride is part of the roadway where cyclists are permitted to ride within the crossing, rather than dismounting and crossing as pedestrians. A crossride is indicated by signs, pavement markings, and a traffic signal if the crossing is signalized.

approaches that lack similar cycling facilities would have to simply change lanes to be able to make a left-turn movement, instead of crossing general-purpose traffic lanes.

Table 3-4 and **Table 3-5**, respectively, and illustrated in **Figure 3-5**. The detailed Bicycle Level of Service analysis results for the Existing Conditions (2020) are presented in **Appendix F**.













As shown in Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference., all the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area signalized intersections operate at acceptable Bicycle Level of Service 'B' overall. This is mainly attributed to the presence of designated cycling facilities on some of the individual approaches to the studied intersections (e.g., bicycle left-turn box, pocket bike lanes, cross-rides, etc.) which facilitate the movement of leftturning cyclists without the need to weave through and cross general-purpose traffic lane(s) before making a left turn. In addition, cyclists travelling with mixed traffic and approaching the studied intersections from individual approaches that lack similar cycling facilities would have to simply change lanes to be able to make a left-turn movement, instead of crossing general-purpose traffic lanes.

 Table 3-4:
 Bicycle Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor

 Study Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020)

Signalized Intersections	Bicycle Level of Service
Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue	В
Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue	В
Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue	В
Dundas Street and Logan Avenue	В
Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue	В

As shown in **Table 3-5**, cyclists accommodated through the on-street bike lanes along Dundas Street and Logan Avenue experience acceptable Bicycle Level of Service 'B'. Along Gerrard Street, Queen Street, Eastern Avenue, and Carlaw Avenue, cyclists travel with a total of four mixed traffic lanes and hence experience critical Bicycle Level of Service 'D' or 'E' along the studied road segments of the noted roads.

Table 3-5: Bicycle Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint CorridorStudy Area Road Segments under Existing Conditions (2020)

Road Segments	Bicycle Level of Service
Gerrard Street between Logan Avenue and Pape Avenue	D
Dundas Street between Logan Avenue and Carlaw Avenue	В
Queen Street between Boulton Avenue and Empire Avenue	D
Eastern Avenue between Lewis Street and Dibble Street	D
Logan Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street	В
Carlaw Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street	E

Note: The road segments that operate below the Cyclist Level of Service target 'C' are highlighted in grey.

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

3.1.4 Rail Network

There are multiple existing rail tracks within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area. These rail tracks are owned by Metrolinx and currently service the following commuter train lines:

- Lakeshore East and Stouffville GO lines; and
- VIA Rail Toronto-Ottawa and Toronto-Montreal lines.

The identified commuter train routes are further described in **Section 3.2**. Canadian National Railway and Canadian Pacific Railway freight trains also operate on these rail tracks.

3.2 Transit Network and Operations

3.2.1 Transit Network

The existing transit routes that operate within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area are summarized in **Table 3-6** and illustrated in **Figure 3-6**. All transit routes described in **Table 3-6** are operated by the Toronto Transit Commission, with the exception of the Lakeshore East and Stouffville GO lines operated by Metrolinx and the Toronto-Ottawa and Toronto-Montreal lines operated by VIA Rail.

The service headways provided in **Table 3-6** represent the hours of peak transit service within the AM peak period (6:00 AM to 9:00 AM) and PM peak period (4:00 AM to 7:00 PM). Off-peak transit services are generally less frequent than AM and PM peak period services; therefore, only AM and PM peak period service headways are provided in **Table 3-6** to represent the maximum transit service that could be impacted by construction to form the transit impact assessment.

Table 3-6: Existing Transit Routes Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area

Route Number – Name and Description	Service Headway duri Peak Periods	ng
Lakeshore East GO line operates between Union Station in Toronto and Oshawa Oshawa, generally in an east-west direction. The train service operates seven days between 5 AM and 2 AM. The line does not have any designated stops at the rail tr within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, but the eastbound and westbo through the noted rail tracks section shortly after each scheduled departure from U shortly before each scheduled arrival at Union Station.	GO Station in a week acks section15-minute for the peak direction (i.e., westbound i AM peak hour and eastbound in the PM peak hour) 30-minute for the non-peak direction	in the und k
Stouffville GO line operates between Union Station in Toronto and Lincolnville GC Whitchurch-Stouffville, generally in a north-south direction. The train service operative week between 9 AM and 7 PM. A bus service complements the train service by operative AM to 9 AM and from 7 PM to 2 AM. The Line does not have any designated stops section within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, but the eastbound and trains pass through the noted rail tracks section shortly after each scheduled depart Station and shortly before each scheduled arrival at Union Station.	Station in es seven days a erating from 5 at the rail tracks d westbound ture from Union30-minute for the peak direction (i.e., southbound 	in k k
Toronto-Ottawa VIA Rail line operates between Union Station in Toronto and Otta Ottawa, generally in an east-west direction. The train service operates seven days does not have any designated stops at the rail tracks section within the Lakeshore Corridor Study Area, but the eastbound and westbound trains pass through the not section shortly after each scheduled departure from Union Station and shortly befo scheduled arrival at Union Station.	Iwa Station in a week. The line East Joint60-minute for the peak direction (i.e., westbound i AM and PM peak periods eastbound in the PM peak period)East Joint 	in the and c
Toronto-Montreal VIA Rail line operates between Union Station in Toronto and G Montreal, generally in an east-west direction. The train service operates seven day line does not have any designated stops at the rail tracks section within the Lakesh Corridor Study Area, but the eastbound and westbound trains pass through the not section shortly after each scheduled departure from Union Station and shortly befo scheduled arrival at Union Station.	are Centrale in s a week. The ore East Joint ed rail tracks re each 60-minute for the peak direction (i.e., westbound i AM and PM peak periods eastbound in the PM peak period) 180-minute for the non-peak direction	in the and c

Metrolinx

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works – Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

Route Number – Name and Description	Service Headway during Peak Periods
#72 – Pape bus route operates between Pape Station on Line 2 Bloor-Danforth and Commissioners Street, and between Pape Station and Union Station on Line 1, generally in a north-south direction. Three services are operated: The 72A (Pape Station-Eastern) operates at all times except the morning and afternoon peak periods from Monday to Friday. The 72B (Pape Station-Union Station via Queens Quay) operates all day, every day. The 72C (Pape Station - Commissioners) operates during the morning and afternoon peak periods from Monday to Friday. Service between Pape Station and Eastern Avenue is part of the 10-minute network and operates at 10-minute or better headways, all day, every day. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the bus route operates along Carlaw Avenue. The closest northbound stop to the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint is located far side at the intersection of Carlaw Avenue and Gerrard Street East. The closest southbound stop is located nearside at the intersection of Carlaw Avenue and Gerrard Street East.	6-minute in the AM peak period 7-minute in the PM peak period
#143 – Downtown / Beach Express bus route operates between the intersection of Charlotte Street and King Street and the Neville Park Loop, generally in an east-west direction. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the bus route operates along Eastern Avenue. The closest eastbound and westbound stops to the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works Project Footprint are located nearside at the intersection of Eastern Avenue and Carlaw Avenue.	15-minute in the AM peak hour 25-minute in the PM peak hour
#301 – Queen Blue Night streetcar route operates between Neville Park Loop and Long Branch Loop, generally in an east-west direction. Two services are operated: the 301 (Neville Park-South Kingsway) and the 301L (South Kingsway-Long Branch) which is temporarily operated by buses. Both branches operate during the overnight period, seven days a week. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the streetcar route operates along Queen Street East with designated eastbound and westbound stops located at Empire Avenue, Boulton Avenue, and Saulter Street.	30-minute
#306 – Carlton Blue Night streetcar route operates between Main Street Station and Dundas West Station on Line 2 Bloor-Danforth, generally in an east-west direction. A single service is operated: the 306 (Main Street Station-Dundas West Station) , which operates during the overnight period, seven days a week. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the streetcar route operates along Gerrard Street with designated eastbound and westbound stops located at Logan Avenue, Carlaw Avenue, and Pape Avenue.	30-minute

Metrolinx

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works – Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

Route Number – Name and Description	Service Headway during Peak Periods
#325 – Don Mills Blue Night bus route operates between the area of Steeles Avenue East and Don Mills Road and the area of Eastern Avenue and Carlaw Avenue, generally in a north-south direction. One single service is operated: The 325 (Steeles-Eastern via Pape) , which operates during the overnight period, seven days a week. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the bus route operates along Carlaw Avenue with designated northbound and southbound stops located at the intersection of Carlaw Avenue and Gerrard Street and the intersection of Carlaw Avenue and Dundas Street.	30-minute
#501 – Queen streetcar route operates between Neville Park Loop and Long Branch Loop, generally in an east-west direction. It serves Queen and Osgoode Station on Line 1 (Yonge-University). The route is part of the 10-minute network, and operates at 10-minute or better headways, all day, every day. During the daytime and early evening, seven days a week, two services are operated: 501 (Humber-Neville Park) and 501 (Long Branch-Humber) . Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the streetcar route operates along Queen Street with designated eastbound and westbound stops located at Empire Avenue, Boulton Avenue, and Saulter Street.	4-minute in the AM peak hour 5-minute in the PM peak hour
#503 – Kingston streetcar route operates between the area of Kingston Road and Victoria Park Avenue, and the area of King Street West and York Street, generally in an east-west direction. It serves the King Station on Line 1 (Yonge-University), and it also passes within one block of the Union and St. Andrew Station on Line 1. One single service is operated: The 503 (Victoria Park- York) , which operates during the peak periods, from Monday to Friday only. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the streetcar route operates along Queen Street with designated stops located at Empire Avenue, Boulton Avenue, and Saulter Street.	12-minute in the AM and PM peak hours
#506 – Carlton streetcar route operates between Main Street Station on the Bloor-Danforth Subway and High Park Loop, generally in an east-west direction. It also serves the College and Queen's Park Stations on the Yonge-University-Spadina Subway. A single service is operated: the 506 (Main Street Station-High Park), which operates at all times, seven days a week. The route is part of the 10-minute network, and operates at 10-minute or better headways, all day, every day. Within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, the streetcar route operates along Gerrard Street with designated stops located at Logan Avenue, Carlaw Avenue, and Pape Avenue.	5-minute in the AM and PM peak hours

Sources: GO Transit, 2020; VIA Rail, 2020; and Toronto Transit Commission, 2019. Accessed in July 2021.







		140
B		100
Ser St		1
21/2	A .	-
and sty	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	100
		100
	P I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	14
1		1.1
1		Sec.
7		11
82	8	20
	EOUBIE	N.K
	CARE OF THE OWNER OF	3
		1
24		the second
1990 A	RIL	
	EVE	a series
i inter	Contraction of the second s	No. of Lot
	St N	Cone of
		Ŕ
	8 Alan	X
	Les Plan	1
	B	2
M.		1
Nº C	155 312	1 11/2
The second	1122 Mar 18	2
1	5 C. C. S. S. S.	- All
Ve ·	We start	113
	Provide State	
12/2	A A	14
3		
18.	2 4	No.
6	ART	No.
1.7	THELDAN E	2
15 ME	THE S	1
ILLUS	TRATIVE PURPOSES	
• •		
Untai	rio Line	
Tranait	Notwork Within the	-
Foot Joi	nt Corridor Study Area	
East Jui	200 300 400	3
DATUM: NAD	1983 UTM Zone 17N	-
d under the Op	en Government Licence Ontario, City of Toron	to
paseriap provid	ICU DY LONI.	
	A=004	-
	AECOM	-
.5,502.99 REV: 00	Figure 3-6	
		_

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

3.2.2 Transit Operations

The findings of the Transit Level of Service analysis at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area signalized intersections, and road segments under Existing Conditions (2020) are summarized in **Table 3-7** and **Table 3-8**, respectively, and illustrated in **Figure 3-7**. The detailed Transit Level of Service analysis results are presented in **Appendix F**.

As shown in **Table 3-7**, all the signalized intersections within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area operate at acceptable Transit Level of Service 'C' or 'D', except for the intersection of Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue which operates at critical Transit Level of Service 'E' in the PM peak hour but acceptable Transit Level of Service 'C' in the AM peak hour. This is mainly attributed to the long average delays that streetcars along route #506 experience in the PM peak hour when going through the noted intersection in the westbound direction.

As shown in **Table 3-8**, all transit vehicles travelling along the road segments within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area experience an acceptable Transit Level of Service 'D', meeting the minimum desirable Transit Level of Service for the studied sections.

Table 3-7:Transit Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint CorridorStudy Area Intersections under Existing Conditions (2020)

Signalized Intersections	Transit Level of Service
Gerrard Street and Logan Avenue	С
Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue	Е
Gerrard Street and Pape Avenue	С
Dundas Street and Logan Avenue	-
Dundas Street and Carlaw Avenue	D

Note: The intersection that operates below the Transit Level of Service target 'D' is highlighted in grey.

Table 3-8: Transit Level of Service at the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area Road Segments under Existing Conditions (2020)

Road Segments	Transit Level of Service
Gerrard Street between Logan Avenue and Pape Avenue	D
Dundas Street between Logan Avenue and Carlaw Avenue	-
Queen Street between Boulton Avenue and Empire Avenue	D
Eastern Avenue between Lewis Street and Dibble Street	D
Logan Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street	-
Carlaw Avenue between Gerrard Street and Dundas Street	D





4. Potential Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Activities

In accordance with Sections 8(2)6, 8(2)7 and 8(2)8 of Ontario Regulation 341/20: Ontario Line Project, this section describes the potential impacts, mitigation measures, and monitoring activities to verify the effectiveness of mitigation measures associated with the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works.

Potential impacts to traffic and transportation operations as a result of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works have been assessed and are presented in **Table 4-1**, in addition to mitigation measures and monitoring activities.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works may require temporary lane restrictions on some of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads (i.e., Queen Street, Dundas Street, and Logan Avenue), which may result in impediment of the traffic flow and increased average vehicular delay of motorists and existing surface transit routes travelling in the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area (i.e., Toronto Transit Commission Bus #72 – Pape, #143 – Downtown/Beach Express, and #325 – Don Mills Blue Night, and streetcar route #301 – Queen Blue Night, #306 – Carlton Blue Night, #501 – Queen, #503 – Kingston, and #506 – Carlton). The extent of such implications will depend on the level of encroachment into the right-of-way of the noted roads.

It is expected that construction vehicles will be travelling within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area, considering the extent of construction, rehabilitation, and excavation and grading activities required. Depending on the available haul routes, the addition of these construction vehicles to the road network may impact traffic operations resulting in increased vehicular delays and queue lengths, especially at intersections where construction traffic is required to make left-turning movements. Construction vehicles are expected to be accommodated at off-road launch sites, and therefore it is not anticipated that these vehicles will occupy curb lanes of roads within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

Other planned projects (e.g., capital projects, local development, etc.) nearby with construction timelines that potentially overlap with the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works may result in impacts to the transportation network and its road users within the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works may require temporary realignment of sidewalks along Queen Street, Dundas Street, and Logan Avenue, which would result in

increased pedestrian walking distances and times and therefore compromised convenience. Increased traffic along the noted roads and other adjacent roads as a result of the potential lane closures could increase pedestrians' exposure to vehicular traffic.

Similarly, the on-street bike lanes located along both sides of Dundas Street and Logan Avenue may be potentially realigned which would disrupt cyclists and compromise their convenience.

The Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works may require partial or full closure of the existing rail tracks. The extent of track closure is dependent on the type of equipment used and the installation process applied. If partial or full closures are implemented, the operations of the existing commuter (i.e., Lakeshore East GO Line, Stouffville GO Line, Toronto-Ottawa VIA Rail line, and Toronto-Montreal VIA Rail line) and freight rail corridors may be temporarily disrupted.

Table 4-1 provides mitigation measures and monitoring activities to be implemented for potential impacts that may result from the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works.

Environmental Component	Potential Impacts	Mitigation Measure(s)	Monitoring Activities
Transportation Network – Roads	 If required, temporary lane closures along some of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads (i.e., Queen Street East, Dundas Street East, and Logan Avenue) may result in impeding traffic flow and increased average delay of vehicles, including emergency vehicles. Construction vehicle traffic may impact traffic operations resulting in increased vehicular delays and queue lengths, especially at intersections where construction traffic is required to make left-turning movements. Potential overlapping construction timelines with other planned projects (e.g., capital projects and local developments) nearby may result in impacts to the transportation network and its road users. 	 A quantitative traffic impact assessment will be completed, if required, as project planning progresses to consider vehicular traffic impacts as a result of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works. Develop and implement a transit and traffic management plan(s), which could include temporary changes to intersection lane configurations, traffic signal timing optimization, modifications to existing signal timing plans, etc. The transit and traffic management plan(s) will also address specific emergency services requirements in consultation with the City of Toronto. Traffic signal timing optimization may be assessed/implemented to increase capacity of affected intersections and to aid in the movement of traffic. Traffic signal timing adjustments would require coordination between Metrolinx and City of Toronto, and will be undertaken if required, to determine appropriate changes to traffic signal timings. Consider scheduling construction activities during off-peak periods and weekends to minimize disruptions to road users during the critical peak periods. Co-ordinate with the City of Toronto regarding other ongoing construction projects when scheduling the early works activities to maintain the mobility of road users. Metrolinx will work with the City of Toronto and corresponding Business Improvement Areas to maintain access to businesses and minimize the potential impacts of the early works. 	The effectiveness of the transit and traffic management plan(s) will be monitored throughout the construction period and adjustments will be made based on actual field observations, as needed.
Transportation Network – Active Transportation	 Potential traffic congestion along the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads, as a result of the increase in heavy vehicle traffic, could increase pedestrians' and cyclists' exposure to traffic. If required, temporary realignment of the existing sidewalks along some of the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area roads (i.e., Queen Street, Dundas Street, and Logan Avenue) may increase walking distances and impact the convenience of pedestrians. 	 Reduce interference with pedestrians and cyclists. This may include fencing, hoarding (minimum 2 meters high, solid, and secured), shared-lane markings, signals, wayfinding signs, and lighting as required to provide pedestrians and cyclists with safe, accessible, and continuous routes. If required, co-ordinate with the City of Toronto to ensure any modifications to pedestrian crossing distances at signalized intersections are reflected in revised pedestrian clearance timings. Any temporary pedestrian facilities including temporary or relocated Toronto Transit Commission transit stops will be designed to meet Toronto Transit Commission accessibility standards. Implement flagging where construction vehicles are present to ensure construction vehicle operators are aware of pedestrian and vehicular traffic within the construction area. 	The effectiveness of the transit and traffic management plan(s) will be monitored throughout the construction period and adjustments will be made based on actual field observations, as needed.
Transportation Network – Rail	Early works construction may require temporary full or partial closure of existing rail tracks, which may disrupt existing commuter and freight rail operations. The extent of track closures is dependent on the type of equipment used and construction sequencing.	Consult with rail operators with current service along the rail corridor (i.e., VIA Rail, Canadian National Railway, and Canadian Pacific Railway) to assess how track closures would impact their service and co-ordinate temporary schedules to accommodate all rail services on the open tracks.	The effectiveness of the transit and traffic management plan(s) will be monitored throughout the construction period. Adjustments to the construction staging plans and transit and traffic management plan(s) will be made based on actual field observations, as needed.
Transit Network	Potential increase of construction vehicles traffic could result in travel time delays to existing surface transit routes (i.e., streetcar routes #301 Queen Blue Night, #306 Carlton Blue Night, #501 Queen, #503 Kingston, and #506 Carlton, and bus routes #72 Pape, #143 Downtown/Beach Express, and #325 Don Mills Blue Night) that pass through the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Study Area intersections.	 Co-ordinate with the Toronto Transit Commission and notify transit users regarding travel delays to the bus/streetcar services in advance. Consider scheduling some construction activities during off-peak periods and weekends to minimize delays to bus services during the critical peak periods. 	Transit services will be monitored through actual field observations throughout the construction period and additional mitigation measures will be considered, as needed.

Table 4-1:	Potential Impacts,	Mitigation Measures	and Monitoring Activit	ties for the Lakeshore	East Joint Corridor Early Works
------------	--------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------------

5. Permits and Approvals

No federal or provincial permits and approvals related to traffic and transportation are required for the Lakeshore East Joint Corridor early works.

Metrolinx will co-ordinate with the City of Toronto and Toronto Parking Authority for transportation-related permits and approvals (e.g., street occupation permit) prior to construction, as required.

Metrolinx

Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works - Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

6. References

AECOM, 2020:

Ontario Line Final Environmental Conditions Report. Prepared for Metrolinx.

City of Ottawa, 2015:

City of Ottawa Multi-Modal Level of Service Guidelines. Available: https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/community-plans-anddesign-guidelines/design-and-planning/completed-guidelines/complete-streetsottawa

City of Toronto, no date -a:

City of Toronto Application Information Centre. Available: http://app.toronto.ca/AIC/index.do

City of Toronto, no date -a:

City of Toronto Open Data Portal. Available: https://www.toronto.ca/citygovernment/data-research-maps/open-data/

City of Toronto, 2016a:

Guidelines for Using Synchro 9.

City of Toronto, 2018:

City of Toronto Road Classification System Update. Available: https://www.toronto.ca/services-payments/streets-parking-transportation/trafficmanagement/road-classification-system/2012-update-to-the-road-classificationsystem/

City of Toronto, 2019:

Toronto Official Plan. Land Use Plan, Map 18. Available: https://www.toronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/97fe-cp-official-plan-Map-18_LandUse_AODA.pdf

City of Toronto, 2020:

Vision Zero Mapping Tool. Available: www.toronto.ca/services-payments/streetsparking-transportation/road-safety/vision-zero/safety-measures-and-mapping

GO Transit, 2020:

Trip Planning. See Schedules. Available: https://www.gotransit.com/en/tripplanning/seeschedules Ontario Line Lakeshore East Joint Corridor Early Works – Traffic and Transportation Early Works Report

Toronto Transit Commission, 2019:

Toronto Transit Commission Schedules and Maps. Available: https://www.ttc.ca/PDF/Transit_Planning/Service%20Summary_2019-05-12.pdf

Transportation Research Board, 2000:

Highway Capacity Manual 2000. Available: https://trid.trb.org/view/475202

VIA Rail, 2020:

Explore our destinations. Ontario and Quebec. Available: https://www.viarail.ca/en/explore-our-destinations/trains/ontario-and-quebec



Appendix A

Turning Movement Counts



City of Toronto - Traffic Safety Unit

Turning Movement Count Summary Report

			V 272)												Su	rvey Date	e:	2018-S	ep-20		(Thurs	day)			
CARLAW A	VE AT GERRA		x 312)												Su	rvey Typ	e:	Routine	e Hours						
Time	Vehicle		NO	RTHBO	UND			EA	STBO	JND			SOL	ТНВО	UND			w	ESTBO	UND					
Period	Туре	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	l	Peds	Bike	Other
	CAR	289	40	162	60	262	302	27	165	58	250	593	77	388	94	559	828	147	694	100	941	Ν	181	40	0
08:15-09:15	TRK	3	3	2	2	7	7	0	5	3	8	6	0	1	1	2	11	2	7	1	10	S	93	11	0
AM PEAK	BUS	11	0	9	0	9	11	0	11	0	11	11	0	11	1	12	16	0	15	2	17	Е	134	63	0
																						W	90	23	0
	TOTAL:	303	43	173	62	278	320	27	181	61	269	610	77	400	96	573	855	149	716	103	968				
47.00 40.00	CAR	564	86	352	83	521	786	75	586	62	723	408	117	291	71	479	463	55	306	137	498	Ν	239	22	0
17:00-18:00	TRK	4	0	1	2	3	4	2	2	1	5	7	0	5	3	8	4	1	1	1	3	S	120	23	0
PM PEAK	BUS	8	0	8	0	8	10	0	10	0	10	11	0	11	0	11	11	0	11	0	11	Е	145	28	0
																						W	129	66	0
	TOTAL:	576	86	361	85	532	800	77	598	63	738	426	117	307	74	498	478	56	318	138	512				
	CAR	345	31	185	77	293	467	53	278	45	376	361	112	246	75	433	421	70	315	107	492	Ν	147	17	0
OFF HR AVG	TRK	9	2	7	4	13	13	1	7	3	11	19	2	11	3	16	8	5	3	1	9	S	95	8	0
	BUS	8	0	8	0	8	12	0	12	0	12	8	0	8	0	8	11	0	11	0	11	Е	71	23	0
																						W	70	19	0
	TOTAL:	362	33	200	81	314	492	54	297	48	399	388	114	265	78	457	440	75	329	108	512				
	CAR	529	84	316	108	508	549	58	311	92	461	1,076	130	703	186	1,019	1,548	281	1,278	155	1,714	Ν	275	63	0
07:30-09:30	TRK	10	5	4	7	16	18	2	11	4	17	9	0	3	2	5	19	2	12	4	18	S	150	20	0
2 HR AM	BUS	18	0	16	0	16	27	0	27	0	27	19	0	18	1	19	31	1	30	2	33	Е	213	103	0
																						W	132	32	0
	TOTAL:	557	89	336	115	540	594	60	349	96	505	1,104	130	724	189	1,043	1,598	284	1,320	161	1,765				
	CAR	1,045	134	642	136	912	1,482	149	1,102	112	1,363	787	244	556	135	935	891	119	622	254	995	Ν	455	42	0
16:00-18:00	TRK	12	0	7	2	9	7	2	3	4	9	15	2	8	3	13	6	3	3	3	9	S	233	42	0
2 HR PM	BUS	15	0	15	0	15	18	0	18	0	18	18	0	18	0	18	20	0	20	0	20	Е	250	44	0
																						W	207	105	0
	TOTAL:	1,072	134	664	138	936	1,507	151	1,123	116	1,390	820	246	582	138	966	917	122	645	257	1,024				
07.30 49.00	CAR	2,955	343	1,699	551	2,593	3,895	419	2,523	382	3,324	3,305	821	2,243	622	3,686	4,124	680	3,159	837	4,676	Ν	1,319	173	0
07.30-10.00	TRK	56	14	37	23	74	72	9	40	20	69	97	9	54	18	81	58	23	26	10	59	S	762	94	0
8 HR SUM	BUS	64	1	61	0	62	92	1	92	0	93	67	0	66	2	68	95	1	92	2	95	Е	748	239	0
																						W	619	214	0
	TOTAL:	3,075	358	1,797	574	2,729	4,059	429	2,655	402	3,486	3,469	830	2,363	642	3,835	4,277	704	3,277	849	4,830				

Total 8 Hour Vehicle Volume: 14,880

Total 8 Hour Bicycle Volume: 720

Total 8 Hour Intersection Volume: 15,600

Comment:



City of Toronto - Traffic Safety Unit

Turning Movement Count Summary Report

		V/E (DY 37	4)												Su	rvey Date	e:	2018-J	an-02		(Tuesc	lay)			
GERRARD			")												Su	rvey Typ	e:	Routine	e Hours						
Time	Vehicle		NOF	RTHBO	UND			EA	STBO				sou	тнвоі				w	ESTBO	UND					
Period	Туре	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Total	Exits	Left	Thru	Right	Tota		Peds	Bike	Other
	CAR	93	14	33	17	64	288	53	254	27	334	63	17	10	19	46	509	26	476	7	509	N	56	0	0
08:30-09:30	TRK	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	2	S	43	2	0
AM PEAK	BUS	2	0	1	1	2	22	1	21	1	23	1	0	0	1	1	16	0	15	0	15	E W	61 53	4 1	0
	TOTAL:	96		35		67			276		358	65	17			48	527	27	492	7	526				
	CAR	142	14	58	43	115	641	69	561	11	641	98	37	44	85	166	535	43	436	15	494	N	180	0	0
16:00-17:00	TRK	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	s	41	0	0
PM PEAK	BUS	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	18	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	13	0	13	E	63	0	0
																						W	50	0	0
	TOTAL:	142	14	58	43	115	660	69	580	11	660	98	37	44	85	166	550	43	451	15	509				
	CAR	181	18	57	41	116	450	114	377	14	505	87	32	41	72	145	465	32	375	10	417	N	179	1	0
OFF HR	TRK	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	2	S	66	2	0
A10	BUS	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	14	0	14	0	0	0	2	2	14	0	12	0	12	Е	101	1	0
																						W	80	3	0
	TOTAL:	181	18	57	41	116	467	114	394	14	522	88	32	42	74	148	481	32	389	10	431				
	CAR	159	30	58	33	121	496	92	441	30	563	97	22	23	34	79	951	44	887	9	940	Ν	115	0	0
07:30-09:30	TRK	2	0	1	0	1	5	1	4	0	5	1	1	0	2	3	6	1	4	0	5	S	77	2	0
2 HR AM	BUS	2	0	1	1	2	41	1	40	2	43	3	0	1	1	2	26	0	25	0	25	Е	100	6	0
																						W	75	3	0
	TOTAL:	163	30	60	34	124	542	94	485	32	611	101	23	24	37	84	983	45	916	9	970				
40.00.40.00	CAR	275	25	109	68	202	1,284	137	1,159	25	1,321	189	57	89	149	295	1,007	75	833	29	937	Ν	325	0	0
16:00-18:00	TRK	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	S	77	0	0
2 HR PM	BUS	1	0	0	2	2	39	0	37	0	37	1	0	1	1	2	28	0	27	1	28	Е	114	0	0
																						W	92	0	0
	TOTAL:	276	25	109	70	204	1,327	137	1,200	25	1,362	190	57	90	150	297	1,040	75	865	30	970				
07:30-18:00	CAR	1,155	128	393	264	785	3,581	684	3,109	109	3,902	628	208	274	472	954	3,819	245	3,219	78	3,542	Ν	1,155	4	0
07.00-10.00	TRK	2	0	1	1	2	20	1	18	1	20	5	1	2	2	5	18	2	16	0	18	S	417	10	0
8 HR SUM	BUS	3	0	1	4	5	138	1	133	2	136	4	1	2	9	12	109	0	100	1	101	E	619	10	0
																						W	486	14	0
	TOTAL:	1,160	128	395	269	792	3,739	686	3,260	112	4,058	637	210	278	483	971	3,946	247	3,335	79	3,661				

Total 8 Hour Vehicle Volume: 9,482

Total 8 Hour Bicycle Volume: 38

Total 8 Hour Intersection Volume: 9,520

Comment:

Survey Date: Gerrard Street/Logan Avenue: 2015-07-30 Dundas Street/Carlaw Avenue: 2015-04-13 Dundas Street/Logan Avenue: 2015-04-07

Source: https://open.toronto.ca/dataset/traffic-volumes-at-intersections-for-all-modes/

Intersection	Time Deriod	Start Time	End Time			North	bound		Total		East	oound		Total		South	bound		Total		Westb	oound		Total		Pedes	strians			Bil	æs	
Intersection	Time Period	Start rime	End time	venicie Type	Exit	L	Т	R	TOTAL	Exit	L	Т	R	TOTAL	Exit	L	Т	R	Total	Exit	L	Т	R	TOTAL	North	South	East	West	North	South	East	West
				Car	123	57	74	38	169	247	19	209	80	308	203	0	0	0	0	613	123	556	30	709								
_	0.0.4	0.15 414		Truck	11	0	5	5	10	12	6	7	5	18	10	0	0	0	0	8	5	8	0	13	45	01	4.4	24	21	22	45	25
gan	Alvi	o. IO AIVI	9.15 AIVI	Bus	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	15	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	18	0	18	40	91	44	34	21	22	00	20
0				Total	134	57	79	43		274	25	231	85		213	0	0	0		639	128	582	30									
Ϋ́́																																
'aro				Car	191	84	67	164	315	792	80	628	53	761	93	0	0	0	0	358	40	274	44	358								
gen	DM		5.45 DM	Truck	4	3	2	2	7	11	1	9	3	13	5	0	0	0	0	12	2	9	1	12	72	225	50	02	Б	22	22	50
0,	FIVI	4.45 FIVI	5.45 FIVI	Bus	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	17	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	17	0	17	13	225	JZ	03	5	32	23	37
				Total	195	87	69	166		820	81	654	56		98	0	0	0		387	42	300	45									
				Car	197	36	148	57	241	296	31	188	32	251	583	51	418	82	551	690	133	572	18	723								
>	0.04	0.15 //	0.15 AM	Truck	10	2	8	3	13	6	1	2	0	3	7	1	3	1	5	6	4	3	1	8	16	54	25	45	0	14	240	12
rlav	AIVI	0.15 AW	9.15 AIVI	Bus	7	0	6	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	9	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	10	- 34	23	40	0	14	247	12
ca				Total	214	38	162	60		302	33	190	32		599	52	430	83		696	137	575	19									
; At																																
das				Car	411	52	319	107	478	853	69	679	57	805	378	67	249	77	393	459	72	330	23	425								
lun	DM	5.00 PM	6:00 PM	Truck	0	2	0	1	3	6	0	5	0	5	5	0	5	0	5	5	0	3	0	3	56	40	58	71	15	7	26	226
0		5.001101	0.001101	Bus	6	0	6	0	6	3	0	3	0	3	9	0	9	0	9	2	0	2	0	2	50	40	50	/1	15	1	20	220
				Total	417	54	325	108		862	69	687	57		392	67	263	77		466	72	335	23									
				Car	196	57	124	17	198	284	33	248	45	326	304	19	181	36	236	638	78	545	39	662								
-	АМ	8.00 AM	9.00 AM	Truck	3	0	2	0	2	3	1	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	6	0	6	0	6	18	11	26	23	13	28	206	16
gai	,	0.00740	7.007.001	Bus	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10		20	20	10	20	200	10
t lo				Total	199	58	126	17		287	34	250	45		304	20	181	36		645	78	551	39									
IS A																																
nda				Car	284	38	185	97	320	635	62	510	36	608	192	28	103	19	150	400	53	343	37	433								
Inp	PM	5.00 PM	6.00 PM	Truck	1	0	1	1	2	4	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	3	3	0	2	0	2	24	18	47	37	17	33	26	104
		0.001101	0.001101	Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	- '	.0		57	.,	20	20	
				Total	285	38	186	98		639	62	511	36		192	30	103	21		404	53	345	37									



Appendix B

Signal Timing Plans

LOCATION:	Gerrard St E	& Logan Ave	9				DISTRICT:	Scarborough
MODE/COMMENT:	SAP with PR	& TSP*					COMPUTER SYSTEM:	TransSuite
TCS:	373						CONTROLLER/CABINET TYPE:	Peek ATC 1000 / TS2 T1
PREPARED BY / DATE:	Ameneh Dial	ameh / Febri	uary 6, 2019				CONFLICT FLASH:	Red & Red
CHECKED BY / DATE:	Carmen Lam	/ February 6	, 2019				DESIGN WALK SPEED:	1.0 m/s (FDW based on full crossing at 1.2 m/s)
IMPLEMENTATION DATE:	February 7, 2	2019					CHANNEL/DROP:	4022/ 20
		OFF	A 14	DM	NOUT		CONTROLLER FIRMWARE:	3.018.1.2976
			AIVI	FIVI	23.00-06.30	10.00-10.00	Fildse woue	Remarks
NEMA Phase		Times	M-F	M-F	Daily	Sat & Sun	(Fixed/Demanded or Callable)	
	Local Plan	Pattern 1	Pattern 2	Pattern 3	Pattern 4	Pattern 5		
	Split Table	Split 1	Split 2	Split 3	Split 4	Split 5		Pedestrian Minimuma:
1	WLK							EWWK = 7 sec, EWFD = 14 sec
	FDW							NSWK = 7 sec, NSFD = 13 sec
NOT USED	MIIN MAX1							actuation. If a vehicle call and/or a pedestrian call is
	AMB							received, the pedestrian minimum will be served.
	ALR SPLIT							The NSWK and NSFD are only display on the pedestrian signal heads if a vehicle and/or
Gerrard St E	0. 2.1							pedestrian call is received.
2	WLK 7 FDW 14						Fixed	*See back for TSP Instructions.
	MIN 21						POZ activated by	
	MAX1 28						Request Loop	
	AIVIB 3 ALR 3						(max extension of 30 secs in	
	SPLIT	33	43	43	33	43	Green/walk)	
3	WLK							
	FDW							
NOT USED	MIN MAX1							
	AMB							
	ALR							
Logan Ave	SPLII							
4	WLK 7							
	MIN 20						and/or Pushbutton;	
	MAX1 20							
	AMB 4 ALR 2							
	SPLIT	27	27	27	27	27		
5	WIK							
	FDW							
NOT USED	MIN			1				
	AMB							
	ALR							
Gerrard St E	SPLII							
6	WLK 7							
$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$	FDW 14 MIN 21						Fixed POZ activated by	
	MAX1 28				0		Request Loop	
	AMB 3						(max extension of 30 secs in	
	SPLIT	33	43	43	33	43	Green/Walk)	
7								
	FDW							
	MIN							
	MAX1 AMB							
	ALR							
Logan Ave	SPLIT							
8	WLK 7							
	FDW 13						Callable by	
	MAX1 20						FUSHDULLON	
V V	AMB 4							
	ALK 2 SPLIT	27	27	27	27	27		
	CL	60	70	70	60	70		
		2	60	59	1	8		

Notes: North leg is One-Way Northbound

LOC:	Gerra	rd St E a	& Logar	n Ave									T.S	S.P. PARAMETERS	S								
MODE:	SAP v	vith PR	& TSP*													TSP	RUN	TSP	RUN				
TCS:	373	5		PF	REPARA	TION D	ATE (T	MING C	ARD):	Februa	ry 6, 20	019	P	PREPARED: AD / CL		#	2	#	6				
OFFSE	T CO	RREC	FION I	PARA	METE	RS										EB	Γhru	WB.	Thru				
												2.3.2.x	2	.8.2 Transit Run Pa	rameters								
2.3.4	0.C. E	xtend	/ Redu	ice	(Max.	time add	ed & sub	tracted in	sec.)	From p	<u>age 1</u>	0.C.		ATC Green Extend	Mode	Мос	le 2	Mod	le 2				
		Ø 1	Ø 2	Ø 3	Ø 4	Ø 5	Ø 6	Ø 7	Ø 8	[Cycle]	[Slop]	Thres.		(Equivalent TTC Alg	gorithm)	A	A	A	A				
OFF	_											Pattern 1	2	8.3 Transit Action	Plan 1 (Use	d f <mark>o</mark> r a	II Patt	erns)					
Split 1	Ext.		23				23			60	7	15 s		Run Enable (X = Yes)		>	<	>	(
opin	Rdc.		6		1		6		1			[25 %]		Run Config = 1	Recovery	= 2 (0.	C. with de	elay)					
AM	_											Pattern 2	2	.8.4 Transit Run Co	onfiguration	1							
Split 2	Ext.		26				26			70	17	18 s	$\parallel \square$	Delay / Extend / Fail	1	/	/ 235	/	/ 235				
opint	Rdc.		16		1		16		1			[26 %]		CALLS (and Extend	ds)	Ø	2/6	Ø	2/6				
PM	_											Pattern 3	$\parallel \square$	Skips		-	-	-	-				
Split 3	Ext.		26				26			70	17	18 s		Reduces (Truncates	S)	Ø	4/8 🧷	Ø	4/8				
opint	Rdc.		16		1		16		1			[26 %]											
NGF	T											Pattern 4				Ø 1	Ø 2	Ø3	Ø 4	Ø 5	Ø 6	Ø 7	Ø 8
Split 4	Ext.		26				26			60	17	15 s	2	.8.6 TSP Split Table	es: 1, 2, 3, 4	& 5							
Opin	Rdc.		16		1		16		1	00	.,	[25 %]		GRN EXT (SDW Ext	ension)								
WKN	ID											Pattern 5		GRN RDC (Reductio	n)				-1				-1
Split 5	Ext.		26				26			70	17	18 s		WLK EXT (Walk Exte	ension)		30				30		
Opine	Rdc.		16		1		16		1		.,	[26 %]					·						



LOCATION: MODE/COMMENT: TCS: PREPARED/CHECKED BY: PREPARATION DATE: IMPLEMENTATION DATE:	Gerrard St FXT with Fi 372 <i>CIMA</i> + July 31, 20 ⁰ August 8, 2	E & Carla irehall Pre 18 018	w Ave e-emption (E	BLA) & TSF) *		DISTRICT: COMPUTER SYSTEM: CONTROLLER/CABINET TYPE: CONFLICT FLASH: DESIGN WALK SPEED: CHANNEL/DROP: CONTROLLER FIRMWARE:	Toronto & East York N TransSuite N Peek ATC 1000 / TS2 T1 1 Red & Red 1.0 m/s (FDW based on full crossing at 1.2 m/s) 4022/16 3.018.1.2976
NEMA Phase		OFF All Other Times	AM 06:30-09:30 M-F	PM 15:00- 19:00 M-F	NGHT 23:00- 06:30 Daily	WKND 09:00-21:00 Sat & Sun	Phase Mode (Fixed/Demanded/Callable)	Remarks
1 NOT USED	Local Plan Split Table WLK FDW MIN MAX1 AMB ALR	Pattern 1 Split 1	Pattern 2 Split 2	Pattern 3 Split 3	Pattern 4 Split 4	Pattern 5 Split 5		Pedestrian Minimums: EWWK = 7 secs; EWFD = 15 secs NSWK = 7 secs; NSFD = 15 secs Firehall Preemption Instructions: • If preemption is received in phase 2/6: Time to Preemption Sequence = 0 - 28 secs • If preemption is received in phase 4/7/8:
2 Gerrard St E	SPLIT WLK 7 FDW 15 MIN 22 MAX1 25 AMB 3 ALR 3 SPLIT	31	38	30	31	35	Fixed POZ activated by Request Loop (max extension of 30 secs in Green/Walk)	Time to Preemption Sequence = 0 - 28 secs • Signals go to All Red display before going into preemption Sequence: Serve 60.0 seconds EBLA/EBG/EWDW Serve 4.0 seconds EBY/EWDW Serve 2.0 second of ALLR Return to normal operation in EWG/EWWK. *See back for TSP Instructions.
3 NOT USED	WLK FDW MIN MAX1 AMB ALR SPLIT						64	TSP disabled - TSP activation pending new firmware testing & field validation.
4 Carlaw Ave	WLK 7 FDW 15 MIN 22 MAX1 23 AMB 4 ALR 2 SPLIT	29	32	40	29	35	Fixed (truncations allowable to pedestrian minimum)	
5	WLK FDW MIN MAX1 AMB ALR SPLIT				2	2	Only Displayed during Firehall Preemption	
6 Gerard St E	WLK 7 FDW 15 MIN 22 MAX1 25 AMB 3 ALR 3 SPLIT	31	38	30	31	35	Fixed POZ activated by Request Loop (max extension of 30 secs in Green/Walk)	
	WLK FDW MIN 6 MAX1 6 AMB 3 ALR 1 SPLIT		8	11			Demanded	
8 Carlaw Ave	WLK 7 FDW 15 MIN 22 MAX1 23 AMB 4 ALR 2 SPLIT	29	32	29	29	35	Fixed (truncations allowable to pedestrian minimum)	
NOTES:	CL OF	60 20	70 43	70 7	60 38	70 60		

LOC:	Gerrard	St E & (Carlaw	Ave										FTERS								
MODE:	FXT with	n Firehal	l Pre-e	motio	n (FBI	A) & T	SP								TSP	RUN	TSP	RUN				
TCS:	372	i i i i i i i i i i	11100	PR	REPARA		ATE (T	IMING (CARD):	July 31.	2018		PREPARED:	CIMA+	#	2	#	6				
OFFSF	TCOR	RECTIO			MFTF	RS			,						FB -	Thru	WB.	Thru				
												2.3.2.x	2.8.2 Transit R	Run Parameters								
												0.C.	170.0									
2.3.4	0.C. Ex	tend / F	Reduc	e a	(Max.	time add	led & sub	tracted in	n sec.)	From	page 1	_	ATC Green E	Extend Mode	Mod	de 2	Mod	de 2				
055	L	Ø1 0	02	Ø3	Ø4	Ø5	Ø6	67	Ø8	[Cycle]	[Slop]	Thres.	(Equivalent I	TC Algorithm)	/	4 	F	4				
OFF	E		44		44		44		44	1		Pattern 1	2.8.3 Transit A	Action Plan 1 (Us	sed to		atterns)				
Split 1	EXt.		2		1		2		1	60	4	13 S	Run Config		- 2 (0)	A	7					
0.14	Ruc.		3				3		I			[20 /0]	2 9 4 Transit E	Configuratio	= 2 (0.)	C. with de	elay)					
Aivi	Evt		13		13		13		13	1		Pattern 2	2.0.4 Mansh P	d / Fail	/	/ 235		/ 235				
Split 2	Rdc		10		4		10		4	70	14	[25 %]	CALLS (and	Extends)	ø	2/6	Ø	2/6				
PM	Ruo.		10		т		10		т			Pattern 3	Skins	Extends				-				
	Ext.		13		13		13		13			18 s	Reduces (Tr	uncates)	ø	4/8	Ø	4/8				
Split 3	Rdc.		2		1		2		1	70	3	[25 %]		,			~					
NGH	Т											Pattern 4			Ø1	Ø2	Ø3	Ø4	Ø5	Ø6	Ø7	Ø8
Calls 4	Ext.		11		11		11		11	60		15 s	2.8.6 TSP Spli	t Tables: 1 & 4								
Split 4	Rdc.		3		1		3		1	60	4	[25 %]	GRN EXT (SI	DW Extension)								
WKE	ND					•						Pattern 5	GRN RDC (R	eduction)				-1				-1
Colit F	Ext.		13		13		13		13	70	14	18 s	WLK EXT (W	alk Extension)	/	30				30		
Split 5	Rdc.		7		7		7		7	10	14	[25 %]	2.8.6 TSP Spli	t Tables: 2								
													GRN EXT (SI	DW Extension)								
													GRN RDC (R	eduction)				-4				-4
													WLK EXT (W	alk Extension)		30				30		
													2.8.6 TSP Spli	t Tables: 3								
													GRN EXT (SI	DW Extension)								
													GRN RDC (R	eduction)				-2				-2
													WLK EXT (W	alk Extension)		30				30		
													2.8.6 TSP Spli	t Tables: 5								
													GRN EXT (SI	DW Extension)								
													GRN RDC (R	leduction)				-3				-3
													WLK EXT (W	(alk Extension)		30				30		
											X											
							Ave															
							N H						TSP RUN #	6	[Notes						
							arla						WB Thru	Ŭ		NOICS	-					
							ö						SRM #1 Ch #	2								
													TSP Input 6	2								
													BIU #3 PIN #1	2a								
Gerra	rd St E										1											
											$\mathbf{\underline{\vee}}$)								
		<		xxx n	n		→															
							_															
))			←		,	•								
))			1		xxx m	I								
								$\sum $			(
				Y				4														
			TSP	RUN	# 2	1																
			EE	3 Thru	u																	
			SRM	#1 Ch	h #1	1										ATC N	lode	0	2	3		4
			TSF	P Input	t 2											TTC A	lgor'm	B-2	A	Č		D
			BIU #3	B PIN :	#10a											Extens	sions	SDW	Walk	W/SI	w	W/SDW
						-										TSP	SUMN	IARY				
														TSP Loop Leg	end	EW:	30 sec	s EWG	/EWWł	< Max E	xtensio	ons
Ņ	Sch	ematic	of TSI	P Loo	ps									Request (1	Thru)	NS:	Trunca	te to pe	edestria	n minim	um	
T T	an	d TSP F	Runs (N.T.S	S)						1			ZZ Cancel (Th	nru)							
1																1						

LOCATION:	Gerrard St E	& Pape Ave					DISTRICT:	Toronto & East York
MODE/COMMENT:	FXT with TSI						COMPUTER SYSTEM:	TransSuite
TCS:	371						CONTROLLER/CABINET TYPE:	Peek ATC 1000 / TS2 T1
PREPARED BY / DATE:	Alvin Luk / D	ecember 17,	2019				CONFLICT FLASH:	Red & Red
CHECKED BY / DATE:	Ameneh Dial	ameh / Janua	arv 21. 2020				DESIGN WALK SPEED:	0.9 m/s (FDW based on full crossing at 1.1 m/s)
IMPLEMENTATION DATE:	February 19,	2020	•				CHANNEL/DROP:	4022/15
	•						CONTROLLER FIRMWARE:	3.018.1.2976
		OFF	AM	PM	NGHT	WKND	Phase Mode	
		All Other	06:30-09:30	15:45-18:30	23:00-06:30	10:00-19:00	(Fixed/Demanded or Callable)	Remarks
NEMA Phase		Times	M-F	M-F	Daily	Sat & Sun		
	Local Plan	Pattern 1	Pattern 2	Pattern 3	Pattern 4	Pattern 5		
	Split Table	Split 1	Split 2	Split 3	Split 4	Split 5		Pedestrian Minimums:
1	WLK							EWWK = 8 sec, EWFD = 13 sec
	FDW							NSWK = 8 sec, NSFD = 15 sec
NOT USED	MIN MAX1							*See back for TSP Instructions.
	AMB							EB & WB 13F enabled on September 12, 2018.
	ALR							
	SPLIT							
2	WLK 8							
	FDW 13						Fixed	
	MIN 21						POZ activated by	
	AMB 3.0						Request Loop	
	ALR 2.5						(max extension of 30 secs in	
	SPLIT	30	40	40	30	40	Green/waik)	
3	WIK							
	FDW							
	MIN							
	ALR							
	SPLIT							
Pape Ave	WIK 8						Fixed	
	FDW 15						Fixed	
	MIN 23							
	MAX1 23							
• •	AMB 3.0							
	SPLIT	30	30	30	30	30		
E								
5								
	MIN			2				
	MAX1							
	SPLIT							
Gerrard St E								
6	WLK 8 FDW 13						Fixed	
	MIN 21						POZ activated by	
	MAX1 24						Request Loop	
	AMB 3.0						(max extension of 30 secs in	
	SPLIT	30	40	40	30	40	Green/Walk)	
7								
	MIN							
NOTUSED	MAX1							
	SPLIT							
Pape Ave]
8	WLK 8						Fixed	
	MIN 23							
	MAX1 23							
	AMB 3.0							
	SPLIT	30	30	30	30	30		
		00						1
	CL	60	70	70	60	70		
	OF	38	25	25	18	42		
							1	

Notes:

LOC:	Gerrard St a	& Pape A	ve									T.S.P. PARAMETERS						
MODE:	FXT with TS	SP											TSP RU	JN TSP	RUN			
TCS:	371		PF	REPARA	TION D	ATE (TI	MING C	CARD):	Decemb	er 17, 201	9	<i>prepared:</i> AL / AD	# 2	#	6			
OFFSE	T CORRE	CTION	PARA	METE	RS	· ·							FB Th	u WB	Thru			
											2.3.2.x	2.8.2 Transit Run Parameters		<u> </u>				
											0.0							
2.3.4	O.C. Exten	d / Red	uce	(Max.	time add	ed & subt	tracted in	sec.)	From	page 1	0.0.	ATC Green Extend Mode	Mode	2 Mo	de 2			
	Ø	Ø 2	Ø 3	Ø4	Ø 5	Ø 6	Ø 7	Ø 8	[Cycle]	[Slop]	Thres.	(Equivalent TTC Algorithm)	A		A			
OFF											Pattern 1	2.8.3 Transit Action Plan 1 (Us	sed for a	I Patterns	5)			
Split 1	Ext	12		11		12		11	60	3	12 s	Run Enable (X = Yes)	Х		Х			
Opint	Rdc	3				3			00	Ŭ	[20 %]	Run Config = 1Recovery	= 2 (O.C. v	vith delay)				
AM											Pattern 2	2.8.4 Transit Run Configuration	on 1					
Split 2	Ext	13		13		13		13	70	0	18 s	Delay / Extend / Fail	/ / 2	.35 /	/ 235			
Spiit 2	Rdc	9				9			70	9	[26 %]	CALLS (and Extends)	Ø 2/6	Ø	2/6			
PM											Pattern 3	Skips	-					
Split 3	Ext	13		13		13		13	70	0	18 s	Reduces (Truncates)	H					
Spiit S	Rdc	9				9			70	9	[26 %]							
NIGH	Т										Pattern 1		Ø1 Ø	ð 2 Ø 3	Ø4	Ø5 Ø0	6 Ø 7	Ø 8
Split 4	Ext	12		11		12		11	60	3	12 s	2.8.6 TSP Split Tables: 1,2,3,4	& 5					
Opin 4	Rdc	3				3			00	J	[20 %]	GRN EXT (SDW Extension)						
WKN	D										Pattern 5	GRN RDC (Reduction)						
Split 5	Ext	13		13		13		13	70	q	18 s	WLK EXT (Walk Extension)	(30		30		
Opinto	Rdc	9				9			10	Ŭ	[26 %]							
Patter	n 1 and 4 OC	C Thres s	et to 3x	OC Rd	lc due te	o limited	d slop. (Controll	er could	take up to	53							
cycles	to get back	n sync fr	om -TS	P Reco	overy.													
OC P	arameters mo	odified to	emulat	e MTSS	S with F	XT ope	ration.											
												TSP RUN # 6	N	otes:				
												WB Thru	Tr	uncation of	Phases	4 and 8 per	mitted to th	e
										1		SRM #1 Ch #2	pe	destrian mi	nimum,	but there is	currently n	0
												TSP Input 6	slo	op available).		-	
												BIU #3 PIN #12a						
									1									
~																		
Gerra	ra St									\searrow			-					
		100 י	n															
<		1001			\rightarrow													
											< ─	115 m						
										-			.					
										1								



LOCATION:	Dundas St & I	ogan Ave					DISTRICT:	Toronto & East York
MODE / COMMENT:	SAP (FXT by 1	FOD) with PF	र				COMPUTER SYSTEM:	TransSuite
TCS:	290						CONTROLLER / CABINET TYPE:	Peek ATC-1000 / TS2T1
PREPARED / CHECKED BY:	SP/DS						CONFLICT FLASH:	Red & Red
PREPARATION DATE:	October 20, 20	017					DESIGN WALK SPEED:	1.0 m/s (FDW based on full crossing at 1.2 m/s)
IMPLEMENTATION DATE:	November 16,	2017					CHANNEL / DROP:	4076/14
							CONTROLLER FIRMWARE:	3.018.1.2976
		OFF	AM	PM	NIGHT	WKND		
NEMA Phase		All Other	06:30-09:30 M-F	15:00-19:00 M-F	23:00-06:30 Daily	10:00-19:00 Sat & Sun	Phase Mode (Fixed/Demanded/Callable)	Remarks
HE MARTINGS	Local Plan	Pattern 1	Pattern 2	Pattern 3	Pattern 4	Pattern 5	(Fixed/Demanded/Canable)	
	Split Table	Split 1	Split 2	Split 3	Split 4	Split 5	1	
1 _	WIK							Pedestrian Minimums:
	FDW							NSWK = 7 sec, $NSFD = 15$ sec
	MIN							NS phase is callable by vehicle or pedestrian
NOTUSED	MAX1							actuation. If a vehicle and/or pedestrian call is
	ALR							NSWK & NSFD are displayed on the pedestrian
	SPLIT							signal heads if a vehicle and/or pedestrian call is
Dundas St								received. Side Street Passage Time = 3 sec
	FDW 16							Pattern 2 (AM peak period) provides FXT
	MIN 23						Fixed	operation during 06:30-09:30, M-F. WALK and
\ ≪> /	MAX1 36							FDW are displayed for side street during FXT
	AIVID 3 ALR 3							
	SPLIT	41	47	47	31	51		
3	WI K							
° /	FDW							
	MIN							
NOTUSED	MAX1							
	SPLIT							
Logan Ave								
4	WLK 7 FDW 15							
	MIN 22						Callable by Stopbar Loop	
	MAX1 22						and/or Push Button	
↓ ↓ ↓	AMB 3							
	SPLIT	29	29	29	29	29		
-								
5								
	MIN							
NOTUSED	MAX1							
	SPLIT							
Dundas St	-							
6	WLK 7							
<	MIN 23						Fixed	
	MAX1 36			G				
	AMB 3							
	SPLIT	41	47	47	31	51		
_]
	WLK EDW							
	MIN							
NOT USED	MAX1							
	AMB							
	SPLIT							
Logan Ave	1 .			1		1		1
8	WLK 7	X						
	MIN 22						Callable by Stopbar Loop	
	MAX1 22						and/or Push Button	
	AMB 3							
	ALR 3	20	20	20	20	20		
	JELII	29	29	29	29	29		1
	CL	70	76	76	60	80		
	OF	67	4	64	31	37		
L							I	4

Notes: TransSuite

LOCATION:	Dundas St E	& Carlaw A	Ave				DISTRICT:	Toronto & East York N
MODE/COMMENT:	FXT						COMPUTER SYSTEM:	TransSuite
TCS:	289						CONTROLLER/CABINET TYPE:	Peek ATC-1000 / TS2T1
PREPARED/CHECKED BY:	SQ/HL						CONFLICT FLASH:	Red & Red
PREPARATION DATE:	October 20,	2017					DESIGN WALK SPEED:	1.0 m/s (FDW based on full crossing at 1.2 m/s)
IMPLEMENTATION DATE:	November 1	6, 2017					CHANNEL/DROP:	4076/38
							CONTROLLER FIRMWARE:	3.018.1.2976
		OFF	AM	PM	NIGHT	WKND		
NFMA Phase		All Other	06:30-09:30 M-F	15:00-19:00 M-F	23:00-06:30 Daily	10:00-19:00 Sat & Sun	Phase Mode	Remarks
	Local Plan	Pattern 1	Pattern 2	Pattern 3	Pattern 4	Pattern 5		
	Split Table	Split 1	Split 2	Split 3	Split 4	Split 5		
1								Pedestrian Minimums:
	FDW							NSWK = 7 sec, $NSFD = 18$ sec
	MIN							
	MAX1							
	SPLIT							
Dundas St E								
2	WLK 7						Fixed	
	MIN 20						Fixed	
	MAX1 31							
	AMB 3							
	ALR 3	37	ΔΔ	ΔΔ	28	47		
		57			20	1		
3	WLK							
	FDW							
NOT USED	MAX1							
	AMB							
	ALR							
Carlaw Ave	SPLIT							•
4	WLK 7							
	FDW 18						Fixed	
	MIN 25							
	AMB 3							
	ALR 3							
	SPLIT	33	32	32	32	33		-
5	WLK							
	FDW							
(NOT USED)	MIN							
	ALR							
	SPLIT							
Dundas St E							Fixed	
0	FDW 13						Fixed	
	MIN 20							
	MAX1 31							
	AIR 3							
	SPLIT	37	44	44	28	47		
	MIN							
	MAX1							
	AMB							
	ALR SPLIT							
Carlaw Ave								1
8	WLK 7							
	FDW 18 MIN 25						Fixed	
	MAX1 27							
\ \ ▼ /	AMB 3							
	ALR 3	33	30	30	30	22		
			52	52	52			1
	CL	70	76	76	60	80		
	OF	49	64	10	11	38		
		1	I		1	1		

NOTES: Picked up under TransSuite system control on Jun 4, 2014 at approximately 10:32.


Appendix C

Multi-Modal Level of Service Criteria

Automobile Level of Service

Highway Capacity Manual 2010

Table 1 summarizes the LOS criteria for signalized intersections, as described in the *Highway Capacity Manual 2010* (Transportation Research Board, 2010).

Table 1. Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections				
Level of Service	Average Control Delay (seconds/vehicle)	General Description		
А	≤10	Free Flow		
В	>10 - 20	Stable Flow (slight delays)		
С	>20 - 35	Stable flow (acceptable delays)		
D	>35 – 55	Approaching unstable flow (tolerable delay, occasionally wait through more than one signal cycle before proceeding)		
E	>55 – 80	Unstable flow (intolerable delay)		
F ¹	>80	Forced flow (congested and queues fail to clear)		

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010, Transportation Research Board, 2010.

1. If the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio for a lane group exceeds 1.0 LOS F is assigned to the individual lane group. LOS for overall approach or intersection is determined solely by the control delay.

Pedestrian Level of Service

Exhibit 4 – PLOS Segment Evaluation Table

	Boulevard Width	Motor Vehicle Traffic Volume	Presence of On-	Segment PLOS				
Sidewalk Width				Operating Speed (km/h)				
(iii)	(ii)	(AADT)	SUCCUPAINING	≤30	>30 or 50	>50 or 60	>60 ¹	
		≤ 3000	N/A	А	А	А	В	
	> 2	> 2000	Yes	А	В	В	N/A	
		> 2000	No	А	В	С	D	
		≤ 3000	N/A	А	А	А	В	
2.0 or more	0.5 to 2	> 2000	Yes	А	В	С	N/A	
		> 2000	No	А	С	D	E	
		≤ 3000	NA	А	В	С	D	
	0	. 2000	Yes	В	В	D	N/A	
		> 3000	No	В	С	E	F	
		≤ 3000	N/A	А	А	А	В	
	> 2	> 3000	Yes	А	В	С	N/A	
			No	А	С	D	E	
	0.5 to 2	≤ 3000	N/A	А	В	В	D	
2.0 or more 1.8 1.5		> 3000	Yes	А	С	С	N/A	
			No	В	С	Е	E	
	0	≤ 3000	N/A	А	В	С	D	
		2000	Yes	В	С	D	N/A	
		> 3000	No	С	D	F	F	
	> 2	≤ 3000	N/A	С	С	С	С	
		> 3000	Yes	С	С	D	N/A	
			No	С	D	E	E	
1.5		≤ 3000	N/A	С	С	С	D	
	0.5 to 2	> 3000	Yes	С	С	D	N/A	
			No	D	E	E	E	
	0	N	/A	D	E	F ²	F ²	
<1.5		N/A		F ³	F ³	F ³	F ³	
No sidewalk		N/A		C ⁴	F ³	F ³	F ³	

Notes:

1. On-street parking not provided on roadways with posted speed of 70 km/h or more

2. Sidewalk must be 1.8 m wide if no separation is provided (curb-face sidewalk) where speeds are high

3. Sidewalk must be 1.5 m wide to meet Provincial accessiblity standards

Ottawa Pedestrian Plan, 2014: "all new and reconstructed urban local roads where pedestrian facilities are required in accordance with these policies but no dedicated pedestrian facility is provided, require that roads be designed for a speed of 30 km/h or lower (pending development of a new 30 km/h roadway design standard)." Where a roadway is specifically designed as 'shared space', with appropriate design controls and features, it can achieve LOS A.
 Where a multi-use path is provided in lieu of sidewalks, the MUP can be evaluated using the same methodology.

Exhibit 5 – PETSI Point Tables

5.1 Crossing Distance & Conditions					
Total travel lanes crossed	No median	With Median (>2.4m)			
2	120	120			
3	105	105			
4	88	90			
5	72	75			
6	55	60			
7	39	45			
8	23	30			
9	6	15			
10	-10	0			

5.2 Signal Phasing & Timing Features				
Left turn conflict ("Left_turns")	Points			
Permissive	-8			
Protected/permissive	-8			
Protected	0			
No left turn/prohibited	0			
Right turn conflict ("Right_turns")	Points			
Permissive or yield control	-5			
Protected/permissive	-5			
Protected	0			
No right turn	0			
Right turns on red ("RTOR")	Points			
RTOR allowed	-3			
RTOR prohibited	0			
Leading ped interval? ("LPI")	Points			
No	-2			
Yes	0			

5.3a Corner Radius	
Corner radius	Points
Greater than 25m	-9
> 15m to 25m	-8
> 10m to 15m	-6
> 5m to 10m	-5
>3m to 5m	-4
Less than/equal to 3m	-3
No right turn	0

5.3b Right Turn Channel	
Right turn channel	Points
Conventional right turn channel with receiving lane ⁽¹⁾	-3
Conventional right turn channel without receiving lane ⁽¹⁾	0
Right turn "smart channel" ⁽¹⁾	2
No right turn channel	-4
No right turn	0

⁽¹⁾ Right turn channels are counted as an additional "travel lane crossed" and so note that despite the points shown above overall they score lower than "No right turn channel".

5.4 Crosswalk Treatment	
Crosswalk treatment ("Crosswalk")	Points
Standard transverse markings	-7
Textured/coloured pavement	-4
Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	-4
Raised crosswalk	0

Exhibit 6 – PETSI Evaluation Table

Pedestrian Exposure to Traffic LOS				
Points threshold	LOS			
≥90	A			
≥75	В			
≥60	С			
≥45	D			
≥30	E			
<30	F			

Exhibit 7 – Pedestrian Delay Evaluation Table

Average Pedestrian Crossing Delay Component				
$\frac{\text{Delay}}{0.5 \times (\text{Cycle Length - Pedestrian Effective Walk Time})^2}{\text{Cycle Length}}$				
< 10 s per intersection leg	LOS A			
≥10 to 20 sec	LOS B			
>20 to 30 sec	LOSC			
>30 to 40 sec	LOS D			
>40 to 60 sec	LOSE			
> 60 sec	LOS F			

Bicycle Level of Service

Exhibit 11 – BLOS Segment Evaluation Table

Type of Bikeway		LOS
Physically Separated Bikeway (cycli	e tracks protected hike lanes and multi-use naths) Physical separation refers to but is not	
limited to curbs, raised medians, he	Ilards and narking lanes (adjacent to the hike lane along the travelled way i.e. not curbside)	A
Rike Lanes Not Adjacent Parking L	ana Salact Morst Scoring Criteria	
Dike Lanes Not Aujacent Parking La	1 travel land in each direction	٨
	1 travel lane in each direction	A
No. of Travel Lanes	2 travel lanes in each direction separated by a raised median	В
	of Bikeway cally Separated Bikeway (cycle tracks, protected bike lanes and multi-use paths). Physical separation relates to, but is not it to curbs, raide and endions, boltards and parking lanes, (adjacent to the bike lane along the travelled wey i.e. not curbs)(de). anes Not Adjacent Parking, Lane - Select Worst Scoring Criteria 1 Travel Lanes 2 travel lanes in each direction separated by a raised median 2 travel Lanes 2 travel lanes in each direction separated by a raised median ane Width 2.1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gufer width) 2.1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gufer width) 2.1.9 m to 1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gufer width) 2.1.9 m to 1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gufer width) 2.1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gufer width) 2.1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gufer width) 2.1.8 m wide bike lane plus parking speed anes blockage Rare Arases Adjacent to curbside Parking Lane - Select Worst Scoring Criteria 1.1 travel Lanes 2 more travel lanes in each direction 2 more travel lanes in each direction 2 1.1 travel Lane in each direction 2 2 more travel lanes in each direction 2 1.1 travel Lane in each directin	
	More than 2 travel lanes in each direction	D
	1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gutter width)	A
Bike Lane Width	≥1.5 m to <1.8 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gutter width)	В
	≥1.2 m to <1.5 m wide bike lane (includes marked buffer and paved gutter width)	С
	≤ 50 km/h operating speed	А
Operating Speed	60 km/h operating speed	С
	> 70 km/h operating speed	F
Rike lane blockage	Pare	Δ
(commorcial areas)	Fraguant	C C
Rike Lange Adjacent to curbeide De	ricyddin	C
Dike Lanes Aujacent to curbside Pa	1 kny Lane - Select worst Sconny Cinena	٨
No. of Travel Lanes		A
	2 or more travel lanes in each direction	C
	4.5 m wide bike lane plus parking lane (includes marked buffer and paved gutter width)	A
Rike Lane and Parking Lane Width	4.25 m wide bike lane plus parking lane (includes marked buffer and paved gutter width)	В
	≤ 4.0 m wide bike lane plus parking lane (includes marked buffer and paved gutter width)	С
	< 10 km/h operating speed	Α
	50 km/h operating speed	R
Operating Speed	40 km/h operating speed	D
	00 km/m operating speed	 Г
D'ha haar bhailean	<u>> 70 kn/m operating speed</u>	F
Bike lane blockage	Rare	A
(commercial areas)	Frequent	С
Mixed Traffic		
	2 travel lanes; \leq 40 km/h; no marked centerline or classified as residential	А
	2 to 3 travel lanes; \leq 40 km/h	В
	2 travel lanes; 50 km/h; no marked centerline or classified as residential	В
No. of Travel Lanes and Operating	2 to 3 travel lanes; 50 km/h	D
Speed	4 to 5 travel lanes; ≤ 40 km/h	D
	4 to 5 travel lanes: ≥ 50 km/h	E
	6 or more travel lanes: < 40 km/h	F
	> 60 km/h	F
Unsignalized Crossing along Pouto	2.00 kilini	1
	2 or loss longs being grossed < 40 km/b	۸
	s of less failes being crossed, < 40 km/h	A D
	4 to 5 lanes being crossed; 5 40 km/h	D
	3 OF IESS Iaries being crossed; 50 km/n	D
	4 to 5 lanes being crossed; 50 km/n	U O
No. of Iravel Lanes on Side Street	3 or less lanes being crossed; 60 km/n	L L
and Operating Speed	4 to 5 lanes being crossed; 60 km/h	D
	6 or more lanes being crossed; ≤ 40 km/h	E
	3 or less lanes being crossed; ≥ 65 km/h	E
	6 or more lanes being crossed; ≥ 50 km/h	F
	4 to 5 lanes being crossed; ≥ 65 km/h	F
Unsignalized Crossing along Route	:: with median refuge (≥ 1.8 m wide)	
	5 or less lanes being crossed; \leq 40 km/h	А
	3 or less lanes being crossed; 50 km/h	А
	6 or more lanes being crossed; \leq 40 km/h	В
	4 to 5 Janes being crossed: 50 km/h	В
	3 or less lanes being crossed: 60 km/h	B
No. of Travel Lanes on Side Street	6 or more lanes being crossed: 50 km/h	C C
and Operating Speed	A to 5 Janes heing crossed: 60 km/h	C C
	4 to 5 tartes being crossed, or kit/it	
	3 OF IESS TAILES DEING CLOSSEG; ≥ 65 Km/n	U 5
	o or more ranes being crossed; 60 km/n	E E
	4 to 5 lanes being crossed; ≥ 65 km/h	E
	6 or more lanes being crossed; ≥ 65 km/h	F

Rikoway and Intersection Type		201		
Bike Lanes or higher order facility of	n a Signalized Intersection Approach	L03		
Right-turn Lane and Turning Speed of	No impact on LTS (as long as cycling facility remains to the right of any turn lane - otherwise see pocket bike	lanes below)		
101011313	Two-stage left-turn bike box: < 50 km/b	А		
	No lane crossed. < 50 km/h			
	1 lane crossed, ≤ 40 km/h			
Ovelist Making a Loft turn and	No lane crossed, ≥ 60 km/h	С		
Operating Speed of Motorists (refer	1 lane crossed, 50 km/h	С		
to figure)	2 or more lanes crossed, ≤ 40 km/h	D		
io iiguicy	1 lane crossed, ≥ 60 km/h	E		
	2 or more lanes crossed, ≥ 50 km/h	F		
	All other single left-turn lane configurations			
Decket Bike Lance on a Signalized L	Dual lei-luin lanes (shaleu ol exclusive)	г		
FOCKET DIKE Laties off a Signalized I	Restriction Approach Right-turn lane introduced to the right of the bike lane and ≤ 50 m long, turning speed ≤ 25 km/b (based on			
	curb radii and angle of intersection)	В		
Right-turn Lane and Turning Speed of	Right-turn lane introduced to the right of the bike lane and > 50 m long, turning speed \leq 30 km/h (based on curb radii and angle of intersection)	D		
Motorists	Bike lane shifts to the left of the right-turn lane, turning speed ≤ 25 km/h (based on curb radii and angle of intersection)	D		
	Right-turn lane with any other configurations	F		
	Dual right-turn lanes (shared or exclusive)	F		
	Two-stage, left-turn bike box; ≤ 50 km/h	А		
	No lane crossed, ≤ 50 km/h	В		
	1 lane crossed, \leq 40 km/h	В		
Cyclist Making a Left-turn and	No lane crossed, ≥ 60 km/h	C		
Operating Speed of Motorists (refer	1 lane crossed, 50 km/h	С		
to figure)	2 or more lanes crossed, \leq 40 km/n 1 lane crossed \geq 40 km/h	D		
	1 lane crossed, \geq 60 km/h			
	All other single left.turn lane configurations	F		
	Dual left-turn lanes (shared or exclusive)	F		
Mixed Traffic on a Signalized Interse	ection Approach			
	Right-turn lane 25 to 50 m long, turning speed \leq 25 km/h (based on curb radii and angle of intersection)	D		
Right-turn Lane and Turning Speed of	Right-turn lane 25 to 50 m long, turning speed > 25 km/h (based on curb radii and angle of intersection)			
Motorists	Right-turn lane longer than 50 m			
	Dual right-turn lanes (shared or exclusive)			
	Two-stage, left-turn bike box; ≤ 50 km/h	A		
	No lane crossed, ≤ 50 km/h			
	1 lane crossed, \leq 40 km/h			
Cyclist Making a Left-turn and	No lane crossed, ≥ 60 km/h	D		
Operating Speed of Motorists (refer	1 Jane crossed, 50 km/n	D		
to figure)	2 01 more ranges crossed, \leq 40 km/h	D F		
	2 or more lanes crossed > 50 km/h	F		
	All other single left.turn lane configurations			
	Dual left-turn lanes (shared or exclusive)	F		
Left-turn Configurations Two-stage, left-t	urn bike box No lane crossed One lane crossed	<u> </u>		
•				
	One Lane Crossed			

Exhibit 12 – BLOS Signalized Intersection Evaluation Table

Notes: 1. Pocket bike lanes are defined as bike lanes that develop near intersections between vehicular right turn lanes on the right side and vehicular through or left lanes on the left side. All other configurations of bike lanes or separated facility that remain against the edge of the curb/parking lane and require right turning vehicles to yield to through cyclists will not impact the level of traffic stress (i.e. are considered to be LOS A).

Transit Level of Service

Exhibit 15 - TLOS Segment Evaluation Table

Facility Type		Level/exposu frictio	ire to conge on and incid	Quantitative		
		Congestion	Friction	Incident Potential	Measurement	203
Segregated ROW		No	No	No	N/A	А
Bus lane	No/limited parking/driveway friction	No	Low	Low	$C_f \le 60$	В
	Frequent parking/driveway friction	No	Medium	Medium	$C_{f} > 60$	С
Mixed Traffic	Limited parking/driveway friction	Yes	Low	Medium	$Vt/Vp \ge 0.8$	D
	Moderate parking/driveway friction	Yes	Medium	Medium	$Vt/Vp \le 0.6$	E
	Frequent parking/driveway friction	Yes	High	High	Vt/Vp < 0.4	F

Notes:

Cf, Conflict Factor = = (Number of driveways x crossing volume) / 1 km Vt/Vp is the ratio of average transit travel speed to posted speed limit

Exhibit 16 - TLOS Signalized Intersection Evaluation Table

Delay	Typical Location	LOS
0	Grade Separation	А
≤10 sec	High Level TSP	В
≤2 0 sec		С
≤3 0 sec		D
≤40 sec	TSP & long cycle length	E
>40 sec	No TSP & long cycle length	F

Note: Delay includes travel time from end of queue to entering the intersection

Level of Service Targets

				Bicycle	e - BLOS		Transit - TLOS ³ Truck - TrLOS					
OP Designation / Policy Area	Road Class	PLOS	Cross-town	Spino Pouto	Local Pouto	Elsowhoro	Rapid Transit	TP - Continuous	TP - Isolated	Truck Pouto	Othor	Auto - LOS ⁴
			Bikeway	Spille Roule	LUCAI KUUle	EISEMIIEIE	Corridor	Lanes	Measures	TTUCK KUULE	Other	
Land-Use Designation												
	Arterial	А	А	С	В	D	А	С	D	D	E	E
Central Area	Collector	А	А	В	В	D	А	С	D	D	No target	E
	Local	А	А	В	В	D	А	С	D	E	No target	E
	Arterial	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
Developing Community	Collector	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
	Local	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	N/A	No target	D
	Arterial	С	В	С	С	E	В	С	D	В	D	D
Employment Area	Collector	С	В	С	С	E	В	С	D	В	D	D
	Local	С	В	D	С	No target	В	С	D	D	E	D
	Arterial	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	В	E	D
Entreprise Area	Collector	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	В	E	D
	Local	С	В	С	В	No target	В	С	D	D	No target	D
	Arterial	No target	N/A	D	D	No target	N/A	N/A	N/A	С	E	D
General Rural Area	Collector	No target	N/A	D	D	No target	N/A	N/A	N/A	С	No target	D
	Local	No target	N/A	D	D	No target	N/A	N/A	N/A	No target	No target	D
	Arterial	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	D	E	D
General Urban Area	Collector	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
	Local	С	В	С	В	D	В	С	D	N/A	No target	D
	Arterial	С	А	С	В	D	В	С	D	D	E	D
Mixed Use Centre	Collector	С	А	В	В	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
	Local	С	А	В	В	D	В	С	D	N/A	No target	D
	Arterial	С	В	С	В	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	D	No target	D
Village	Collector	С	В	С	В	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	D	No target	D
	Local	С	В		В	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No target	D
Traditional Main Streat	Arterial	В	А	С	С	D	В	С	D	D	E	D
Traditional Main Street	Collector	В	А	С	С	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
Arterial Main Street	Arterial	С	В	С	D	D	В	С	D	D	E	D
	Arterial	D	В	С	С	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
All Other Designations	Collector	D	В	С	С	D	В	С	D	D	No target	D
	Local	D	В	С	С	D	В	С	D	N/A	No target	D
Policy Area ²												
	Arterial	А	А	С	В	D	А	С	D	D	E	E
Within 600m of a rapid transit station	Collector	А	А	В	В	D	А	С	D	D	No target	E
	Local	А	А	В	В	D	А	С	D	N/A	No target	E
	Arterial	А	А	С	В	D	А	С	D	D	E	E
Within 300m of a school	Collector	А	А	В	В	D	А	С	D	D	No target	E
	Local	А	А	В	В	D	А	С	D	N/A	No target	E

Exhibit 22 – Minimum Desirable MMLOS Targets by Official Plan Policy/Designation & Road Class

1. This table indicates the minimum desirable target. Efforts should be made to exceed these minimum targets whenever possible, without negatively impacting the ability to achieve the minimum targets for other modes.

2. Where a policy area applies to a project or area, the modal targets should reflect the policy area targets regardless of the land use designation.

3. Transit targets are intended to be applied only for streets with a proposed or existing transit route.

4. Auto LOS is based on the two and a half hour peak period.

5. Minimum guidelines as dictated by City policy must be maintained, regardless of MMLOS targets.

N/A - Not applicable



Appendix D

Synchro Modelling Assumptions and Parameters



Synchro Modelling Parameters and Assumptions

The key assumptions and modifications made to the default values of the Synchro parameters in the traffic modelling exercise are as follows:

- The existing turning movement volumes at the Study Area intersections, developed from the raw Turning Movement Count data after applying a conservative annual growth rate of 1%, were used in the Existing Conditions model for all Turning Movement Counts collected before 2020.
- The Heavy Vehicle Percentages were calculated at the movement level based on the raw Turning Movement Count data.
- The Peak Hour Factors for each individual intersection was calculated based on the raw Turning Movement Count data.
- Conflicting pedestrian and bicycle volumes were input for the left-turn and right-turn movements based on the raw Turning Movement Count data.
- Bus Blockages were input into the model to represent delays to vehicular traffic due to passenger boarding and alighting at the bus / streetcar stops. Buses stopping at the nearside of an intersection were reflected in Synchro as bus blockages for the shared right-turn and through movements. Streetcars stopping at the nearside of an intersection were reflected in Synchro as bus blockages for the whole approach. Bus blockages were estimated as per the Toronto Transit Commission schedule available online for the Toronto Transit Commission bus and streetcar routes that have stops within the Study Area. It should be noted that Synchro assumes that Bus Blockages cause an average blockage of 14.4 seconds during each occurrence and reduces the Saturation Flow Rate of the respective blocked movements accordingly. Hence, any existing streetcar stop locations with a passenger servicing time exceeding 14.4 seconds could have its impacts on traffic operations underestimated, as a result.
- The Lost Time Adjust values for all the movements were set to -1 second as per the City's Guidelines for Using Synchro 9.
- The Synchro default values were used for all other parameters.



Appendix E

Synchro Reports

Queues 320: Gerrard Street & Logan Avenue

	-	←	Ť	1
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	NBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	394	856	157	49
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.51	0.37	0.14
Control Delay	5.5	3.8	23.5	7.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	5.5	3.8	23.5	7.0
Queue Length 50th (m)	9.2	10.3	15.6	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	15.6	13.3	29.5	6.7
Internal Link Dist (m)	107.1	185.5	89.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)				20.0
Base Capacity (vph)	1714	1682	562	446
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.23	0.51	0.28	0.11
Intersection Summary				

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	+	*	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î»			ፋጉ			्र	1			
Traffic Volume (vph)	26	243	89	135	612	32	60	83	45	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	26	243	89	135	612	32	60	83	45	0	0	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00	1.00			
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.96			1.00			1.00	0.89			
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			0.98			0.98	1.00			
Frt		0.96			0.99			1.00	0.85			
Flt Protected		1.00			0.99			0.98	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)		3095			3392			1791	1292			
Flt Permitted		0.87			0.79			0.98	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)		2701			2703			1791	1292			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Adj. Flow (vph)	29	267	98	148	673	35	66	91	49	0	0	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	37	0	0	3	0	0	0	37	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	357	0	0	853	0	0	157	12	0	0	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	45		91	91		45	34		44	44		34
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	21		22	22		21	25		65	65		25
Heavy Vehicles (%)	24%	3%	6%	4%	1%	0%	0%	6%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	12	12	12	12	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm			
Protected Phases		2			6			4				
Permitted Phases	2			6			4		4			
Actuated Green, G (s)		42.5			42.5			15.5	15.5			
Effective Green, g (s)		43.5			43.5			16.5	16.5			
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.62			0.62			0.24	0.24			
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1678			1679			422	304			
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.13			c0.32			0.09	0.01			
v/c Ratio		0.21			0.51			0.37	0.04			
Uniform Delay, d1		5.8			7.3			22.4	20.6			
Progression Factor		1.00			0.36			1.00	1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2		0.3			0.7			0.6	0.1			
Delay (s)		6.1			3.3			23.0	20.7			
Level of Service		А			А			С	С			
Approach Delay (s)		6.1			3.3			22.4			0.0	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			А	
Interception Cummon												
			()		011 0000		<u> </u>					
HCM 2000 Control Delay			6.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		A			
HCIVI 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.4/	~					10.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			/0.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		68.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	9		C			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

	-	←	Ť	Ļ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	287	1027	295	609
v/c Ratio	0.22	0.77	0.28	0.54
Control Delay	16.4	14.9	12.3	17.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	16.4	14.9	12.3	17.6
Queue Length 50th (m)	14.7	56.4	10.5	29.3
Queue Length 95th (m)	24.7	80.1	18.5	43.7
Internal Link Dist (m)	185.5	183.2	89.2	52.7
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1288	1327	1069	1138
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.22	0.77	0.28	0.54
Intersection Summary				

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	•	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î b			đ þ			đ î ji			đ þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	185	62	152	730	105	44	176	63	79	408	98
Future Volume (vph)	28	185	62	152	730	105	44	176	63	79	408	98
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.97			0.98			0.97			0.98	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Frt		0.97			0.98			0.97			0.97	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3216			3345			3283			3360	
Flt Permitted		0.82			0.83			0.81			0.85	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2659			2788			2675			2889	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	29	193	65	158	760	109	46	183	66	82	425	102
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	34	0	0	13	0	0	38	0	0	24	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	253	0	0	1014	0	0	257	0	0	585	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	181		93	93		181	90		134	134		90
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			63			23			40			11
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	3%	5%	1%	1%	1%	7%	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	12	12	12	12	12	12	0	0	0	0	10	10
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		32.0			32.0			26.0			26.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		33.0			33.0			27.0			27.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.47			0.47			0.39			0.39	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1253			1314			1031			1114	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.10			c0.36			0.10			c0.20	
v/c Ratio		0.20			0.77			0.25			0.53	
Uniform Delay, d1		10.8			15.4			14.6			16.6	
Progression Factor		1.89			0.68			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.4			4.4			0.6			1.8	
Delay (s)		20.8			14.8			15.2			18.3	
Level of Service		С			В			В			В	
Approach Delay (s)		20.8			14.8			15.2			18.3	
Approach LOS		С			В			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			16.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.66									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		100.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service	;		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 322: Gerrard Street & Pape Avenue

	-	←	Ť	Ļ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	393	578	73	52
v/c Ratio	0.27	0.35	0.12	0.10
Control Delay	14.3	5.0	13.1	10.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	14.3	5.0	13.1	10.8
Queue Length 50th (m)	15.1	3.2	4.7	2.5
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.1	4.4	12.7	9.0
Internal Link Dist (m)	183.2	212.4	62.4	59.6
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1442	1652	592	543
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.27	0.35	0.12	0.10
Intersection Summarv				

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î»			đ þ			\$			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	55	282	29	28	502	7	14	36	18	17	10	21
Future Volume (vph)	55	282	29	28	502	7	14	36	18	17	10	21
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.5			4.5			5.9			5.9	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00			0.98			0.97	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00			0.99			0.98	
Frt		0.99			1.00			0.96			0.94	
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00			0.99			0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3448			3525			1758			1661	
Flt Permitted		0.81			0.92			0.95			0.91	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2828			3256			1685			1536	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Adj. Flow (vph)	59	303	31	30	540	8	15	39	19	18	11	23
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	12	0	0	15	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	384	0	0	577	0	0	61	0	0	37	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	56		43	43		56	53		61	61		53
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			4			1						2
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	12	12	12	12	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		34.5			34.5			23.1			23.1	
Effective Green, g (s)		35.5			35.5			24.1			24.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.51			0.51			0.34			0.34	
Clearance Time (s)		5.5			5.5			6.9			6.9	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1434			1651			580			528	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		0.14			c0.18			c0.04			0.02	
v/c Ratio		0.27			0.35			0.10			0.07	
Uniform Delay, d1		9.8			10.3			15.6			15.4	
Progression Factor		1.45			0.42			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.4			0.6			0.4			0.3	
Delay (s)		14.7			4.9			16.0			15.7	
Level of Service		В			A			В			В	
Approach Delay (s)		14.7			4.9			16.0			15.7	
Approach LOS		В			A			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		Α			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ty ratio		0.25									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		66.6%	IC	U Level	of Service	9		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 323: Dundas Street & Logan Avenue

	≯	-	1	-	1	1	↓
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	38	326	86	652	222	22	240
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.32	0.15	0.63	0.46	0.07	0.40
Control Delay	9.8	9.7	4.1	6.5	23.9	19.0	21.7
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	9.8	9.7	4.1	6.5	23.9	19.0	21.7
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.4	21.8	2.2	16.9	24.5	2.2	25.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	7.1	36.3	m3.4	22.4	43.6	7.1	43.6
Internal Link Dist (m)		160.9		174.8	126.5		85.2
Turn Bay Length (m)	20.0		15.0			20.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	281	1028	556	1040	486	326	593
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.14	0.32	0.15	0.63	0.46	0.07	0.40
Intersection Summary							

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲.	ţ,		۲.	f,			4		۲.	f,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	36	263	47	82	579	41	61	132	18	21	190	38
Future Volume (vph)	36	263	47	82	579	41	61	132	18	21	190	38
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00			0.97		1.00	0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.99	1.00		0.99	1.00			0.99		0.97	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.99			0.99		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1757	1846		1805	1877			1788		1688	1849	
Flt Permitted	0.28	1.00		0.53	1.00			0.84		0.58	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	509	1846		1006	1877			1528		1035	1849	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adj. Flow (vph)	38	277	49	86	609	43	64	139	19	22	200	40
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	9	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	38	318	0	86	649	0	0	218	0	22	230	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	18		11	11		18	23		26	26		23
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	13		28	28		13	16		206	206		16
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	41.0	41.0		41.0	41.0			23.0		23.0	23.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	42.0	42.0		42.0	42.0			24.0		24.0	24.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.55	0.55		0.55	0.55			0.32		0.32	0.32	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0		6.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	281	1020		555	1037			482		326	583	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.17			c0.35						0.12	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.07			0.09				c0.14		0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.31		0.15	0.63			0.45		0.07	0.40	
Uniform Delay, d1	8.2	9.2		8.3	11.6			20.8		18.2	20.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.42	0.36			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.0	0.8		0.4	2.1			3.0		0.4	2.0	
Delay (s)	9.2	10.0		3.9	6.3			23.8		18.6	22.3	
Level of Service	А	А		А	А			С		В	С	
Approach Delay (s)		9.9			6.0			23.8			22.0	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			12.1	Ц	CM 2000	Level of	Service		R			
HCM 2000 Volume to Canad	city ratio		0.56						D			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			76.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Canacity Utiliza	tion		93.1%			of Service	2		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15	TC	. 5 201011		- 					

c Critical Lane Group

Queues 324: Dundas Street & Carlaw Avenue

	≯	-	1	-	1	↓
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	38	254	157	679	296	646
v/c Ratio	0.18	0.27	0.29	0.70	0.32	0.59
Control Delay	17.5	14.1	12.5	18.8	15.4	21.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	17.5	14.1	12.5	18.8	15.4	21.8
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.9	19.4	12.1	69.1	12.6	37.3
Queue Length 95th (m)	m9.9	38.5	23.7	106.9	21.9	53.6
Internal Link Dist (m)		174.8		141.2	116.8	107.1
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0		25.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	214	953	536	970	911	1089
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.18	0.27	0.29	0.70	0.32	0.59
Intersection Summary						

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	1	-	*	1	Ť	۲	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ľ	eî.		۲	eî 🗧			e t i i			đ ĥ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	35	200	34	144	604	20	40	170	63	55	452	87
Future Volume (vph)	35	200	34	144	604	20	40	170	63	55	452	87
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00			0.93			0.99	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00			0.97			0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1765	1842		1697	1888			3025			3386	
Flt Permitted	0.23	1.00		0.59	1.00			0.81			0.89	
Satd. Flow (perm)	418	1842		1045	1888			2461			3014	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	38	217	37	157	657	22	43	185	68	60	491	95
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	8	0	0	1	0	0	37	0	0	18	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	38	246	0	157	678	0	0	259	0	0	628	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	16		54	54		16	45		25	25		45
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	8		14	14		8	12		249	249		12
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	1%	0%	3%	1%	5%	5%	5%	5%	2%	1%	1%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	10	10
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	38.0	38.0		38.0	38.0			26.0			26.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	39.0	39.0		39.0	39.0			27.0			27.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.51	0.51		0.51	0.51			0.36			0.36	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	214	945		536	968			874			1070	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.13			c0.36							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.09			0.15				0.11			c0.21	
v/c Ratio	0.18	0.26		0.29	0.70			0.30			0.59	
Uniform Delay, d1	9.9	10.4		10.6	14.1			17.7			20.0	
Progression Factor	1.46	1.35		1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	1.7	0.6		1.4	4.2			0.9			2.4	
Delay (s)	16.3	14.7		12.0	18.3			18.5			22.3	
Level of Service	В	В		В	В			В			С	
Approach Delay (s)		14.9			17.1			18.5			22.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			В			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			18.6	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.65									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			76.0	Si	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		98.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	<u>;</u>		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	-	+	Ť	1
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	NBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	874	427	173	183
v/c Ratio	0.51	0.27	0.38	0.42
Control Delay	10.2	14.7	22.5	16.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	10.2	14.7	22.5	16.3
Queue Length 50th (m)	34.0	19.1	17.4	12.3
Queue Length 95th (m)	48.5	30.3	32.3	27.7
Internal Link Dist (m)	95.4	182.7	96.8	
Turn Bay Length (m)				20.0
Base Capacity (vph)	1709	1611	542	507
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.51	0.27	0.32	0.36
Intersection Summary				

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	+	•	1	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î b			4î»			र्च	1			
Traffic Volume (vph)	85	687	59	44	315	47	91	73	174	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	85	687	59	44	315	47	91	73	174	0	0	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.0	5.0			
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00	1.00			
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.97			0.98			1.00	0.92			
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99			0.95	1.00			
Frt		0.99			0.98			1.00	0.85			
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99			0.97	1.00			
Satd. Flow (prot)		3355			3301			1727	1483			
Flt Permitted		0.85			0.81			0.97	1.00			
Satd. Flow (perm)		2878			2700			1727	1483			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Adi, Flow (vph)	89	723	62	46	332	49	96	77	183	0	0	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	7	0	0	13	0	0	0	43	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	867	0	0	414	0	0	173	140	0	0	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	73		225	225		73	83		52	52		83
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	5		32	32		5	59		23	23		59
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	5%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm			
Protected Phases	1 01111	2		1 01111	6		1 0111	4	1 01111			
Permitted Phases	2	_		6	0		4		4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	-	40.4		Ū	40.4			17.6	17.6			
Effective Green, g (s)		41.4			41.4			18.6	18.6			
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.59			0.59			0.27	0.27			
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			6.0			6.0	6.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0			
Lane Grn Can (vnh)		1702			1596			458	394			
v/s Ratio Prot		1702			1070			400	574			
v/s Ratio Perm		c0 30			0 15			0.10	0 09			
v/c Ratio		0.51			0.10			0.10	0.07			
Uniform Delay, d1		8.4			6.9			21.0	20.8			
Progression Factor		1 00			2 00			1 00	1 00			
Incremental Delay, d2		11			0.3			0.5	0.6			
Delay (s)		95			14.1			21.5	21.4			
Level of Service		Α			B			21.5 C	C.			
Approach Delay (s)		95			14.1			21 4	Ŭ		0.0	
Approach LOS		Δ			R			21.4 C			Δ	
Approach 200		Л			U			C			7	_
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			13.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.47									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	C.	um of lost	time(s)			10.0			
			70.0	3					10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		70.0	IC	CU Level	of Service	<u>)</u>		C			

c Critical Lane Group

	-	+	Ť	Ļ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	768	533	555	517
v/c Ratio	0.77	0.60	0.39	0.62
Control Delay	19.4	28.2	10.6	22.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	19.4	28.2	10.6	22.0
Queue Length 50th (m)	26.9	32.6	19.5	27.4
Queue Length 95th (m)	49.6	49.6	28.9	42.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	182.7	183.2	89.2	52.7
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	998	895	1416	828
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.77	0.60	0.39	0.62
Intersection Summary				

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્ન કિ			4î Þ			र्स कि			4î»	
Traffic Volume (vph)	79	610	64	57	324	141	88	368	87	119	313	75
Future Volume (vph)	79	610	64	57	324	141	88	368	87	119	313	75
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.93			0.98			0.98	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00			0.99			0.99	
Frt		0.99			0.96			0.98			0.98	
Flt Protected		0.99			0.99			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3419			3146			3413			3278	
Flt Permitted		0.81			0.75			0.77			0.71	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2769			2357			2662			2356	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Adj. Flow (vph)	81	622	65	58	331	144	90	376	89	121	319	77
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	10	0	0	54	0	0	21	0	0	20	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	758	0	0	479	0	0	535	0	0	497	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	239		120	120		239	129		145	145		129
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			28			66			22			23
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	2%	4%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	9	9
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6		7	4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		24.0			24.0			34.0			23.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		25.0			25.0			35.0			24.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.36			0.36			0.50			0.34	
Clearance Time (s)		6.0			6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		988			841			1416			807	
v/s Ratio Prot								c0.04				
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.27			0.20			0.15			c0.21	
v/c Ratio		0.77			0.57			0.38			0.62	
Uniform Delay, d1		19.9			18.2			10.8			19.2	
Progression Factor		0.70			1.62			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		5.1			2.7			0.8			3.5	
Delay (s)		19.1			32.1			11.6			22.7	
Level of Service		В			С			В			С	
Approach Delay (s)		19.1			32.1			11.6			22.7	
Approach LOS		В			С			В			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.65									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			13.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		93.4%	IC	U Level	of Service	е		F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	-	+	1	ŧ
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	701	541	122	178
v/c Ratio	0.47	0.35	0.20	0.30
Control Delay	5.8	16.7	11.6	10.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	5.8	16.7	11.6	10.9
Queue Length 50th (m)	11.0	30.4	6.7	8.6
Queue Length 95th (m)	15.4	44.2	17.1	21.7
Internal Link Dist (m)	183.2	212.4	62.4	59.6
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1499	1530	611	592
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.47	0.35	0.20	0.30
Intersection Summary				

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	*	1	†	1	1	.↓	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स कि			र्स कि			4			\$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	70	592	11	44	460	15	14	59	44	38	45	87
Future Volume (vph)	70	592	11	44	460	15	14	59	44	38	45	87
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		4.5			4.5			5.9			5.9	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00			1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			0.99			0.97			0.97	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00			1.00			0.99	
Frt		1.00			1.00			0.95			0.93	
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3496			3506			1758			1699	
Flt Permitted		0.84			0.85			0.95			0.91	
Satd. Flow (perm)		2953			3009			1689			1566	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Adj. Flow (vph)	73	617	11	46	479	16	15	61	46	40	47	91
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	30	0	0	54	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	700	0	0	538	0	0	92	0	0	124	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	180		41	41		180	50		63	63		50
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		34.5			34.5			23.1			23.1	
Effective Green, g (s)		35.5			35.5			24.1			24.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.51			0.51			0.34			0.34	
Clearance Time (s)		5.5			5.5			6.9			6.9	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1497			1525			581			539	
v/s Ratio Prot												
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.24			0.18			0.05			c0.08	
v/c Ratio		0.47			0.35			0.16			0.23	
Uniform Delay, d1		11.1			10.4			15.9			16.3	
Progression Factor		0.45			1.54			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.7			0.6			0.6			1.0	
Delay (s)		5.7			16.6			16.5			17.3	
Level of Service		А			В			В			В	
Approach Delay (s)		5.7			16.6			16.5			17.3	
Approach LOS		А			В			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			11.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	ratio		0.37									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		67.9%	IC	CU Level	of Service	;		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Queues 323: Dundas Street & Logan Avenue

	≯	-	•	-	1	1	Ļ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	73	646	63	452	380	36	146
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.58	0.18	0.41	0.80	0.21	0.29
Control Delay	9.1	12.8	17.1	17.4	36.3	23.2	20.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	9.1	12.8	17.1	17.4	36.3	23.2	20.1
Queue Length 50th (m)	4.6	55.0	5.9	44.9	44.7	3.8	14.1
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.9	86.7	m14.1	70.0	72.1	10.7	26.7
Internal Link Dist (m)		172.8		175.7	92.0		75.5
Turn Bay Length (m)	20.0		15.0			20.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	493	1123	342	1107	540	193	575
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.15	0.58	0.18	0.41	0.70	0.19	0.25
Intersection Summary							

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	1	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	¢Î,		ľ	el el			\$		ľ	ર્લ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	65	537	38	56	363	39	40	195	103	32	108	22
Future Volume (vph)	65	537	38	56	363	39	40	195	103	32	108	22
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			0.96		1.00	0.96	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.98	1.00		0.99	1.00			0.99		0.97	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99			0.96		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1791	1893		1809	1864			1731		1647	1788	
Flt Permitted	0.44	1.00		0.30	1.00			0.94		0.35	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	833	1893		579	1864			1646		614	1788	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.89
Adj. Flow (vph)	73	603	43	63	408	44	45	219	116	36	121	25
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	22	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	73	643	0	63	448	0	0	358	0	36	136	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	24		18	18		24	37		47	47		37
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	17		33	33		17	104		26	26		104
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	7%	0%	5%
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	44.0	44.0		44.0	44.0			20.0		20.0	20.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	45.0	45.0		45.0	45.0			21.0		21.0	21.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.59	0.59		0.59	0.59			0.28		0.28	0.28	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0		6.0	6.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	493	1120		342	1103			454		169	494	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.34			0.24						0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.09			0.11				c0.22		0.06		
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.57		0.18	0.41			0.79		0.21	0.28	
Uniform Delay, d1	6.9	9.6		7.1	8.3			25.5		21.1	21.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.80	1.80			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.6	2.1		1.1	1.0			8.9		0.6	0.3	
Delay (s)	7.6	11.7		13.9	16.0			34.3		21.8	21.8	
Level of Service	А	В		В	В			С		С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		11.3			15.7			34.3			21.8	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			С	
ntersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			18.5	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.64									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			76.0	Si	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		89.1%	IC	U Level	of Service	<u>;</u>		E			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Queues 324: Dundas Street & Carlaw Avenue

	٦	-	4	+	Ť	ŧ
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBT	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	74	798	78	387	523	436
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.83	0.59	0.40	0.50	0.48
Control Delay	7.2	21.3	35.6	12.7	19.1	19.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	7.2	21.3	35.6	12.7	19.1	19.2
Queue Length 50th (m)	3.0	101.5	7.3	31.2	27.0	22.4
Queue Length 95th (m)	m5.2	#162.2	#28.3	50.0	40.8	35.2
Internal Link Dist (m)		175.7		157.1	118.9	144.5
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0		25.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	441	965	133	964	1040	910
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.17	0.83	0.59	0.40	0.50	0.48
Interception Cummon						

Intersection Summary

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u>۲</u>	4Î		ľ	લૈ			đ þ			đ þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	73	722	60	76	352	27	57	342	114	70	276	81
Future Volume (vph)	73	722	60	76	352	27	57	342	114	70	276	81
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99			0.97			0.94	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.97	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.99			0.99	
Frt	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99			0.97			0.97	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99			0.99	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1766	1873		1825	1872			3303			3171	
Flt Permitted	0.46	1.00		0.14	1.00			0.85			0.78	
Satd. Flow (perm)	860	1873		261	1872			2830			2488	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Adj. Flow (vph)	74	737	61	78	359	28	58	349	116	71	282	83
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	35	0	0	27	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	74	794	0	78	383	0	0	488	0	0	409	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	56		40	40		56	71		58	58		71
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	15		7	7		15	226		26	26		226
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Bus Blockages (#/hr)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	9	9
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)	38.0	38.0		38.0	38.0			26.0			26.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	39.0	39.0		39.0	39.0			27.0			27.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.51	0.51		0.51	0.51			0.36			0.36	
Clearance Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0			6.0			6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	441	961		133	960			1005			883	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.42			0.20							
v/s Ratio Perm	0.09			0.30				c0.17			0.16	
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.83		0.59	0.40			0.49			0.46	
Uniform Delay, d1	9.9	15.6		12.9	11.3			19.1			18.9	
Progression Factor	0.62	0.83		1.00	1.00			1.00			1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.7	7.2		17.5	1.2			1.7			1.7	
Delay (s)	6.9	20.2		30.4	12.6			20.8			20.7	
Level of Service	А	С		С	В			С			С	
Approach Delay (s)		19.0			15.6			20.8			20.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			19.0	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.69									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)		76.0	Si	um of los	t time (s)			10.0				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		106.9%	IC	U Level	of Service	<u>;</u>		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												



Appendix F

Multi-Modal Level of Service Results

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Intersections Form

Consultant Scenario	AECOM MMLOS Assessment - Existing Condition	s (2020) - AM Peak	Project Date	OL Early Wor 22/06/2020	ks - LSEJC]															
	INTERSECTIONS		Gerrard Street	/ Logan Avenue			Gerrard Street /	Carlaw Avenue	•		Gerrard Street	/ Pape Avenue			Dundas Street	/ Logan Avenue	•		Dundas Street	/ Carlaw Avenue	
	Crossing Side	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST
	Lanes	0 - 2	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	0 - 2	0 - 2	4	4	3	0 - 2	3	3	4	4	3	3
	Median	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m
	Conflicting Left Turns	Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed
-	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel	No No Channel
ar	Corner Radius	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m
Ē		Std transverse	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis	Zebra stripe hi-vis
es	Crosswalk Type	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings
eq	PETSI Score	85	73	64	64	56	56	56	56	88	88	56	56	73	88	73	73	56	56	73	73
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	В	С	С	С	D	D	D	D	В	В	D	D	С	В	С	С	D	D	С	С
	Cycle Length	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
	Effective Walk Time	23	23	8	8	17	17	11	11	21	21	8	8	25	25	8	8	25	25	8	8
	Average Pedestrian Delay	16	16	27	27	20	20	25	25	17	17	27	27	17	17	30	30	17	17	30	30
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	В	В	С	С	С	C	С	С	В	В	С	C	В	В	D	D	В	В	D	D
	Loval of Sarvina	В	С	C	C	D	D	D	D	В	В	D	D	С	В	D	D	D	D	D	D
	Level of Service			C				2			l l	D				D				D	
	Approach From	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach		Pocket Bike Lane	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane,	Curb Bike Lane,	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MLIP
	IF Dedicated Right Turn Lane, THEN Right Turn Configuration, ELSE chlanks		≤ 50 m Introduced right turn lane											eyolendor el mer		e yololidak er mer	e yololidak er mer			e you dan er mer	
O	Dedicated Right Turning Speed		≤ 25 km/h																		
<u>v</u>	Cyclist Through Movement	-	В											Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable			Not Applicable	Not Applicable
C C	Separated or Mixed Traffic	-	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated
ä	Left Turn Approach Operating Speed		No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	1 lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	2-stage, LT box ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h
	Left Turning Cyclist	-	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	В	В	В	В
	Loval of Sanvisa	-	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	В	В	В	В
	Level of Service			В			E	3			E	В				В				В	
sit	Average Signal Delay			≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec	≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec			≤ 10 sec	≤ 20 sec					≤ 30 sec	≤ 20 sec		
an	Level of Service	-	-	В	В	С	С	С	С	-	-	В	С	-	-	-	-	D	С	-	-
Ĕ				В			(C				-				D	

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Intersections Form

Consultant Scenario	AECOM MMLOS Assessment - Existing Conditions	s (2020) - PM Peak	Project Date	OL Early Wor 22/06/2020	rks - LSEJC]															
	INTERSECTIONS		Gerrard Street	t / Logan Avenue			Gerrard Street	Carlaw Avenue			Gerrard Street	/ Pape Avenue			Dundas Street	/ Logan Avenue	•		Dundas Street	/ Carlaw Avenue	
	Crossing Side	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST
	Lanes	0 - 2	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	0 - 2	0 - 2	4	4	3	0 - 2	3	3	4	4	3	3
	Median	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m
	Conflicting Left Turns	Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Protected/ Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
au	Right Turn Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel
Ë	Corrier Radius	Std transverse	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis	Zebra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis	Zehra strine hi-vis					
es	Crosswalk Type	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings	markings
ede	PETSI Score	85	73	64	64	56	56	56	56	88	88	56	56	73	88	73	73	56	56	73	73
ď	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	В	С	С	С	D	D	D	D	В	В	D	D	С	В	С	С	D	D	С	С
	Cycle Length	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76
	Effective Walk Time	23	23	8	8	9	9	19	8	21	21	8	8	25	25	8	8	25	25	8	8
	Average Pedestrian Delay	16	16	27	27	27	27	19	27	17	17	27	27	17	17	30	30	17	17	30	30
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	В	В	С	С	С	С	В	С	В	В	С	С	В	В	D	D	В	В	D	D
		В	С	С	С	D	D	D	D	В	В	D	D	С	В	D	D	D	D	D	D
	Level of Service			С				D				D				D				D	
	Approach From	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach		Pocket Bike Lane	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP
	IF Dedicated Right Turn Lane, THEN Right Turn Configuration, ELSE chlanks		≤ 50 m Introduced right turn lane											-,		-,	-,			-,	-,
e	Dedicated Right Turning Speed		≤ 25 km/h																		
<u>v</u>	Cyclist Through Movement	-	В											Not Applicable		Not Applicable	Not Applicable			Not Applicable	Not Applicable
<u></u>	Separated or Mixed Traffic	-	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated
ä	Left Turn Approach Operating Speed		No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	1 lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	2-stage, LT box ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h	No lane crossed ≤ 40 km/h
	Left Turning Cyclist	-	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	В	В	В	В
	Louis of Comvise	-	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	В	Α	В	В	В	В
	Level of Service			В				В				В				В				В	
sit	Average Signal Delay			≤ 20 sec	≤ 10 sec	≤ 30 sec	≤ 20 sec	≤ 40 sec	≤ 20 sec			≤ 20 sec	≤ 10 sec					≤ 30 sec	≤ 30 sec		
an	Level of Service	-	-	С	В	D	С	E	С	-	-	С	В	-	-	-	-	D	D	-	-
E .				C				E				C				-				D	

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

 Consultant Scenario
 AECOM MMLOS Assessment - Existing Conditions (2020)

 SEGMENTS
 Gerrard St
 Section Logan Ave to Pape Ave

 Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume
 1.5 m < 0.5 m</td>

 Operating Speed
 50 km/h

Tran		D	
Isit	Facility Type Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed		Mixed Traffic Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8
Bicycle	Level of Service	D	-
	Sidestreet Operating Speed Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS		-
	No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing		
	Blockage LoS		-
	Bike Lane Blockages		
	Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width		-
	# of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS		D
	Operating Speed		≤ 40 km/h
	Number of Travel Lanes		4-5 lanes total
Pedestrian	Type of Cycling Facility	E	Mixed Traffic
	Exposure to Traffic PLoS		
	On-Street Parking		no
	Operating Speed		> 30 to 50 km/h
	Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume		≤ 3000
	Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width		1.5 m < 0.5 m
Consultant AECOM Scenario MMLOS Assessment - Existing Conditions (2020) Section SEGMENTS **Dundas St** Logan Ave to Carlaw Ave Sidewalk Width 1.5 m Pedestrian Boulevard Width < 0.5 m Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume ≤ 3000 **Operating Speed** > 30 to 50 km/h Ε On-Street Parking no **Exposure to Traffic PLoS** Е Level of Service Type of Cycling Facility Curbside Bike Lane Number of Travel Lanes ≤ 1 each direction ≤ 50 km/h Operating Speed # of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width ≥1.5 to <1.8 m Bicycle **Bike Lane Width LoS** В B Bike Lane Blockages Rare Blockage LoS Α Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m) No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing Sidestreet Operating Speed Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS **Level of Service** Facility Type ransit Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed Level of Service

Consultant	AECOM]
Scenario	MMLOS Assessment - Existing Condition]	
SEGMENTS		Queen St	Section
			Boulton Ave to Empire Ave
_	Sidewalk Width	В	1.8 m
ar	Boulevard Width		0.5 - 2 m
19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume		≤ 3000
N N	Operating Speed		> 30 to 50 km/h
Pede	On-Street Parking		yes
	Exposure to Traffic PLoS		В
	Level of Service		В
	Type of Cycling Facility	D	Mixed Traffic
	Number of Travel Lanes		4-5 lanes total
	Operating Speed		≤ 40 km/h
	# of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS		D
	Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width		
	Bike Lane Width LoS		-
Š	Bike Lane Blockages		
<u>.</u>	Blockage LoS		-
<u>m</u>	Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m)		
	No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing		
	Sidestreet Operating Speed		
	Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS		-
	Level of Service		-
it	Facility Type	D	Mixed Traffic
Transi	Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed		Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8
	Level of Service		D

 Consultant
 AECOM

 Scenario
 MMLOS Assessment - Existing Conditions (2020)

SEGMENTS		Eastern Ave	Section
		Lastern Ave	Lewis St to Dibble St
	Sidewalk Width	D	1.5 m
ar	Boulevard Width		< 0.5 m
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume		> 3000
N.	Operating Speed		≤ 30 km/h
	On-Street Parking		no
ĕ	Exposure to Traffic PLoS		D
e .	Level of Service		D
ycle	Type of Cycling Facility	D	Mixed Traffic
	Number of Travel Lanes		4-5 lanes total
	Operating Speed		≤ 40 km/h
	# of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS		D
	Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width		
	Bike Lane Width LoS		-
	Bike Lane Blockages		
<u>.</u>	Blockage LoS		-
ß	Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m)		
	No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing		
	Sidestreet Operating Speed		
	Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS		-
	Level of Service		-
Transit	Facility Type	D	Mixed Traffic
	Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed		Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8
	Level of Service		D

Consultant	AECOM	1	
Scenario	MMLOS Assessment - Existing Conditio]	
SEGMENTS		Logan Ave	Section
Pedestrian	Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume Operating Speed On-Street Parking Exposure to Traffic PLoS Level of Service	E	Genard St to Dundas St 1.5 m < 0.5 m ≤ 3000 > 30 to 50 km/h yes E E
Bicycle	Type of Cycling Facility Number of Travel Lanes Operating Speed # of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width Bike Lane Width LoS Bike Lane Blockages Blockage LoS Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m) No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing Sidestreet Operating Speed Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS Level of Service	B	Parking beside Bike Lane 1 each direction ≤ 40 km/h A 4.25 m biking + parking width B Rare A -
Transit	Facility Type Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed Level of Service	-	-

Consultant AECOM Scenario MMLOS Assessment - Existing Conditions (2020) Section SEGMENTS Carlaw Ave Gerrard St to Dundas St Sidewalk Width 1.5 m Pedestrian Boulevard Width < 0.5 m Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume ≤ 3000 **Operating Speed** > 30 to 50 km/h Ε On-Street Parking no **Exposure to Traffic PLoS** Е Level of Service Type of Cycling Facility Mixed Traffic Number of Travel Lanes 4-5 lanes total >40 to <50 km/h Operating Speed # of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width Bicycle **Bike Lane Width LoS** Е -Bike Lane Blockages Blockage LoS _ Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m) No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing Sidestreet Operating Speed Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS **Level of Service** Facility Type Mixed Traffic ransit Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8 D Level of Service D