

February 2023

This version of GO Rail Station Access was released in February 2023.

| Version | Date | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | September 2022 | Approved by the Metrolinx <br> Board of Directors as "The GO <br> Rail Station Access Plan 2041 <br> (SAP)" |
| 2 | February 2023 | Renamed as "GO Rail Station <br> Access" |
|  |  |  |

Send your comments and questions by email to: RERProjectPlanning@metrolinx.com

## Land Acknowledgment

Please take a moment to acknowledge we are on lands that have been, and continue to be, home to many Indigenous Peoples including the Anishnabeg, the Haudenosaunee and the Huron-Wendat peoples.

We are all Treaty people. Many of us have come here as settlers, as immigrants or involuntarily as part of the trans-Atlantic slave trade, in this generation, or generations past.
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## Executive Summary

## Purpose of GO Rail Station Access

GO Rail Station Access is a pillar of Metrolinx's GO Expansion Program, which is bringing faster all-day, two-way rail service to communities across the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA). GO Rail Station Access supports enhanced GO station access, improves options for customers, and increases the capacity of GO stations to accommodate ridership growth in a way that is sustainable and financially efficient to 2041.

GO ridership will double over the next two decades, even accounting for the long-term COVID-19 pandemic impacts of remote work.

In the past, Metrolinx accommodated ridership growth by increasing parking to almost 73,000 spaces in total at stations across the rail network. However, continued growth of parking at this rate is financially and environmentally unsustainable. As a result, Metrolinx needs to accommodate more customers by encouraging a major shift in modes of access and limited parking expansion.

Metrolinx's 2016 GO Rail Station Access Plan, built upon the foundations laid in the 2013 GO Transit Rail Station Access and Parking Strategy, emphasized that ridership growth could not


Figure 1 Existing and forecasted future GO Rail ridership accounting for short- and long-term COVID-19 impacts on regional travel.
Sources: 2017 and 2019 GO Rail Passenger Survey, Greater Golden Horseshoe Model, 2020 Statistics Canada Canadian Survey on Business Conditions, 2020 Toronto Regional Board of Trade Survey of Downtown Workers, 2018 GO Expansion Full Business Case, and 2022 Station Access Model.
continue to be accommodated with unlimited parking expansion, and that at the same time, increasing station access capacity was critical for managing ridership growth. It also identified distinct costs and benefits associated with different station access strategies. Metrolinx has also observed a distinct shift toward alternative modes of access across the network, such as walking (from $8.5 \%$ to $11 \%$ ) and local transit (from $8.5 \%$ to $18 \%$ ), and a reduction in the overall prevalence of drive-and-park (64\% to $46 \%$ ) since 2015.

## Approach to Managing Growth

GO Rail Station Access maintains the incremental change approach introduced in the 2016 GO Rail Station Access Plan but extends the planning horizon to 2041 and incorporates updated provincial priorities, such as the TransitOriented Communities program and pandemic recovery measures to address impacts on regional travel patterns.

## Station-Specific Requirements

GO Rail Station Access identifies station-specific requirements to guide investment in all existing and in-delivery (i.e. under construction) GO Rail stations. These requirements include Metrolinxled improvements on GO station lands (to be implemented independently or in partnership with third parties), and municipal- or developerled improvements around GO stations on their respective lands. Off-site opportunities are also identified through extensive consultation with municipalities and municipal service providers (MSPs), and reviews of municipal plans.

GO Rail Station Access also includes mode share targets for each station that contribute to mode-specific infrastructure recommendations and Metrolinx's decision-making on accessrelated programs and investment.


Figure 2 Network-wide mode share of average weekday ridership (excluding Union Station)
Source: 2015, 2017, and 2019 GO Rail Passenger Survey and 2022 Station Access Model

## Analytic Process

To update GO Rail Station Access, station ridership and mode-share were evaluated using Metrolinx's Station Access Model, which calculates the utility (i.e., estimated attractiveness) of each mode of access at individual GO Rail stations. The model considered existing travel patterns and observed historical behaviour (i.e., from the 2017 and 2019 GO Rail Passenger Surveys), unconstrained station ridership (i.e., based on the Greater Golden Horseshoe Model), Metrolinx's GO bus plans, long-term municipal transit service plans, the anticipated COVID-19 outlook and impacts (i.e., based on the Statistics Canada Employer Survey), and other stationlevel and mode characteristics (i.e., travel time, cost, walk score, and bike score).

Results from the Station Access Model were then used to determine the appropriate size of facilities and identify where additional investment may be required to support future ridership growth.

## How to Read this Document

Part 1 of this document include the following sections:

- Section 1 provides an introduction to this document, including its purpose, use, and relevant policies and plans.
- Section 2 presents the station-specific requirements, including detailed tables for each GO Rail station, the methodology used, and the process for making amendments.

Part 2 provides four supplementary chapters:

- Supplement A describes off-site opportunities for every existing and indelivery GO Rail station, including those identified by municipalities.
- Supplement B presents the foundations of GO Rail Station Access, including the vision, principles, and policies that supported its development and will inform its implementation. It provides a framework for prioritizing access enhancements to support the efficient use of assets, equitable access, and sustainable ridership growth.
- Supplement C identifies station access types and additional mode-specific considerations, including guidelines to inform GO Rail station site planning and design by Metrolinx, planning for Major Transit Station Areas (MTSAs) by municipalities, and the preparation and review of lands within MTSAs by private developers.
- Supplement D introduces Metrolinx's GO Rail Station Access implementation strategy, including the decision-making framework for on-site improvements, and a monitoring process to measure progress on the shared implementation of access requirements.
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Part 1
GO Rail Station Access

## 1. Introduction

GO Rail Station Access is a pillar of Metrolinx's GO Expansion program. It supports enhanced GO station access, improves options for customers, and increases the capacity of GO stations to coincide with ridership growth to 2041.

This document provides requirements and guidelines that support the forecasted ridership growth associated with the GO Expansion program, which is bringing faster all-day, twoway rail service to communities across the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA). It supports increased station access capacity and improved options for customers to access GO stations by walking, local transit, cycling, passenger pick-up and drop-off, and parking. The focus is on facilitating alternatives for customers that rely on drive-and-park as their primary station access mode to improve overall first mile access to GO stations. While not in scope for the current GO Rail Station Access document, planning for last mile connectivity will be addressed through future Metrolinx work.

This document updates the 2016 GO Rail Station Access Plan and the 2013 GO Rail Station Access and Parking Strategy while accounting for long-term COVID-19 impacts, new insights on GO Expansion service levels, policy updates such as the Growth Plan for the GGH and initiatives such as the Transit Oriented Communities Program.

This section describes:

- The purpose of this document (1.1),
- How this document will be used (1.2), and
- How this document is organized (1.3).



### 1.1 Purpose of GO Rail Station Access

The purpose of GO Rail Station Access is to achieve the following objectives:

- Set requirements to support forecasted ridership growth and related GO Expansion program benefits
Anticipated GO Rail service levels will provide frequent service to many more customers than today.
- Enhance the customer experience

Provide seamless, intuitive, inclusive, safe, reliable, and well-planned facilities to support a positive experience for customers to access GO stations by all modes.

- Grow multimodal access

Manage the demand for parking and support customer use of multimodal options, aligned with the GO Rail Hierarchy of Access (see Figure 3 and section B-3.1.1).

- Support more equitable access

Consider the impacts and opportunities in station planning processes to remove barriers and increase access to transit for racialized and other equity-seeking communities.

- Support decision-making

Ensure that station investments deliver sufficient benefit relative to cost, applying Metrolinx's Benefits Management Framework.

- Align with regional policies and plans

Direct station access infrastructure improvements to Major Transit Station Areas (MTSAs), as provided in Ontario's Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe and Metrolinx's 2041 Regional Transportation Plan.

### 1.2 How this document Will Be Used

This document is a resource for Metrolinx staff and third parties who play a role in planning and delivering station access improvements and redeveloping GO station areas.

### 1.2.1 What GO Rail Station Access Provides

GO Rail Station Access provides:

- Support for investment in GO Rail stations by identifying access requirements for Metrolinx-led procurements and provincial Transit-Oriented Community projects and other opportunities, and informing how existing station upgrades and supporting studies are prioritized;
- Support for coordination among all stakeholders that plan station areas and deliver municipal and regional transit services by informing the review of municipally-led MTSA studies, stationadjacent development applications, official plan reviews, transportation master plans, and other planning studies; and
- A framework for monitoring the progress and success of investments and strategies over time.


### 1.2.2 Revisions to this document

The station access requirements support implementation of Metrolinx's 2041 Regional Transportation Plan and the GO Expansion program. The requirements are based on a current understanding of station access needs to 2041. This document will be subject to review, as a whole, approximately every five years. As an interim step, Metrolinx will review the requirements periodically as significant new information becomes available to ensure alignment with GO Expansion, fare integration and other related Metrolinx and provincial initiatives and to monitor progress.

Delivery timelines will be aligned with funding, ridership recovery and growth, and service planning, as well as opportunities identified by Metrolinx's Sponsor Office and Capital Projects Group, municipalities, and other third parties.



### 1.3 How this document is Organized

This document is structured in two parts.
Part 1 provides context for GO Rail Station Access and the station-specific requirements.

Chapter 1 provides the framework for GO Rail Station Access:

- The purpose and objectives of GO Rail Station Access (1.1);
- How this document is intended to be used and applied over the long term (1.2);
- How this document is organized (1.3);
- The policy and strategic framework for GO Rail Station Access (1.4); and
- Key planning considerations to accommodate growth (1.5).

Chapter 2 presents station-specific requirements and includes:

- An overview of how the requirements apply (2.1);
- A series of station-specific requirements to improve access to all existing and in-delivery
stations (2.2);
- The methodology used to develop the requirements, taking into account current and future GO Rail ridership, station access types, mode share, as well as service levels and timeframes (2.3); and
- Procedures for amending the requirements (2.4).

Part 2 provides essential supplementary information to inform and achieve the requirements of GO Rail Station Access, including:

- Station-specific off-site recommendations for access improvements in the municipal realm (Supplement A)
- The principles and policies that inform station-specific requirements at the station, corridor, and network levels (Supplement B);
- The identification of station access types (Supplement C-1) and mode-specific considerations (Supplement C-2) to inform GO station and MTSA planning;
- A strategy for implementing station access requirements, including delivery opportunities (Supplement D-1), a framework for decision-making (D-2); and
- A process for monitoring success (D-3).


### 1.4 Supporting Policies and Business Cases

A number of supporting policies and strategic business cases informed the development of this document and will support its implementation. This includes:

- A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (1.4.1);
- The 2041 Metrolinx Regional Transportation Plan (1.4.2); and
- Additional planning considerations (1.5).


### 1.4.1 A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2020, office consolidation)

Ontario's Growth Plan defines how and where growth and development should take place in the Greater Golden Horseshoe. It emphasizes the importance of integrating land use and infrastructure planning, including transportation infrastructure. It also provides detailed policies to achieve vibrant and complete communities, including enhancing access to transit networks, protecting employment zones, and increasing the amount and variety of housing options.

The Growth Plan sets out general policy objectives for the development of transportation infrastructure. The objectives informed the development of GO Rail Station Access, including:

- Providing connectivity among transportation modes for moving people and goods;
- Offering a balance of transportation choices that reduce reliance on automobiles and promote transit and active transportation;
- Being sustainable and reducing greenhouse gas emissions by encouraging the most financially and environmentally appropriate mode for trip-making;
- Offering multimodal access to jobs, housing, schools, cultural and recreational opportunities, and goods and services;
- Providing for the safety of system users; and
- Directing municipalities to implement Transportation Demand Management (TDM) policies to:
- Reduce trip distance and time;
- Increase the modal share of alternatives to automobiles by setting mode share targets;
- Prioritize active transportation and transit;
- Expand infrastructure to support active transportation; and
- Consider the needs of major trip generators.

The Growth Plan also includes policies on Major Transit Station Areas (MTSAs) that address transportation network objectives. It directs that MTSAs be identified, planned and designed to be transit-supportive, contribute to multimodal access to stations, and enable connections to nearby major trip generators by providing:

- Connections to municipal and regional transit services and transit service integration; and
- Infrastructure to support active transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle lanes and secure bicycle parking, and commuter pick-up and drop-off areas.

The Growth Plan also provides a list of criteria for how all transit planning-related decisions and investments are to be made, including:

- Placing priority on increasing the capacity of existing transit systems to support intensification areas and density targets;
- Facilitating improved linkages from nearby neighbourhoods to urban growth centres, MTSAs, and other strategic growth areas; and
- Increasing the modal share of transit.


GO Rail Station Access was developed, and will be implemented, in conformity with the Growth Plan.

### 1.4.2 The 2041 Regional Transportation Plan (March 2018)

Metrolinx's 2041 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) conforms with the Growth Plan and other provincial land use policies intended to help manage growth, establish complete communities, and support more sustainable transportation options. It provides a blueprint for a multimodal transportation system across the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area that provides safe, convenient, and reliable connections, and supports a high quality of life, a prosperous and competitive economy, and a protected environment. The RTP includes strategies, priority actions, and policies for:

- Delivering regional transit projects that connect more of the region with frequent
transit projects, including GO RER (now GO Expansion), subway, light rail transit (LRT), and bus rapid transit (BRT) projects (Strategies 1 and 2);
- Optimizing the GTHA's transportation system, including by integrating fares and services, and by providing improved multimodal options for the first- and last-mile so that travellers can move seamlessly from one transit system to another (Strategy 3);
- Integrating transportation and land use, particularly around transit stations (Strategy 4); and
- Preparing for an uncertain future, including working with the Province to plan and prepare for the development of new technologies such as autonomous vehicles, undertaking joint actions, such as a transition to low-carbon transit vehicles, and adopting new technologies to influence how customers travel and access stations (Strategy 5).

GO Rail Station Access was developed and will be implemented in alignment with the RTP, as well as with Metrolinx's GO Expansion program.

### 1.5 Additional Planning Considerations

The Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area is growing, with a regional population projected to reach 11.4 million by 2041 (from 7.4 million in 2016). ${ }^{1}$

To prepare for this significant growth and to address increasing congestion, the Province of Ontario is making unprecedented investments in both regional and municipal transit.

### 1.5.1 GO Rail Transit Service is Increasing

GO Expansion is a transformative Metrolinx program, projected to more than double GO Transit's peak rail service and quadruple its offpeak service. It will provide faster, all-day, twoway service on substantial portions of the GO Rail network and increase regional benefits by providing new travel options. The improvements will be complemented by new stations and a host of other regional and municipal transit projects. Anticipated GO Rail service levels for 2041 at each station are based on the GO Expansion Full Business Case (FBC) and are depicted in Figure 7.

GO Rail service levels include:

- 15-minute, all-day, two-way service: Bidirectional service every 15 minutes or better during the peak period and 15 minutes during the remainder of the weekday.
- All-day two-way service: Bi-directional service every 15 minutes or more for peak hour and peak direction trips, and service from 30 minutes to 1 hour for the remainder of the day.

[^1]- Peak-only service: Peak hour, peak direction service every 15 minutes, and service from 30 minutes to 1 hour for the remainder of the peak period. ${ }^{2}$

2 Peak period service refers to trains arriving at Union Station between 6:30 a.m. and 9:30 a.m., and leaving between 3:30 and 7:30 p.m. Weekday service refers to trains travelling between 5:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m.



Figure 42041 Forecast: percentage increase in population compared to 2016 *Grimsby Station is not part of this document's scope

## 2016 Employment Compared to 2041 Employment Forecast



Figure 52041 Forecast: percentage increase in jobs compared to 2016
*Grimsby Station is not part of this document's scope

## 2041 Daily Forecast:
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## Anticipated 2041 GO Rail Service Levels




Figure 7 Anticipated 2041 GO Rail service levels
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

## The GO Expansion program will provide a range of improvements across the GTHA:



Figure 8 GO Expansion Full Business Case (November 2018)

### 1.5.2 The GO Expansion Full Business Case Makes the Connection

Metrolinx developed a Full Business Case (FBC) to assess the GO Expansion program (November 2018). The FBC confirms the connection between ridership growth and station access (see GO Expansion FBC Table E. 1 and Figure 8).

The FBC provides a proposed investment program, its benefits and costs, and core requirements to successfully implement the GO Expansion program. The FBC demonstrates that GO Expansion is a high-value investment, not just for rail passengers, but for the travellers who do not use GO Rail and for the GO service region as a whole.

The program will provide:

- Reduced travel time and congestion;
- A total of $\$ 1.9$ billion in traveller savings over the next 60 years, through reduced gas and parking costs;
- Increased productivity and 8,300 new jobs per year in construction and supply-chain industries;
- Reduced operating costs and increased ridership growth for Metrolinx; and
- Opportunities to partner with the private sector to improve delivery and expand development.

GO Rail Station Access is a pillar of the GO Expansion program and will help Metrolinx achieve the full benefits of the program, as outlined in the FBC.

### 1.5.3 A Shift to More Sustainable Modes is Essential to Support Growth

Metrolinx's 2016 GO Rail Station Access Plan identified that ridership growth could no longer be accommodated with unlimited parking expansion. The plan recognized that station access capacity was critical to enabling ridership growth and that different station access strategies had distinct costs and benefits. The plan supported ridership growth through an incremental approach to investment that focused on encouraging greater use of sustainable modes determined to have the highest benefit-to-cost ratio. The approach is presented in the GO Rail Hierarchy of Access, which prioritizes access modes starting with walking, followed by transit, cycling, passenger pick-up and drop-off, and drive-and-park.

The incremental approach is carried forward in this document as the preferred strategy for increasing station access capacity and accommodating ridership growth. The objective is to support ridership by gradually reducing the predominance of drive-and-park as the primary station access mode, and improving multimodal options over time to support a shift to more sustainable modes.

For the future, Metrolinx will continue to monitor trends in new and emerging mobility technologies such as Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CV/AVs), electric vehicle, e-bikes/ e-scooters, etc. and explore how these can be incorporated in future reviews of this document.


## Connecting the GGH: A Transportation Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe

On March 10, 2022, the Province released Connecting the GGH, which provides a long-term vision for mobility in the region to 2051 with new infrastructure, better services and policy directions for all modes of transportation to align on-going and future investments by the province and other transportation providers. The GGH plan identifies strategic infrastructure needs, per A Place to Grow, 2020 (APTG), including future transit and road infrastructure.

Metrolinx will work with MTO to inform future reviews and updates to GO Rail Station Access to ensure all plans and programs are coordinated and implemented towards a common transportation vision across the GGH region.


Figure 9 Existing and forecasted future GO Rail ridership accounting for short- and long-term COVID-19 impacts on regional travel.
Sources: 2017 and 2019 GO Rail Passenger Survey, Greater Golden Horseshoe Model, 2020 Statistics Canada Canadian Survey on Business Conditions, 2020 Toronto Regional Board of Trade Survey of Downtown Workers, and 2022 Station Access Model.


Figure 10 Network-wide mode share of average weekday ridership (excluding Union Station).
Source: 2015, 2017, and 2019 GO Rail Passenger Survey and 2022 Station Access Model.

## 2041 Daily Forecast:

## Total Daily Home Riders
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Figure 112041 Daily forecast: total daily home riders
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

## 2041 Daily Forecast:

## Total Daily

 Destination Riders

Figure $12 \mathbf{2 0 4 1}$ Daily forecast: total daily destination riders
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

### 1.5.4 Improved Multimodal Station Access Benefits Everyone

Beyond managing growth in population and GO Transit ridership, improved station access provides a range of societal benefits:

- Greater transit access

Making it easier for customers to access the GO Rail network and other connecting transit services increases the likelihood that they will choose transit. It improves overall satisfaction with transit services and supports people who may not have access to a car or whose schedules may not align with fixed schedule transit services.

- Access to social and economic opportunities
Helping to ensure that the benefits of GO Expansion and other transit investments are more equitably experienced by communities and barriers to equity are reduced or eliminated.
- Improved health and wellness

Increasing the potential for people to more comfortably walk or cycle to stations can support more active lifestyles, leading to improved health and wellness.

- Environmental sustainability

Growing the share of more sustainable modes through improved station access can help contribute to environmental sustainability by reducing vehicular travel and associated greenhouse gas emissions.

- More efficient land use

Reducing the need for expensive parking facilities and less land-consumptive modes can help to reduce costs. It also supports partnership opportunities on station lands that can increase the financial efficiency of delivering GO Rail services for customers.

- Increased safety

Access enhancements can help to minimize conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists, buses, and drivers at GO stations and in the surrounding community.

## Evaluation Process for New Stations

New GO Rail stations may be proposed at any time by Metrolinx and/or third parties through Ontario's Transit-Oriented Communities program and other opportunities.

Once proposed, Metrolinx undertakes a planning assessment and business case analysis for the new station. New station facility requirements are determined through Metrolinx's business case process, applying the same methods for forecasting ridership, mode share, and facility requirements that are used for existing stations included in GO Rail Station Access.

Once the Metrolinx Board endorses an Initial Business Case (IBC), it is adopted and made publicly available. The new station is incorporated into GO Rail network maps and forecasting models* to determine the impacts on ridership, mode share, and facility requirements at adjacent existing and in-delivery stations.
*Note that while new stations are integrated into forecasting models, the station-specific requirements for new stations that are not yet in-delivery are not included in this document, as they may be subject to commercial negotiations. Interested parties should refer to the relevant IBC(s) or contact Metrolinx for information on new stations.

## 2. Station-Specific

## Requirements

This section provides station-specific requirements to improve access to all existing and in-delivery stations across the GO Rail network. It includes:

- An overview of the requirements and how they are applied (2.1);
- A series of station-specific requirement tables to guide station access improvements (2.2);
- The methodology used to develop the requirements (2.3); and
- Procedures for amending the station-specific requirements in this document (2.4).


### 2.1 Application of StationSpecific Requirements

Station-specific requirements are provided to guide station access improvements to 2041 (with delivery subject to available funding and other considerations).

The station-specific requirements consist of improvements on GO station lands, generally under Metrolinx's responsibility to implement independently, in partnership with third parties and through Transit-Oriented Communities agreements and other opportunities in collaboration with the Ministry of Infrastructure as part of station planning, design, construction, operations, and redevelopment. These are identified in Section "2.2 Station-Specific Requirement Tables".

The improvements around GO stations on municipal or private lands are generally the responsibility of local municipalities and developers to implement through integrated planning, infrastructure and service delivery, redevelopment, and operations. These have been identified as off-site opportunities through Municipal Technical Advisory Committee workshops, reviews of municipal plans and strategies by Metrolinx staff, and outreach to municipal service providers (MSPs). These are documented in Supplement B.

The station-specific requirements and off-site opportunities are intended to inform:

- Metrolinx-led station improvements;
- Ontario-led Transit-Oriented Community projects and other opportunities;
- Municipal capital plans and service planning;
- Municipal planning studies; and
- Municipal reviews of station-adjacent development proposals.


### 2.2 Station-Specific Requirement Tables
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## How to Read the Tables

The first page provides context related to station classifications, existing and projected ridership, as well as a summary of station access requirements.

## Station context

Municipality and location of the station along its GO Rail corridor.

## Station classification adopted by Metrolinx

These typologies are used by various groups within Metrolinx and inform minimum requirements to support customers based on ridership and local context. Refer to Section 2.3.5.

## Current and forecasted daily ridership

 change- Daily Riders' Home Station: Ridership expected to access the station.
- Daily Riders' Destination Station: Ridership expected to egress at the station.
- Daily Total Footfall: Total ridership (access and egress, all day) expected for the station, indicating overall ridership change.


## Current and forecasted mode share (all day)

- Access Mode Share (2019): Based on the 2019 GO Rail Passenger Survey. A grey chart appears for stations that were not open in 2019.
- Target Access Mode Share (2041):

Represents the mode share split that the station is expected to achieve based on the Station Access Model if supported by targeted station access investment.

## Access ridership per mode

This chart presents total daily access demand for 2041 (Daily Rider's Home Destination). This data, along with context-specific aspects, informed the station access requirements for 2041.

## Summary of station access infrastructure

This table provides a summary of quantities for key station access facilities. This should be read with specific requirements on subsequent pages for context.

- Current (2021): Existing and in-delivery facilities (as of December 2021).
- Requirements (2041): Lists infrastructure needs to support the 2041 projected ridership demand. These requirements are intended as part of a 20 -year vision to support the estimated growth and can be built in phases. For off-site (not on Metrolinx owned lands) requirements, please see "Supplement A:

| Station Access Foellities | Curront (2021) | Requirementa (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (K.S ) Transportation |  |  |
| (1) But Factities |  |  |
| (P6) sixe Perking |  |  |
| (1in) Drop-off Fackitites |  |  |
| P@ Vehicular Parking |  |  | Off-Site Opportunities".

## How to Read the Tables (continued)

Subsequent pages provide further detail on station access requirements, including context for implementation (e.g., interdependencies, preferred location, concurrent projects, and other opportunities).

## On-site access improvements

Provides context to the summary of station requirements, and identifies additional qualitative improvements (e.g., addressing on-site connectivity gaps, minimizing circulation conflicts, and context-specific requirements).


## Lakeshore West Line



## LEGEND

## E Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization

 (pre-COVID-19 pandemic)Equal or higher than $95 \%$

- $86 \%-94 \%$

Equal or less than $85 \%$
O No dedicated GO parking facility
O No data

## ....Couplet stations

(stations with similar catchment area, one of them with parking capacity)Station within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)

Planned two-way all-day peak service frequency
GO Expansion FBC (2018), Niagara Falls Rail Extension IBC (2019) 60 -min+ 30 -min 20 -min $\quad 15$-min

## Corridor Context

- The Regions of Halton and Niagara are forecasted to see strong population and employement growth out to 2041 .
- The corridor is planned for all-day, two-way, 15-minute or less electrified service, operating between Union Station and Burlington GO.
- Customers will increasingly access stations by active transportation (walk/cycle) and local transit modes in favour of drive-and-park.
- Infrastructure requirements at Oakville and Bronte, and at Burlington and Appleby, were planned as station couplets due to their proximity and overlapping station catchment areas.
- Historically, this is the busiest rail corridor by passenger volume, but is expected to be the second busiest corridor by 2041.

2016 Compared to 2041 Population Forecast - Lakeshore West


[^2]

Lakeshore West Line
Region of Niagara
City of Niagara Falls
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| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - (Off-site) New pedestrian and cycling connections |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 10 bus bays <br> - (Off-site) South: bus bays (1 GO, 3 WEGO, <br> 6 other local transit) |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces - (Off-site) 64 covered | No facility expansion recommended |
| Drop-off Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 6 spaces <br> - (Off-site) South: 6 loading (urban) |
| Vehicular Parking | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 165 spaces - (Off-site) Add 165 spaces |


| Station <br> Ascess Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| St. Catharines GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Station Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | N/A | 775 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | N/A | 150 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | N/A | 1,650 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (大) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - (Off-site) New pedestrian and cycling connections |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 5 bus bays <br> - (Off-site) North: Bus bays (1-2 GO, 2-3 St. Catharines Transit) |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces <br> - (Off-site) North: 32 covered | No facility expansion recommended |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 24 spaces <br> - (Off-site) North: 20 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 165 spaces -(Off-site) North: Add 165 spaces |


| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | St. Catharines GO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Required Improvements |  |  |

## Lakeshore West Line

 City of HamiltonLinks: table of contents off-site table

| Confederation GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | N/A | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | New Station | Retail Typology | TBD |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | N/A | 1,350 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | N/A | 550 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | N/A | 3,325 |  |



| Confederation GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-LSW-CONF-01 | Develop a multi-use path along the eastern edge of the south parking lot to provide an effective pedestrian connection to the future south tunnel entrance. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-02 | Implement a multi-use path from Centennial Parkway to the station building on the north side of the corridor. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-03 | Develop a pedestrian plaza around the station building with passenger amenities. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-04 | Identify opportunities to optimize the existing bus loop or develop an on-street facility to provide for 1 additional bay in addition to existing 4 bus bays on the north side of corridor. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-05 | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bike share docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-06 | Install covered bike parking adjacent to the multi-use path and station building. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-07 | Install covered bike parking adjacent to the multi-use path and south tunnel entrance. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSW-CONF-08 | Develop a PUDO facility with priority access to Arrowsmith Rd. on the south of the station site. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW- | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on 47\% of total parking. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW-CONF-10 | Develop 147 surface parking spaces on the north of the station site. |
|  | ON-LSW-CONF-11 | Develop 250 surface parking spaces on the south of the station site. |
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## West Harbour GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Active Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 75 | 2,400 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 25 | 1,425 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 200 | 6,775 |  |



| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Hamilton GO Centre

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Active Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Base) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 975 | 1,100 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 225 | 50 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,950 | 2,075 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (रㅇㅇ $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - Pedestrian pathways <br> - Hunter St. cycle track | No facility expansion recommended |
| (8) Bus Facilities | Total: 17 bus bays <br> North: bus bays (10 GO, 1 HSR, 2 unassigned, 4 other) | Total: 15 bus bays and 5 layovers - North: bus bays (10 GO, 5 HSR), layovers (4 GO, 1 HSR) |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces and 18 docks - North: 32 covered, 32 uncovered -18 existing bike share docks | Total: 176 spaces and 18 docks <br> - North: 64 covered, 64 secure <br> - South: 48 covered |
| $\text { (if) } \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \\ \text { Drop-off Facilities } \end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 12 spaces <br> - (Off-site) South:12 loading spaces (urban) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 49 spaces - South: 49 surface | Total: 49 spaces <br> - No facility expansion recommended - 100\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Hamilton GO Centre |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{\text {Walking }}^{\circ}$ | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Local Transit | ON-LSW-HMGO-01 | Work with the City of Hamilton and HSR to identify opportunities to increase bus facility capacity by optimizing space within the bus terminal and/or identify adjacent on-street facilities to increase layover capacity. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { HMGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Hamilton and Hamilton LRT team to identify design solutions that would allow for a direct, convenient, and comfortable transfer of passengers between the proposed LRT station and GO side platforms on both north and south sides of the corridor. |
|  | ON-LSW- | Work with the City of Hamilton and HSR to explore enhancements, including service and design solutions, improved wayfinding, and enhanced pedestrian connectivity to improve integration of HSR services and GO bus and rail services at Hamilton GO Centre. |
| Cycling | ON-LSW-HMGO-04 | Install additional covered bike parking north of Hamilton GO Centre. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { HMGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with SoBi and the City of Hamilton to promote use of bikeshare as a station access option for GO customers using service at Hamilton GO and as a method to connect to West Harbour GO. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { HMGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore opportunities to add 64 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { HMGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Hamilton to designate on street parking along Hughson St. or Haymarket St. south of the Hamilton GO Station as a vehicle waiting area. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { HMGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 85\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |



City of Burlington


UNION
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## Aldershot GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Transit Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GOil Ridership | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,600 | 3,525 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 850 | 3,600 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,525 | 12,375 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - 1 pedestrian connection south | - 1 pedestrian connection the south - 1 multi-use path from platform to Waterdown Rd. |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 7 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (3 GO, 1 HSR, 1 Burlington Transit, 1 drop-off, 1 layover) | Total: 10 bus bays and 2 layover <br> - North: bus bays (5 GO, 2 HSR, 3 Burlington <br> Transit), layover (1 GO, 1 HSR) |
| (Pike Parking | Total: 32 spaces - North: 32 covered | Total: 64 spaces North: 32 covered South: 32 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 55 spaces <br> - North: 20 waiting, 5 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - South: 25 waiting, 5 loading (peak/ferry) | No facility expansion recommended |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,640 surface spaces <br> - North: 935 surface <br> South: 705 surface | Total: 2,090 spaces <br> - South: add 450 spaces <br> - Up to 50\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Aldershot GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { ALGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Develop an enhanced pedestrian connection to the south-east station building through the surface parking area with adequate landscaping and traffic calming measures to ensure pedestrian priority. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> ALGO-02 | Develop an east-west pedestrian connection along the south edge of the parking area to demarcate the development areas further south towards Masonry Crt. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> ALGO-03 | Consider developing a southern primary entrance and internal access road with a walkway alongside the residential development and a multi-use path to the west. |
|  | ON-LSW-ALGO-04 | Investigate extension of the east tunnel. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { ALGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Burlington, Burlington Transit, and HSR to identify opportunities to add additional bus bays by optimizing design of the existing bus loop facility or through provision of on-street bays. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { ALGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide support to municipalities that currently do not have any local transit connections to the GO station, through service design, ridership, and PRESTO data analysis. |
| Cycling | ON-LSW- | As part of a reconfiguration of the south station site, integrate covered bike parking. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { ALGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bike share docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSW- | Explore opportunities to reconfigure access to the south PUDO to enhance circulation. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW- <br> ALGO-10 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 50\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW- <br> ALGO-11 | Add 450 spaces via surface parking south of the rail corridor. |
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## Burlington GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 3,300 | 5,000 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 975 | 2,125 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 6,900 | 12,375 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ㅅㅇㅇ $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - Southeast: 1 multi-use path on edge of station <br> - Southwest: 1 pedestrian connection <br> - North: 1 pedestrian connection, 1 multiuse path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 10 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (3 GO, 7 Burlington <br> Transit, 1 unassigned) | Total: 15 bus bays and 2 layover - South: bus bays (3 GO, 12 Burlington Transit), 2 layover |
| Pior Bike Parking | Total: 249 spaces <br> - South: 56 uncovered, 96 covered, 48 secure <br> - North: 24 covered and 25 secure | No facility expansion recommended |
| (i) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 48 spaces <br> - South: 40 waiting, 8 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 58 spaces <br> - North: 17 waiting, 9 loading (high ridership) <br> - South: 24 waiting, 8 loading |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,288 spaces <br> - North: 931 surface and 784 structure <br> - South: 572 surface | Total: 1,900-2,290 spaces <br> - North: Add 50 spaces <br> - Surplus of 385 spaces <br> - Up to $51 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Burlington GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Develop a pedestrian pathway from the southeast of the station building south to Fairview St. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Develop a pedestrian pathway through the surface parking lot of the north station site. |
|  | ON-LSW-BUGO-03 | Develop a multi-use path from Queensway Dr. to the station building along the eastern edge of the north station site. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Burlington and Burlington Transit to identify opportunities to add additional bus bays by optimizing design of the existing bus loop facility or through provision of on-street bays. |
| Cycling | ON-LSW-BUGO-05 | Explore opportunities to cover the uncovered bike shelters on the south side of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Burlington, the City of Hamilton, and SoBi to explore the feasibility of bike share expansion to the south of the GO station. Protect space for a future bikeshare docking station where feasible. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of a future station improvement to decommision the northern bus loop provide a northern PUDO in a high ridership configuration with 17 waiting and 9 loading spaces and dedicated access. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the southern PUDO, reduce size to 24 waiting and 8 loading spaces with dedicated access, and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW- BUGO-09 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 51\% of total parking. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { BUGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of a reconfiguration of the north station site, add 50 parking spaces. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> BUGO-11 | Dependent on a future site redevelopment, upgrades, or other works, total supply may be decreased by 385 spaces. |
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| Appleby GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 3,375 | 2,825 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 925 | 1,700 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 7,175 | 8,175 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) Tron $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - North: pedestrian pathway <br> - South: pedestrian pathway and multi-use path |
| (8) Bus Facilities | Total: 8 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (1 GO, 7 Burlington Transit) | Total: 11 bus bays and 2 layovers South: bus bays (1 GO, 9 Burlington Transit, 1 Oakville Transit), 2 layovers |
| (ov) Bike Parking | Total: 124 spaces <br> - North: 64 covered <br> - South: 64 covered | Total: 240 spaces <br> - North: 64 covered <br> - South: 144 covered, 32 secure |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 99 spaces <br> - North: 50 waiting, 7 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - South: 35 waiting, 7 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: $\mathbf{7 0}$ spaces <br> - North: 29 waiting, 13 loading (high ridership) <br> - South: 19 waiting, 9 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,818 spaces <br> - North: 1,746 surface <br> - South: 1,072 surface | Total: 2,370-2,650 spaces <br> - Surplus of 170 spaces <br> - Surplus of 280 spaces (long-term) <br> - Up to $50 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Appleby GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-0 | As part of a reconfiguration of the south station site, develop a pedestrian pathway along the central spine of the parking lot with limited access points for vehicles to enter the surface parking areas on either side of the internal circulation road. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-02 | Explore opportunities to modify the north parking lot to include safe pedestrian connection to the station building |
| Local Transit | ON-LSW- | Work with the City of Burlington and Burlington Transit to explore expansion of the existing bus facility. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-04 | As part of a reconfiguration of the south station site, expand the number of covered bike parking spaces and incorporate secure bike parking facilities. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-05 | As part of a reconfiguration of the south station site reconfigure the south PUDO into a peak/ferry facility with 19 waiting and 9 loading spaces. |
|  | ON-LSW- APGO-06 | As part of a reconfiguration of the north station site, reconfigure the north PUDO into a high ridership facility with 29 waiting spaces, 13 loading spaces, and improved access priority. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-0 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 50\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-08 | As part of a reconfiguration of the south station site, modify the vehicular circulation network to address conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { APGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or other works, total supply may be decreased by 170 spaces to reallocate space for other modes or uses. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> APGO-10 | Dependent on future opportunities, total supply may be further decreased by 280 spaces. However, these spaces may be maintained to offset any parking losses at the Burlington GO station. |
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## Bronte GO



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( K OB) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | Pedestrian walkways and multi-use path | - North/South: Additional pedestrian walkways and multi-use paths |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 9 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (1 GO, 8 Oakville Transit) | Total: 12 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (1 GO, 11 Oakville Transit) |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: 16 uncovered and 24 covered <br> - South: 24 covered | Total: 192 spaces <br> - North: 72 covered <br> - South: 88 covered, 32 secure |
| (i) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 99 spaces <br> - Northeast: 46 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ ferry) <br> - Northwest: 18 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ferry) <br> South: 20 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ ferry) | Total: 58 spaces <br> - North: 26 waiting and 7 loading (peak/ ferry) <br> - South: 20 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,971 spaces <br> - North: 1,990 surface <br> South: 981 surface | Total: 2,540-2,970 spaces <br> - Surplus of 430 spaces <br> - Up to 50\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Bronte GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-LSW-BTGO-01 | Implement dedicated pedestrian pathways throughout the north and south station site. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> BTGO-02 | Implement short-term improvements to pedestrian walkways by demarcating and/or repainting crosswalks on South Service Rd. W. (north of corridor) and parking access road (south of corridor). |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> BTGO-03 | Extend the east tunnel to the south side of the corridor. |
|  | ON-LSW-BTGO-04 | Dependent on implementation of the Bronte MTSA provide a multi-use path along the edge of the hydro corridor to connect the existing trail to Third Line, to align with the Bronte MTSA study. |
| Local Transit | ON-LSW-BTGO-05 | Implement wayfinding to direct customers throughout the station site and to key destinations from both rail platforms and bus loop. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> BTGO-06 | Relocate and expand the bus loop to the south station site with a dedicated signaled access off of Speers Rd. |
|  | ON-LSW-BTGO-07 | Provide support to municipalities who currently do not have any local transit connections to the GO station, through service design, ridership, and PRESTO data analysis. |
|  | ON-LSW-BTGO-08 | Explore opportunities to install covered bike parking at all three tunnel entrances and cover all uncovered bike parking spaces. |
|  | ON-LSW-BTGO-09 | Explore opportunities to add 32 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSW-BTGO-10 | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the northern PUDO, consider consolidating facilities and reducing size to 26 waiting and 7 loading in a peak/ferry configuration facility with dedicated priority and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW-BTGO-11 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 50\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW-BTGO-12 | Dependent on future opportunities, total supply may be decreased by up to 430 spaces. However, these spaces may be maintained to offset any parking losses at the Oakville GO station. |
|  | ON-LSW-BTGO-13 | Explore the feasibility of adding parking spaces to the north using alternative parking solutions (e.g., modular spaces to the north lot) or expanding parking south of Speers Rd. to off-set parking loss at Oakville GO station. |



| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | OakVille GO
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## Clarkson GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (High) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 5,975 | 10,625 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 1,350 | 2,925 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 12,375 | 24,000 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (大) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - North/South: Additional pedestrian walkways |
| (8) Bus Facilities | Total: 8 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (6 Miway, 2 Oakville Transit) | Total: 14 bus bays and 5 layover <br> -South/ North: bus bays (1 GO, 10 Miway, 3 <br> Oakville Transit), 5 layover (Miway) |
| Bike Parking | Total: 144 spaces <br> - North: 80 covered <br> - South: 64 covered | Total: 256 spaces <br> - North: 80 covered, 64 secure <br> - South: 80 covered, 32 secure |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 6}$ spaces <br> - North: 30 waiting, 6 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - South: 30 waiting, 6 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 69 spaces <br> - North: 20 waiting, 7 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - South: 35 waiting, 7 loading (high ridership) |
| P\% Vehicular Parking | Total: 3,872 spaces <br> - North: 1,053 surface <br> - South: 1,246 surface and 1,573 structure | Total: 3,280-3,870 spaces <br> - Surplus of 595 spaces <br> - Up to 76\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Clarkson GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Proceed with planned redevelopment of the south station site including realignment of pedestrian and cycling connections from Southdown Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Reconfigure the internal vehicular circulation on the north-east parking lot to introduce additional pedestrian walkway and reduce conflict between pedestrian and vehicular traffic. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | In coordination with the municipal service provider, review opportunities to improve transit vehicle access and egress at the station, prioritizing customer travel time. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore on-site options to expand bus facilities including north of the station, if this is not feasible, work with the City of Mississauga to explore alternative options that offer transit priority on off-site facilities such as laybys on local roads with direct connections to the station building and/or platform. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Integrate secure bike parking into the new station building on the west side of Sheridan Creek. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Add additional covered bike parking to the south station site. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Connect the existing Region of Peel multi-use path along the Hydro One corridor (north of the GO station from Winston Churchill Blvd. to the west to Indian Rd. to the east) to an on-site multi-use path terminating near the tunnel entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider future opportunities to add 64 secure spaces. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvement, reconfigure the south PUDO into a high ridership facility with 35 waiting and 7 loading spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a paratransit bay in the bus loop or in the PUDO on the station site. |
|  | ON-LSW-CLGO-11 | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the north PUDO, reduce size to minimum 20 waiting and 7 loading in a peak/ferry facility and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 76\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW-CLGO-13 | Dependent on any future site redevelopment, upgrades or other works, total supply may be decreased by 595 spaces. |
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| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (h) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - South: 1 multi-use path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 5 bus bays South: bus bays (5 Miway) | Total: 5 bus bays and 1 layover -South: bus bays (5 Miway), 1 layover |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{8 0}$ spaces South: 64 covered - North: 16 covered | Total: 240 spaces <br> - South: 96 covered, 96 secure <br> - North: 48 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 17 spaces <br> - South: 13 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 45 spaces <br> - South: 20 loading (urban) <br> - North: 20 waiting, 5 loading (peak/ferry) |
| P\% Vehicular Parking | Total: 971 spaces 971 surface spaces | Total: $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ spaces <br> - Up to 425 spaces will be removed <br> - Up to $58 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Port Credit GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Mississauga to explore opportunities to develop a pedestrian plaza south of the GO Rail corridor to seamlessly connect the Hurontario LRT platform to the Port Credit GO station. Additionally, consider public realm enhancements along this connection. |
| Local Transit | ON-LSW- | Enhance the bus bays along Queen St. E. to address facility needs of current and planned MiWay routes and improve connectivity to the future GO and Hurontario LRT station entrances. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Add additional covered bike parking on both sides of the south side of the station |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> PCGO-04 | Add covered bike parking spaces near the north tunnel entrance. |
|  | ON-LSW- PCGO-05 | Incorporate a secure bike parking facility with a link to Hurontario St. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Mississauga to protect space for bike share docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  | ON-LSW- PCGO-0 | Work with the City of Mississauga and the Hurontario LRT project team to consider options to seamlessly connect the planned multi-use path along the western edge of Hurontario St. with the proposed pedestrian plaza/market space south of the GO Rail corridor. |
| $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a layby for on-demand transit and paratransit vehicles near the station building on the south side or in the PUDO. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Incorporate a pick-up and drop-off facility in the north parking lot. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-10 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Reconfigure the south PUDO into a urban configuration facility with 20 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 58\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { PCGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on viability consider opportunities to develop alternative parking solutions to address any shortfall in parking spaces (e.g., modular parking). |
|  | ON-LSW- | Dependent on any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or works, total supply may be decreased by up to 425 spaces. |

## Lakeshore West Line

 City of TorontoLinks: table of contents off-site table

| Long Branch GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Medium | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 1,625 | 3,875 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 500 | 1,975 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 3,575 | 10,575 |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ㅅㅇO $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - North: accessible pedestrian walkway <br> - South: pedestrian walkways |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 2 bus bays and streetcar loop - South: bus bays (2 TTC) | No facility expansion recommended |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: 32 spaces - South: 32 covered | Total: 192 spaces <br> - North: 32 covered <br> - South: 96 covered, 64 secure |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 22 spaces <br> - North: 3 loading (urban) <br> - South: 13 waiting, 6 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 31 spaces <br> - North: 3 loading (urban) <br> - South: 22 waiting, 6 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ spaces - South: 280 surface | Total: $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ spaces <br> - Surplus of 120 spaces <br> - At least 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Long Branch GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { LBGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement wayfinding through the station site for pedestrians to navigate to the Long Branch Loop to connect to TTC services. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSW- } \\ & \text { LBGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Incorporate a pedestrian path on the western edge of the south station site that connects to Lake Shore Blvd. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> LBGO-03 | Provide covered bike parking at the entrance of the pedestrian path to the north of the GO station. |
|  | ON-LSW-LBGO-04 | Add additional covered bike parking on the south side of the station. |
|  | ON-LSW-LBGO-05 | Explore opportunities to add 64 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
| $1$ | ON-LSW-LBGO-06 | Expand the south PUDO facility to 22 waiting and 6 loading and enhance the internal circulation network. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW-LBGO-07 | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 85\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW-LBGO-08 | As part of any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or works, total supply may be decreased by up to 120 spaces. |

## Lakeshore West Line

 City of TorontoLinks：table of contents off－site table

| MimicO GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type（2019） | Active Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type（2041） | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B－Limited Service |
| Parking Typology（2041） | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current（2019） | Forecast（2041） |
| Daily Riders＇Home Station | 1,725 | 2,300 |  |
| Daily Riders＇Destination Station | 400 | 925 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall（Boardings＋Alightings） | 3,625 | 5,825 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current（2021） | Requirements（2041） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （ K O $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | －Northwest：pedestrian pathway |
| （\％）Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total： 2 bus bays <br> －South：bus bays（TTC 1 on－site， 1 on－street） |
| （00）Bike Parking | Total： 42 spaces －North： 42 covered | Total： 122 spaces <br> －North： 42 covered， 48 secure <br> －South： 32 covered |
| $\text { (1) } ⿴ 囗 十 \text { Prop-off Facilities }$ | Total： 10 spaces <br> －North： 7 waiting， 3 loading（strip） | Total： 21 spaces <br> －North： 6 waiting， 6 loading（peak／ferry） <br> －South： 9 loading（urban） |
| Vehicular Parking | Total： $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ spaces <br> －North： 310 surface | Total： 210 spaces <br> －Surplus of 100 spaces <br> －Up to $41 \%$ carpool／reserved parking |


| Mimico GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-LSW- <br> MMGO-01 | Develop a pedestrian connection from the east side of Royal York Rd. to the proposed new station building. |
|  | ON-LSW-MMGO-02 | Incorporate future proposed Mimico-Judson Greenway into the north station site. |
| Local Transit | ON-LSW- <br> MMGO-03 | Work with City of Toronto to provide on-site and on-street bus bays. |
| Cycling | ON-LSW-MMGO-04 | Incorporate secure bike parking into the planned improvements to the north station site by Windsor St. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> MMGO-05 | Explore opportunities to install covered bike parking south of the station site. |
|  | ON-LSW- <br> MMGO-06 | Encourage Bike Share Toronto and the Toronto Parking Authority to consider more locations for bikeshare facility locations south of the GO station to connect to the BikeShare network. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSW- <br> MMGO-07 | Incorporate 6 waiting spaces and 6 loading spaces in peak/ferry configuration on the north station site. |
|  | ON-LSW-MMGO-08 | As part of the planned reconfiguration of the south station site, incorporate 9 loading spaces in urban configuration. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSW-MMGO-09 | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 41\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSW-MMGO-10 | Dependent on any future site redevelopment, upgrades or other works, total supply may be decreased by 100 spaces. |
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| Exhibition GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Interchange | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (High) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Interchange <br> (Active Priority) | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | No parking | Retail Typology | Urban Centre Station <br> (TOC) |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,125 | 2,750 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 2,100 | 16,400 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 6,050 | 34,600 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (숭 $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - North: Additional pedestrian pathways |
| (1) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 2 bus bays, 1 layover - North: bus bay (2 TTC), 1 layover |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 8}$ spaces <br> - North: 32 covered, 6 secure | Total: 216 spaces <br> - North: 96 covered, 80 secure <br> - South: 48 covered |
| $\text { (if) } \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 10 spaces <br> - (Off-site) North: 10 loading (urban) |
| Vehicular Parking | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | Exhibition GO

## Milton Line



## LEGEND

E Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization

(pre-COVID-19 pandemic)
〇 Equal or higher than 95\%
86\%-94\%Equal or less than $85 \%$
No dedicated GO parking facility
.... Couplet stations
(stations with similar catchment area, one of them with parking capacity)Station within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)

## Corridor Context

- The Town of Milton and the Town of Halton Hills are expected to have a high increase in population and jobs by 2041.
- Currently, the Milton GO corridor does not have a plan for increase to all-day, two-way service; the feasibility for service increase is challenged by the high freight activity along these tracks.
- Most stations are expected to experience a significant increase of customers accessing the stations by local transit, especially at Milton GO. Kipling GO is anticipated to have a significant increase of customers walking or cycling to access the station.
- Customers within the catchment area of Milton corridor are often using Lakeshore West, increasing the demand on that corridor.
- Some stations along this corridor are serving equity seeking communities.


UNION
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| Milton GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,350 | 3,800 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 350 | 225 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 4,900 | 7,575 |  |


| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (रु) Transportation | - North: pedestrian plaza | - South: dedicated pedestrian walkway |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 7 bus bays <br> North: bus bays (3 GO, 4 Milton Transit) | Total: 18 bus bays and 4 layovers <br> - North: bus bays (3 GO, 1 Halton Hills, 14 Milton Transit), layover (4 GO) |
| Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: 64 covered | Total: 160 spaces <br> - North: 96 covered <br> - South: 32 secure, 32 covered |
| (i) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 40 spaces <br> - North: 33 waiting, 7 loading | Total: 40 spaces <br> - North: 16 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - South: 14 waiting, 6 loading (high ridership |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,472 spaces <br> - North: 1,472 surface | Total: 1,860-2,320 spaces <br> - (Dependent) North: surplus 460 spaces <br> - (Dependent) South: add 850 spaces <br> - Up to $41 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Milton GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-MIL- MNGO-01 | As part of development of a potential new south station parking lot, work with the Town of Milton to explore the feasibility of incorporating a north-south boulevard separated pedestrian and cycling connection from Nipissing Rd. to a potential future south station entrance. Additionally, consider extending this connection south of Nipissing Rd. to Childs Dr. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ON-MIL- } \\ \text { MNGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | Expand the bus loop to accommodate additional Milton Transit and GO buses. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-MIL- } \\ \text { MNGO-03 } \end{gathered}$ | Work with Milton Transit to determine the design and circulation network within the modified linear bus facility to effectively accommodate future GO Bus, Milton Transit buses, and other facility needs. |
| Cycling | ON-MIL-MNGO-04 | Incorporate a 32-space secure bike parking facility into the new south station entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { MNGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Install a 32-space bike shelter on each side of the rail corridor in proximity to proposed bike paths where they terminate at the proposed new station entrances. |
|  | ON-MIL- MNGO-06 | Work with the City of Milton to consider the development of a joint-use trail along the north-west side of the GO Rail corridor. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-MIL- } \\ \text { MNGO-07 } \end{gathered}$ | With station facility expansion south of the rail corridor, develop a new high ridership style PUDO facility with 29 waiting and 7 loading spaces. Ensure that the facility has priority or dedicated access to Nipissing Rd. |
|  | ON-MIL- MNGO-08 | As part of the planned redevelopment of the north station site, modify and reduce the capacity of the PUDO facility while enhancing access priority by moving the PUDO to the western side of the station site. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-MIL- MNGO-09 | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $41 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-MIL- MNGO-10 | Expand the vehicle parking to the south of the station in a phased approach, for a total of 850 surface parking spaces, with 450 initial spaces in the short-term, and an additional conditional 400 in the medium-term should demand require it. |
|  | ON-MIL- MNGO-11 | Dependent on any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or other works, total supply may be decreased by 460 spaces. |
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| Lisgar GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 225 | 475 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 75 | 50 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 675 | 975 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) 0 Tror $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays <br> - North: bus bay (1 Brampton Transit, 2 GO, <br> 1 MiWay, 2 unassigned) | Total: 8 bus bays and $\mathbf{3}$ layovers - North: bus bays (3 Brampton Transit, 1 GO, 1 Halton Hills, 3 MiWay), layovers (1 Brampton Transit, 2 MiWay) |
| (0) Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: 32 covered <br> - East: 32 covered | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: relocate 32 covered <br> - East: 32 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 49 spaces <br> - North: 42 waiting and 7 loading spaces | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 715 spaces - North: 715 surface | - No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to 75\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID | Lisgar GO |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Required Improvements |  |  |  |
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| Meadowvale GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,900 | 600 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 225 | 1,050 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 3,850 | 3,075 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) Tror Active | - North: dedicated pedestrian walkway <br> - South: dedicated pedestrian walkway, pedestrian plaza | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (5 GO, 1 MiWay) | Total: 9 bus bays and 1 layover - South: bus bays (5 GO, 2 MiWay, 2 Brampton Transit), layover (1 MiWay) |
| (Pike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: 32 covered <br> - South: 32 covered | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 44 spaces <br> - North: 12 waiting, 10 loading <br> - South: 18 waiting, 4 loading | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,652 spaces <br> - North: 386 surface <br> - South: 1,266 surface | - No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to 75\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Meadow, Required Improvements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Milton Line
Region of Peel
City of Mississauga

STREETSVILLE
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| StreetsVille GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,675 | 2,225 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 250 | 950 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,200 | 6,000 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ํ) Transportation | - South: multiple dedicated pedestrian walkways and one multi-use path | - South: extend multi-use path to Thomas St. |
| (8) Bus Facilities | Total: 2 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (1 GO, 1 MiWay) | Total: $\mathbf{3}$ bus bays and 2 layovers <br> - South: bus bays (1 GO, 2 MiWay), layovers <br> (1 GO, 1 MiWay) |
| (0) Bike Parking | Total: 144 spaces <br> - North: 16 covered <br> - South: 32 secure, 96 covered | Total: 160 spaces <br> - North: 32 covered <br> - South: 32 secure, 96 covered |
| Drop-off Facilities | Total: 49 spaces <br> - South: 39 waiting, 10 loading | Total: 59 spaces <br> - South: 54 waiting, 5 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vabicular Parking | Total: 1,541 spaces <br> - North: 233 surface <br> - South: 1,308 surface | Total: 1,181-1,541 spaces <br> - Surplus of 360 spaces <br> - Up to 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
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| Erindale GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,650 | 3,800 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 225 | 1,150 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,175 | 9,325 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (穴位 Transportation | - West: 1 bike path | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (3 GO, 2 MiWay, 1 unassigned) | Total: 5 bus bays and 1 layover <br> - North: bus bays (2 GO, 3 MiWay), layover <br> (1 MiWay) |
| (\%) Bike Parking | Total: 44 spaces <br> - Northwest: 44 covered | Total: 96 spaces <br> - North: 32 secure, 64 covered |
| Drop-off Facilities | Total: 48 spaces <br> - Northwest: 42 waiting, 6 loading | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,193 spaces <br> - Northwest: 693 surface <br> - Northeast: 1,500 structure | - No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to 75\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID | Erindale GO |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
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| Cooksville GO |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Interchange | Station Categorizat | ion Framework | Interc | dium) |
| Station Access Type (2041 | Interchange (Transit Priority) | Station Service Mod |  | B - | vice |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology |  | Urban | tation |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current | 2019) | For |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 2,85 |  |  |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 250 |  |  |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 5,70 |  |  |  |
| Access Target Access Daily Unique <br> Mode Share (2019) Mode Share (2041) Home Riders by Mode |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ <br> Carpool |
| Walk Bike $\begin{array}{r}\text { Local } \\ \text { Transit }\end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { PUDO }}{\substack{\text { Drive \& } \\ \text { Park }}}$ | Carpool | - 2019 | 2041 |  |
| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) |  | Requirements (2041) |  |  |
| Active Transportation | - North: dedicated pedestrian platform access point <br> - South: multi-use path, pedestrian plaza |  | - (Dependent) North: additional pedestrian platform connections |  |  |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | Total: 10 bus bays <br> - South: (2 GO, 1 MiWay, 7 unassigned) |  | Total: 10 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (2 GO, 4 MiWay, 3 <br> unassigned), layover (1 MiWay) |  |  |
| Por Bike Parking | Total: 96 spaces <br> - South: 48 secure, 48 covered |  | Total: 128 spaces <br> - South: 48 secure, 80 covered |  |  |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 65 spaces <br> - South: 60 waiting, 5 loading |  | No facility expansion recommended at this time |  |  |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,161 spaces South: 261 surface South: 1,900 structure |  | Total: 1,756-2,161 spaces <br> - Surplus of 405 spaces <br> - Up to $47 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |  |  |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID | COOKSVille GO |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Required Improvements |  |  |  |
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## Dixie GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,175 | 825 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 125 | 325 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 2,350 | 2,200 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Vion Transportation | - Northwest: dedicated pedestrian walkways | - Northwest: upgraded pedestrian walkway and multi-use path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 2 bus bays <br> - Northeast: bus bays (2 GO) | Total: 3 bus bays <br> - Northeast: bus bays (1 GO, 2 MiWay) |
| Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces <br> - Northeast: 32 covered | Total: 80 spaces <br> - Northeast: 32 secure, 32 covered <br> - Northwest: 16 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 42 spaces <br> - North: 34 waiting, 8 loading spaces | Total: $\mathbf{3 3}$ spaces <br> - North: 24 waiting, 9 loading (high ridership) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 933 spaces <br> - North: 933 surface | Total: 733-933 spaces <br> - (Dependent) Surplus of 200 spaces <br> - Up to $80 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Dixie GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $\boldsymbol{F}_{\text {Walking }}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Rehabilitate the walkway and multi-use path, including curb cuts, that connect the station building area to the western access service road. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a transit priority lane on the existing entry/exit road to allow buses to bypass vehicular traffic and improve transit connections. |
|  | ON-MIL-DXGO-03 | Expand the bus loop facility with one additional bay. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Install a 32-space secure bike room adjacent to a station entrance and on-site cycling connections. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Install a 16 -space covered shelter adjacent to the western platform entrance and on-site cycling connections. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on two-way all day service being confirmed for the Milton Corridor, reconfigure the PUDO facility to a high ridership style with 24 waiting and 9 loading spaces, and reallocate any unused space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $77 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future site redevelopment, upgrades or other works, total supply may be decreased by 200 spaces. |
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| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Kitchener Line


*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

## LEGEND

## $\dot{\mathcal{E}}$ Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization (pre-COVID-19 pandemic)

Equal or higher than $95 \%$
86\%-94\%
Equal or less than $85 \%$
O No dedicated GO parking facility

## Couplet stations

....(stations with similar catchment area, one of them with parking capacity)Station within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)

Planned two-way all-day peak service frequency
Kitchener GO Rail Service Expansion PDBC (March 2021) 60-min $30-\mathrm{min} \quad 15-\mathrm{min}$


## Corridor Context

- The Town of Halton Hills is expected to experience significant population and employment growth by 2041.
- The corridor is planned for 15-minute, all-day, twoway service between Union Station and Bramalea GO.
- Customers will increasingly access stations by active transportation (walk/cycle) and local transit modes in comparison to automobile.
- Couplet stations: Infrastructure requirements may be balanced between Brampton GO and Bramalea GO due to their proximity to one another and overlapping station catchment areas. If a new station at Breslau is confirmed, consider it as a couplet to Kitchener GO for parking and PUDO provision.
- Some municipalities along this corridor perceive a potential for attracting employers in the technology sector due to connectivity with the universities of Waterloo and Guelph, as well as the City of Toronto.
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| Kitchener GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Interchange | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Base) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Interchange <br> (Transit Priority) | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 225 | 475 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 75 | 50 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 675 | 975 |  |



| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Guelph GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Transit Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Base) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 250 | 575 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 75 | 625 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 600 | 2,250 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (रु) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (8) Bus Facilities | Total: 22 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (2 GO, 14 Guelph Transit, 5 unassigned) | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (\%) Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces <br> South: 12 open <br> North: 16 covered | Total: 88 spaces <br> - South: 32 secure, 32 covered <br> - North: 32 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 0}$ spaces <br> - South: 16 waiting, 4 loading <br> - North: 5 waiting, 5 loading | Total: 48 spaces <br> - South: 26 waiting, 6 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - North: 11 waiting, 5 loading (urban) |
| P6) Vehicular Parking | Total: 18 spaces South: 18 surface | Total: $\mathbf{7 0}$ spaces <br> - Add 52 spaces <br> - Up to $17 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Guelph GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  | ON-KIT-GUEP-01 | Convert existing open rack on the south site into a 32-space covered shelter. |
|  | ON-KIT-GUEP-02 | Convert existing shelter adjacent to the north station building into a 32-space covered shelter. |
| ( | ON-KIT- <br> GUEP-03 | Install a 32-space secure bike parking facility within the PUDO area on the south GO station site. |
|  | ON-KIT-GUEP-04 | Install bicycle trough at the 100 Steps bridge, complementing the work provided by the City of Guelph. |
|  | ON-KIT- <br> GUEP-05 | Expand the south PUDO to facility equivalent to 26 waiting and 6 loading spaces (peak/ ferry). |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-KIT-GUEP-06 | Expand the north PUDO to facility equivalent to 11 waiting and 5 loading spaces (peak/ ferry). |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-KIT- <br> GUEP-07 | Implement reserved and carpool parking programs on up to 17\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-KIT- <br> GUEP-08 | Add 52 parking spaces and evaluate impacts of PUDO expansion to existing parking supply. Consider exploring off-site shared parking alternatives with the City of Guelph. |
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| Acton GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Active Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 100 | 325 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 25 | 25 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 250 | 675 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (숭 Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | South: multi-use path from Eastern Ave. |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 2 bus bays <br> - 2 bus bays (1 GO, 1 Halton Hills/ paratransit) |
| (Po) Bike Parking | Total: 16 spaces South: 16 covered | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces -South: 32 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 20 spaces <br> - South: 16 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 44 spaces - South: 44 surface | Total: 150 spaces <br> - Add 106 spaces <br> - Up to $30 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Acton GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { ATGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement a multi-use path from Eastern Ave. to the southern station entrance. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { ATGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement bus bays to meet GO service needs potentially co-located with a future facility to meet local transit needs. Work with the Town of Halton Hills to confirm requirements, including paratransit needs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { ATGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 16-space covered bike parking adjacent to the south station entrance for a total of 32 covered bicycle parking. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { ATGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a PUDO facility equivalent to a peak/ferry configuration with 16 waiting and 4 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-KIT- <br> ATGO-05 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $30 \%$ of total spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { ATGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Expand parking supply for a total of 150 spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { ATGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Expand parking supply to a total of 190 parking spaces. |
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| Georgetown GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 575 | 775 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 125 | 100 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 1,200 | 1,625 |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - Accessible pedestrian tunnel <br> - South: dedicated pedestrian connections <br> - North: dedicated pedestrian connections |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 1 bus bay <br> - North: bus bay (1 GO) | Total: 2 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (1 GO, 1 Halton Hills) |
| (Pike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: 32 covered <br> - South: 32 covered | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{2 3}$ spaces <br> - South: 20 waiting, 3 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 28 spaces <br> - South: 20 waiting, 3 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - North: 5 waiting (urban) |
| P8 Vehicular Parking | Total: 651 spaces | Total: $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ spaces <br> - Add 199 surface <br> - Up to 17\% carpool/reserved |


| Georgetown GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide an accessible pedestrian tunnel connecting both platforms. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify and implement pedestrian facilities to connect the tunnel entrances (and potential new bus bay location) with adjacent public roads at both the north and south side of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a total of two bus bays to accommodate local transit service after confirming with Halton Hills on local transit requirements. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | With the implementation of an accessible pedestrian tunnel, relocate the existing bus bay to the south side of the station. |
| Cycling | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-KIT- } \\ \text { GEGO-05 } \end{gathered}$ | Implement an urban style PUDO facility on the north side of the station. If 30-minute allday, two-way service is confirmed, review PUDO requirements. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to 17\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore options to provide an additional 199 parking spaces on the north station site. Review parking requirements when train service pattern is confirmed. |
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| Mount Pleasant GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 3,375 | 4,875 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 550 | 925 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 6,725 | 10,400 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (숭 $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 18 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (9 Brampton Transit) <br> - South: bus bays (2 GO, 6 Brampton <br> Transit), 2 layovers (2 Brampton Transit) | Total: 21 bus bays and 2 layovers <br> - North: bus bays (9 Brampton Transit) <br> - South: bus bays (2 GO, 10 Brampton <br> Transit), layovers (2 Brampton Transit) |
| (P) Bike Parking | Total: 80 spaces <br> - North: 16 covered <br> - South: 64 covered | Total: 224 spaces <br> - North: 80 covered <br> - South: 112 covered, 32 secure |
| (11) Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{8 0}$ waiting and $\mathbf{2 6}$ loading <br> - North: 6 loading (urban) <br> - Northeast: 10 waiting, 9 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - Southeast: 24 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) <br> - Southwest: 46 waiting, 7 loading (peak/ferry) | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,497 spaces <br> - North: 222 surface <br> - South: 1,265 surface | Total: 1,650 spaces <br> - Add 153 spaces <br> - Up to $19 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ID | Mount Pleasant GO |  |
| Required Improvements |  |  |
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| Brampton GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |  |  |  |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |  |  |  |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |  |  |  |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  |  |  |  | 2,350 | 3,925 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 525 | 1,175 |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 4,975 | 9,250 |  |  |  |  |


| Access <br> Mode Share (2019) | Target Access Mode Share (2041) | Daily Unique Home Riders by Mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Walk Bike } \quad \text { Transit } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | PUUDO $\underset{\substack{\text { Drive \& } \\ \text { Park }}}{\substack{\text { Carpool }}}$ | - 2019 2041 |
| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| (숭Active <br> Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - North: pedestrian pathways and multi-use path |
| Q Bus Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3}$ bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (3 GO) (off-site) | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: 64 covered | Total: 144 spaces <br> - North: 64 covered <br> - North: 48 secure <br> - South: 32 covered |
| (1/B) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 32 spaces <br> - North: 28 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 58 spaces <br> - North: 34 waiting, 12 loading (high ridership) <br> - (Off-site) South: 12 on-street waiting spaces (urban) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,122 spaces <br> - North: 695 surface <br> - Southwest: 152 surface <br> - South: 275 surface | No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to 40\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Brampton GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $1$ <br> Walking | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-01 | Improve on-site pedestrian and cycling crossings and define a multi-use path from the northwest station entrance to existing sidewalks and potential cycling infrastructure on Church St. |
| Local Transit | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-02 | Work with the City of Brampton and Brampton Transit in the delivery of the proposed Downtown Transit Hub. New bus facilities should allow for service increases and route modification. |
|  | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-03 | Current GO Bus demand is 3 bays. If improvements to the GO Bus network are in place, review bus bay requirements and coordinate with the Brampton Transit Hub project team. |
|  | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-04 | Depending on advancement of the Brampton Queen St.-Hwy. 7 BRT, work with the project team on the options for integration between the rapid transit corridor, the Brampton GO Rail station, and the Downtown Transit Hub. |
| Cycling | ON-KIT-BRGO-05 | Install 48 secure bike parking spaces adjacent to the north station entrance. |
|  | ON-KIT-BRGO-06 | Install 32 covered bike parking spaces adjacent to the southwest station entrance along Railroad St. near Mill St. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-07 | Implement dedicated access from PUDO to Church St. |
|  | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-08 | Increase capacity of the north PUDO by reconfiguring it to a high ridership layout (34 waiting and 12 loading spaces). |
|  | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-09 | Work with the City of Brampton on the Railroad St. reconfiguration project. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-10 | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to $40 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-KIT- <br> BRGO-11 | No facility expansion recommended at this time. If two-way, all-day service is confirmed for this station, parking typology, and requirements should be reviewed. |
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| Bramalea GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Transit Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,850 | 4,675 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 750 | 3,150 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 6,175 | 13,875 |  |



| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Malton GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,250 | 1,200 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 275 | 850 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 2,575 | 3,625 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - West: multi-use path |
| (8) Bus Facilities | Total: 1 bus bay - North: 1 bus bay | Total: 8 bus bays and 1 layover <br> - North: bus bays (2 MiWay, 6 Brampton <br> Transit), layover (1 Brampton Transit) |
| (-0) Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces - North: 32 covered | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 29 spaces <br> - North: 24 waiting spaces and 5 loading (peak/ferry) | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 698 spaces - North: 698 surface | Total: 698 spaces <br> - No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to $15 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Malton GO

Kitchener Line
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| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (大) Transportation | - South: pedestrian pathways | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (-) Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces <br> - North: 32 covered | Total: 144 spaces <br> - North: 64 covered <br> - South: 32 covered <br> - South: 48 secure |
| $\text { (i/ } \quad \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \\ \text { Drop-off Facilities } \end{array}$ | Total: 6 spaces <br> - South: 6 loading (strip configuration) | Total: 18 spaces <br> - 14 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 325 spaces <br> - North: 130 surface, 68 leased <br> - South: 127 surface | No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to $85 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

Kitchener Line
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| Mount Dennis GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | N/A | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | New Station | Retail Typology | Urban Centre Station <br> (TOC) |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | N/A | 2,050 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | N/A | 3,425 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | N/A | 9,525 |  |



| Mount Dennis GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify opportunities to implement pedestrian connections to employment areas north of the LRT station. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-KIT- } \\ \text { MDGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the Eglinton Crosstown LRT project, an integrated Crosstown LRT, GO, and UP Express station is currently under development. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-KIT- } \\ \text { MDGO-03 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the Eglinton Crosstown LRT project, a bus terminal is currently under development on the east-side of the rail corridor and will provide a transfer facility for surrounding bus routes. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the Eglinton Crosstown LRT project, a secure bike room is being integrated into the station entrance building. |
| 0 <br> Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the Eglinton Crosstown LRT project, covered bike parking is being installed at the new west station entrance off of Weston Rd. with access from Hollis St. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the Eglinton Crosstown LRT project, a pick-up and drop-off facility is currently under development on the east side of the rail corridor with dedicated access to a private road connecting south to Eglinton Ave. No additional facility enhancements are recommended. |
| Carpool Passengers | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
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| Bloor GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Interchange | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Interchange <br> (Active Priority) | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | No Parking | Retail Typology | Urban Centre Station <br> (TOC) |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 375 | 1,550 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 375 | 3,025 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,200 | 8,200 |  |



| Bloor GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Study the feasibility for a direct pedestrian connection between the GO Rail station and the TTC subway station. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Install 64 covered bike parking spaces on the west side of the rail corridor and 80 covered bike parking spaces on the east. As part of any future station improvement, convert open bike racks to covered bike parking. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-00 } \end{aligned}$ | Install 64 new secure bike parking spaces on the east side of the rail corridor. |
| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned enhancements to the pick-up and drop-off area, consider widening the pedestrian waiting area and pathway to the north commercial plaza to reduce potential conflicts between pedestrians and vehicular traffic. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvement, expand the west strip PUDO facility to 6 waiting and 2 loading spaces. To account for the planned high-rise residential development along Dundas St. west of the GO station entrance, the pick-up and drop-off area entrance road is being expanded to provide enhanced visibility for drivers circling across the pick-up and drop-off area loop. |
| Carpool Passengers | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |

## Barrie Line



## LEGEND

## $\dot{\mathcal{E}}$ Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization (pre-COVID-19 pandemic)

Equal or higher than $95 \%$
86\%-94\%
〇 Equal or less than $85 \%$
O No dedicated GO parking facility

## Couplet stations

....(stations with similar catchment area, one of them with parking capacity)Station within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)

Planned two-way all-day peak service frequency
GO Expansion Functional Business Case (2021)
30-min 15-min


## Corridor Context

- The City of Toronto, York Region, Simcoe County, and the City of Barrie are all expected to experience significant population and employment growth over the next 20 years, especially surrounding designated MTSA stations (all GO stations on Barrie corridor).
- GO Expansion is planned for the majority of the Barrie corridor with increased service, two-way, allday from Union Station to Bradford GO.
- The Barrie corridor is unique in that most of the stations with existing parking are set to experience an increase in parking between 2019 and 2041 as a result of anticipated additional demand due to the service increase.
- Couplet stations: There is overlap between the catchment areas of East Gwillimbury and Newmarket, and Maple and Rutherford, allowing for balancing station access requirements between these stations.
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| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( | - North/South: Pedestrian pathways <br> - Pedestrian tunnel | - North: Additional pedestrian pathways <br> - North: Multi-use path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (2 GO, 4 Barrie Transit) | Total: 15 bus bays and 4 layover - (Off-site) North: bus bays (2 GO, 13 Barrie Transit), 4 Layovers |
| (-) Bike Parking | Total: 64 bike spaces <br> - North: 64 covered | Total: 160 bike spaces <br> - (Off-site) North: 64 covered, 32 secured <br> - (Off-site) South: 64 covered |
| Drop-off Facilities | Total: 15 spaces <br> - North: 12 waiting and 3 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) | Total: 26 spaces <br> - North: 9 waiting and 4 loading spaces (strip configuration) <br> - South: 7 waiting and 3 loading spaces (urban configuration) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ spaces - South: 160 surface | Total: $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ spaces <br> - Add 190 spaces <br> - Up to 44\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Allandale Waterfront GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { ADGO-01 } \end{gathered}$ | In coordination with the municipal service provider, review opportunities to improve transit vehicle access and egress at the station, prioritizing customer travel time. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { ADGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | Add 32 spaces of secure supply on the north side of the rail corridor to the west of the tunnel building through any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or other works. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { ADGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Install an additional two, 32-bike capacity, covered shelters for a total capacity of 64 bicycle parking stalls on the south side of the rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { ADGO-04 } \end{gathered}$ | Modify the existing PUDO north of the station into a strip configuration facility. Include dedicated vehicle waiting areas, and to avoid impact to the ongoing archaeological dig on the historic site north of the GO Station. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { ADGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 44\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { ADGO-06 } \end{gathered}$ | Add 190 surface parking spaces via alternative parking solutions (e.g., shared and leased surface parking) along the waterfront, on the north-west corner of Tiffin St. and Lakeshore Dr. or west of William St. Future parking can also be explored directly north of the GO station site on the City's historic Allandale site, or through potential TOC opportunities. |
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| Barrie South GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 450 | 3,300 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 150 | 1,650 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,100 | 8,675 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Vion Transportation | - South: pedestrian pathways | - South: additional pedestrian pathways <br> - South: multi-use path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 5 bus bays <br> - South: bus bays (2 GO, 3 Barrie Transit) | Total: 7 bus bays and 2 layovers <br> - South: bus bays (2 GO, 5 Barrie Transit), 2 layovers |
| Bike Parking | Total: 64 bike spaces South: 64 covered | Total: 112 bike spaces <br> - South: 80 covered <br> - South: 32 secure |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 3}$ spaces <br> - South: 26 waiting and 7 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) | Total: $\mathbf{3 3}$ spaces <br> - No pick-up/drop-off expansion recommended |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 619 spaces <br> - South: 619 surface | Total: 995 spaces <br> - Add 376 spaces <br> - Up to $36 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Barrie South GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-BA-BSGO-0 | Implement a boulevard-separated, joint-use path along the northern edge of the station site from Yonge St. to the station platform to rreduce conflicts between pedestrians and cyclists with vehicular traffic on the station site. Alternatively, explore a multi-use path to be delivered as part of an adjacent development located northeast of the station. |
| Local Transit | ON-BA-BSGO-02 | To meet the demand of increased transit service to new and existing communities, expand the existing bus loop northwest of the north parking lot (southwest of the rail corridor) to accommodate this increase in service. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore the feasibility of providing a tunnel entrance on the east side of the rail corridor with a multi-use path that connects to Mapleview Dr. to the south and Pine Dr. to the north to provide improved pedestrian and cycling access to residents on the east side of the rail corridor. |
|  | ON-BA-BSGO-04 | Add one additional 16-capacity bike capacity covered shelters for a total capacity of 80 bicycle parking stalls on the southwest side of the rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Install 32 spaces of secure supply on the southwest side of the rail corridor at the northwest corner of the current GO parking lot, near the proposed MUP through any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or other works. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvements, reconfigure PUDO to reduce conflicts with other users and enhance priority for pick up/drop off vehicles exiting the GO station site. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking program on up to $36 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-BA-BSGO-08 | Add 425 parking spaces via alternative parking solutions (e.g., shared and leased surface parking) southwest of the rail corridor within walking distance of the GO station. |
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| Bradford GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 500 | 1,475 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 150 | 425 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,175 | 3,300 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (X) Trar Active | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - West: pedestrian pathways <br> - West: multi-use path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 3 bus bays <br> - West: bus bays (1 GO, 2 BWG/Simcoe County LINX) | Total: 4 bus bays, 1 layover - West: bus bays (2 GO, 2 BWG/Simcoe County LINX), 1 layover ( 1 GO) |
| (\%) Bike Parking | Total: 16 bike spaces <br> - West: 16 covered | Total: 48 bike spaces <br> - West: 48 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 12 spaces - West: 12 waiting (peak/ferry configuration) | Total: 9 spaces <br> - West: 7 waiting and 2 loading (peak/ferry configuration) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 359 spaces <br> - West: 359 surface | Total: 460 spaces <br> - Add 101 spaces <br> - Up to $33 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Bradford GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Reconfigure the parking areas to create a designated pedestrian pathway from the intersection of Holland St. and Dissette St., through the station site towards the platform. This pathway should prioritize pedestrian safety and reduce conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide three bus bays to meet GO service and municipal service provider needs due to the increase in transit demand expected at Bradford GO as the terminus station for 15-minute, two-way, all-day rail service. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide one additional bus bay and one layover space to meet GO service needs once 15-minute, two-way, all-day service is implemented and terminates at Bradford GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Install 32 covered bike parking spaces at the northeast corner of the current GO parking lot to the west of the rail corridor. This location would allow for cyclists traveling along the proposed cycling connection on the northern edge of the station site to have convenient access to bike parking. |
| $0 \times 0$ <br> Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | A 16-space secure bike parking room on the west side of the rail corridor and east of the station building is currently being delivered. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvement, reduce the peak/ferry west PUDO to 7 waiting and 2 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $33 \%$ of total spaces at the north portion of the main surface parking lot. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { BDGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Locate 101 additional parking spaces via surface parking on an off-site property to the west of the rail corridor, located within walking distance of the GO station. |
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| East  <br> Swillimbury GO  <br> Station Classification  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 800 | 3,200 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 200 | 925 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,775 | 7,175 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) Transportation | - West: pedestrian pathways <br> - West: bike trail connection | - West: additional cycling and pedestrian connections <br> - West: multi-use path |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 10 bus bays <br> - West: bus bays (3 GO, 2 YRT, 5 unassigned) | Total: 9 bus bays <br> - West: bus bays (3 GO, 6 YRT) |
| (Po) Bike Parking | Total: 64 bike spaces - West: 64 covered | Total: 128 bike spaces <br> - West: 96 covered <br> - West: 16 secure |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 54 spaces <br> - West: 9 waiting (high ridership configuration) <br> - West: 38 waiting and 7 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) | Total: $\mathbf{2 5}$ spaces <br> - West: 20 waiting and 5 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 992 spaces - West: 992 surface | Total: 1,595 spaces <br> - Add 603 spaces <br> - Up to 31\% carpool/reserved parking |


| East Gwillimbury GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-BA-GWIL-01 | Extend the boulevard separated east-west pedestrian connection north of the pick-up/ drop-off area to the GO station building. |
|  | ON-BA-GWIL-02 | Develop an access from Main St. N. to the station that incorporates a boulevard separated multi-use path that connects to an existing east-west alignment through the station site. |
| Local Transit | ON-BA-GWIL-03 | Provide 9 bus bays to meet GO service and municipal service provider needs and consider using the space from the existing tenth bus bay for other modes or uses. |
| Cycling | ON-BA-GWIL-04 | Install a 16-capacity secure bike parking room south of the station building. |
|  | ON-BA-GWIL-05 | Install a 32-capacity covered bike shelter in between the reconfigured bus loop and the PUDO area as part of the planned redevelopment of the station, as well as in tandem with the implementation of cycling connections from new developments along Green Ln. to the GO station site. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-BA- <br> GWIL-06 | Remove one of the south vehicle waiting area lanes to allow for the extension of the existing boulevard-separated pedestrian connection on the west side of the parking lot, connecting east toward the station building. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-BA-GWIL-07 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $31 \%$ of total spaces with a focus on the eastern section of the main surface parking lot in close proximity to the station building. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-BA-GWIL-08 | Add 603 spaces via alternative parking solutions (e.g., modular parking on the main surface parking lot) west of the rail corridor. If not feasible, explore the ability to locate these spaces through off-site properties located within walking distance of the station. |
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| Newmarket GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 625 | 700 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 175 | 975 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 1,425 | 2,975 |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (숭) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - East: pedestrian pathways | - East: additional pedestrian pathways <br> - East: bike trail connection <br> - West: pedestrian/cycling connection to Main St. <br> - East: pedestrian plaza |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No dedicated facility is recommended |
| (Pio Bike Parking | Total: 64 bike spaces <br> East: 64 uncovered | Total: 96 bike spaces - East: 96 covered |
| $\text { (1) } \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \\ \text { Drop-off Facilities } \end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 6 spaces <br> - East: 5 waiting and 1 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) |
| P昷 Vehicular Parking | Total: 273 spaces - East: 273 surface | Total: $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ spaces <br> - Surplus of 15 spaces <br> - Up to $37 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Newmarket GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | ON-BA-NMGO-01 | Analyze the feasibility of an entrance on the west side of the rail corridor with a pedestrian and cycling connection to Main St. This would significantly reduce travel times for pedestrians and cyclists to connect to the GO station site. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Cycling | ON-BA- <br> NMGO-02 | If demand exceeds supply, install a 48-space secured bike parking room on the east side of the rail corridor through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | ON-BA-NMGO-03 | Install 32 new covered bike parking spaces on the east side of the rail corridor. As part of any future station improvement, convert existing 64 open bike racks to covered parking. |
|  | ON-BA-NMGO-04 | As part of any future station improvement, develop a peak/ferry configuration pick-up and drop-off facility with 5 waiting and 1 loading spaces in close proximity to the station entrance. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-BA-NMGO-05 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 37\% of total spaces. |
|  | ON-BA-NMGO-06 | As part of any future site development, upgrades or other works, total supply may be decreased by 15 spaces east of the rail corridor and the corresponding space may be used for other access modes. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { NMGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified pay parking on a portion of the surface parking spaces at this station (approx. 100 spaces). |
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| AurOra GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,775 | 1,850 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 675 | 1,175 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,600 | 5,350 |  |


| Access <br> Mode Share (2019) | Target Access Mode Share (2041) | Daily Unique Home Riders by Mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Walk Bike } \quad \text { Local } \\ & \text { Transit } \end{array}$ | $\text { PUDO } \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Drive \& Carpool } \\ & \text { Park } \end{aligned}$ | :-wime 2041 |
| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| (iㅇㅇ Transportation | - East/West: pedestrian pathways | - East: additional pedestrian pathways <br> - East: cycling connections |
| Q Bus Facilities | Total: 4 bus bays <br> - East: bus bays (2 GO, 2 YRT) | Total: 5 bus bays <br> - East: bus bays (2 GO, 3 YRT) |
| Pike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ bike spaces <br> - East: 24 covered <br> - East: 8 uncovered | Total: 96 bike spaces <br> - East: 32 secure <br> - East: 48 covered <br> - West: 16 covered |
| Pick-up/ <br> Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 6}$ spaces <br> - East: 28 waiting and 8 loading (peak/ ferry configuration) | Total: 8 spaces <br> - East: 6 waiting and 2 loading (high ridership configuration) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,470 spaces <br> - West: 390 surface <br> - East: 866 structure <br> - East: 214 surface | Total: 1,880 spaces <br> - Add 410 spaces <br> - Up to 47\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Aurora GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Reconfigure the internal circulation network to minimize conflicts between pedestrians and vehicular traffic. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | To meet the demand of increased transit service to new and existing communities, expand the existing bus loop south of the existing station building (east of the rail corridor). |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 32 new secured bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects on the west station entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 32 new covered bike parking spaces and 32 new secured bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects at the end of the bike path connecting to the east GO station site and on the west station entrance. Additionally, as part of any future station improvement convert open bike racks to covered bike parking. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned grade separation of Wellington St., the Ross St. access from Wellington St. is scheduled to close resulting in the elimination of access to the current pick-up/drop-off facility. Relocate the pick-up/drop- off area to be adjacent to the current bus loop location with priority or dedicated access to Industrial Parkway S. Additionally, consider configuring the vehicle waiting area in the form of short-term parking. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 47\% of total spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Improve the configuration of the internal circulation network and surface parking spaces to address user conflict and safety issues. Explore the feasibility of an east-west connection between the station site and Industrial Parkway S. to address challenges with queuing of vehicles exiting the parking structure and heading westbound from the station site. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { AUGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 410 spaces via surface parking east of the rail corridor and north of Centre St. off Scanlon Crt. Locating parking here will ensure that no additional lands within the Aurora Promenade area (which are designated for urban intensification) are used for GO parking expansion. |
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| King City GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 975 | 3,025 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 225 | 725 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,950 | 6,550 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Pedestrian pathways |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 1 bus bay <br> - East: bus bay (1 YRT) (off-site) | No facility expansion recommended |
| Poro Bike Parking | Total: 16 bike spaces - East: 16 covered | Total: $\mathbf{4 8}$ bike spaces <br> - East: 32 covered <br> - East: 16 secure |
|  | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 19 spaces <br> - East: 15 waiting and 4 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) |
| P8 Vehicular Parking | Total: 616 spaces West: 116 surface East: 500 surface | Total: 1,285 spaces <br> - Add 669 spaces <br> - Up to 67\% carpool/reserved parking |


| King City GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-01 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the planned improvements to the east station site, which includes a possible signalized entrance in alignment with Richard Sierra Ct., implement a pedestrian connection along the east-west alignment of the signalized intersection through the proposed surface parking lot, to the GO station platform. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | In coordination with the municipal service provider, review opportunities to improve transit vehicle access and egress at the station, prioritizing customer travel time. |
| Cycling | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-03 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the planned improvements to the east station site, install an additional 16 covered bike parking spaces at the northern end of the main GO station site east of the rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-04 } \end{gathered}$ | In coordination with the Township of King, explore opportunities to improve cycling and pedestrian flow through the West Street surface parking lot from the GO station to the trail north of the satellite lot. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { KGGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | A 16-space secure bike parking room on the east side of the rail corridor south of the station building is currently being delivered. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-06 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of the east station site, develop a new pick-up/ drop-off facility adjacent to the north end of the east station platform. Configure the vehicle waiting area as short-term parking and provide dedicated access from this facility to Station St. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-07 } \end{gathered}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 67\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-BA- } \\ \text { KGGO-08 } \end{gathered}$ | Add 669 spaces via surface and alternative parking solutions (e.g. modular parking) east of the rail corridor on-site or via satellite parking lots in close walking distance to the station. |
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| Maple GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,600 | 5,125 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 500 | 750 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,250 | 10,200 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Transportation | - East: pedestrian pathways | - East: additional pedestrian pathways <br> - East: two-way, on-street bike lane <br> - East/West: pedestrian bridge <br> - East: pedestrian plaza |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 1 bus bay - East: bus bays (1 GO) | Total: 4 bus bays <br> - East: bus bays (1 GO, 3 YRT) |
| (0) Bike Parking | Total : 16 bike spaces <br> - East: 16 covered | Total: $\mathbf{7 2}$ bike spaces <br> - East: 48 covered <br> - East: 24 secure |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 7}$ spaces <br> - East: 31 waiting and 6 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) | Total: $\mathbf{5 0}$ spaces <br> - East: 40 waiting and 10 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,738 spaces East: 1,738 surface | Total: 1,955 spaces <br> - Add 217 spaces <br> - Up to $50 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Maple GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $\mathcal{W}_{\text {Wlking }}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Proceed with the planned redevelopment of the station site that includes pedestrian connection along Eagle Rock Way, and a public plaza immediately adjacent to the atgrade west entrance of the GO station. |
|  | ON-BA-MAGO-02 | Explore the potential to create a western entrance connected through a pedestrian tunnel under the corridor, providing access to residents west of the station. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | In coordination with the municipal service provider, review opportunities to improve transit vehicle access and egress at the station, prioritizing customer travel time. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | A new three-level building is currently being delivered south of the bus loop off Eagle Rock Way which will connect the bus loop on the third level to the main station site (atgrade, second level) and the station platforms (basement level, via a tunnel). |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 32 covered bike parking spaces east of the rail corridor adjacent to the tunnel entrance as part of a new pedestrian connection to the community west of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | A 24-space secure bike parking room on the east side of the rail corridor is currently being delivered on the top level of the new three-level building connecting the integrated bus loop to the lower GO Rail level. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvement, expand the peak/ferry east PUDO to 40 waiting and 10 loading spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Reconfigure the internal vehicular circulation network to minimize conflicts between through traffic from the surface parking to the north and the vehicle passenger loading area. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserve and carpool parking on up to 59\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { MAGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 218 via surface parking on an off-site property east of the rail corridor located within walking distance of Maple GO Station. |
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| Rutherford GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,825 | 3,125 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 325 | 1,075 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 3,675 | 7,350 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) Transportation | - West: pedestrian pathways <br> - East/West: pedestrian bridge | - West: pedestrian plaza <br> - West: bike trail connection <br> - West: multi-use path |
| (®) Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays <br> - West: bus bays (2 GO, 4 YRT) | Total: 4 bus bays, 2 layover <br> - West: bus bays (1 GO, 3 YRT), 2 layover (2 YRT) |
| Por Bike Parking | Total: 116 bike spaces <br> - West: 16 uncovered <br> - West: 100 secure | Total: 116 bike spaces <br> - West: 16 covered <br> - West: 100 secure |
| (1/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 41 spaces <br> - West: 37 waiting and 4 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) | Total: 69 spaces <br> - West: 60 waiting and 9 loading spaces (peak/ferry configuration) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,210 spaces <br> - West: 1,012 surface <br> - West: 1,198 structure | Total: 2,210 spaces <br> - Up to 55\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Rutherford GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { RUGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore the potential to provide an eastern station entrance with a pedestrian and cycling path along the western edge of the rail corridor to Royal Appian Cres. and Westway Cres. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  | ON-BA- <br> RUGO-02 | As part of any future station improvement, convert uncovered bike racks to covered bike parking. |
| Co <br> Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-BA- } \\ & \text { RUGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of the station site, consider incorporating a bike path into the northern edge of the station site from Westbourne Dr. to the GO station platform. |
|  | ON-BA- <br> RUGO-04 | Relocate the vehicle passenger loading area in close proximity to the proposed station building with priority access out of the station site to Rutherford Rd., preferably via a signalized intersection. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-BA-RUGO-05 | Expand the peak/ferry west PUDO to 60 waiting and 9 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-BA-RUGO-06 | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to $55 \%$ of total spaces on the 3rd, 4th, and 5th floors of the parking structure. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
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## Downsview Park GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Interchange | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Interchange <br> (Transit Priority) | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | No Parking | Retail Typology | Urban Centre Station <br> (TOC) |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 250 | 2,200 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 450 | 6,750 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,100 | 16,225 |  |



| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID | Dewn <br> Required Improvements |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
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## Caledonia GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | N/A | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Interchange <br> (Active Priority) | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | New Station | Retail Typology | Urban Centre Station <br> (TOC) |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | N/A | 650 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | N/A | 675 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | N/A | 2,300 |  |



| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

## Richmond Hill Line

## LEGEND

## $\dot{\mathcal{E}}$ Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization

 (pre-COVID-19 pandemic)Equal or higher than 95\%
86\%-94\%
○ Equal or less than $85 \%$
No dedicated GO parking facilityStation within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or
Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)


## Corridor Context

- The City of Richmond Hill and York Region are expected to experience a significant increase in population and employment by 2041.
- Currently, there are no plans to increase service levels on the Richmond Hill GO corridor.
- Overall ridership is projected to decline due to a lack of all-day, two-way service, expansion of other GO services, and the Yonge North Subway Extension (YNSE).
- There is an opportunity to create a couplet station with the proposed YNSE stations near Langstaff GO, integrating station access requirements to enhance customer experience.
- The corridor experiences high freight activity as it is shared with CN Rail.


Richmond Hill Line
Region of York
City of Richmond Hill

Links: table of contents | off-site table

| Bloomington GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | N/A | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | N/A | 125 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | N/A | 0 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | N/A | 225 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |
| (®) Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays <br> East: bus bays (2 GO, 1 YRT, <br> 3 unassigned) | No facility expansion recommended |
| Por Bike Parking | Total: 46 spaces - East: 46 covered | No facility expansion recommended |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 0}$ spaces <br> East: 30 waiting (peak/ferry) | No facility expansion recommended |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 998 spaces <br> East: 238 surface <br> East: 760 structure | No facility expansion recommended - Up to 27\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Gormley GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 700 | 450 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 25 | 75 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,350 | 1,000 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 5 bus bays South: bus bays (5 GO) | Total: 6 bus bays - South: bus bays (6 GO) |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: 32 spaces East: 32 covered | No facility expansion recommended |
| $\text { (in) } \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \\ \text { Drop-off Facilities } \end{array}$ | Total: $\mathbf{3 6}$ spaces <br> - East: 32 waiting and 4 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 23 spaces <br> - East: 20 waiting and 3 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 871 spaces - East: 871 surface | No facility expansion recommended - Up to $29 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Gormley GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { GORL-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Expand the bus loop facility with 1 additional bay. |
|  | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-RH-GORL-02 | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the eastern PUDO, reduce the size to 20 waiting spaces and 3 loading spaces and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { GORL-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to $29 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
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| Richmond Hill GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,675 | 2,100 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 200 | 50 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,375 | 4,075 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (중 $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Northeast: multi-use path |
| (1) Bus Facilities | Total: 6 bus bays - East: bus bays (5 YRT, 1 GO) | Total: 7 bus bays and 1 layover - East: bus bays (6 YRT, 1 GO), layover (1 GO) |
| (0) Bike Parking | Total: 96 spaces <br> - Northeast: 32 covered <br> - Southeast: 64 uncovered | No facility expansion recommended |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 44 spaces <br> - East: 44 waiting (peak/ferry) | Total: 46 spaces <br> - East: 33 waiting and 13 loading (high ridership) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,005 spaces - East: 2,005 surface | Total: 1,662-2,005 spaces <br> - Surplus of 343 spaces <br> - Up to 34\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Richmond Hill GO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - South: pedestrian pathways |
| Bus Facilities | Total: 11 bus bays <br> - Northwest: bus bays (3 GO, 8 YRT) | Total: 24 bus bays and 4 layovers - Northwest: bus bays (9 GO, 15 YRT), layovers (4 GO) |
| (0) Bike Parking | Total: 96 spaces <br> North: 64 covered <br> South: 32 covered | No facility expansion recommended |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 40 spaces <br> - North: 20 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ ferry) <br> - South: 12 waiting and 3 loading (peak/ ferry) | Total: 48 spaces <br> -North: 23 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ ferry) <br> -South: 15 waiting and 5 loading (peak/ ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,131 spaces <br> - North: 711surface <br> South: 420 surface | Total: 960-1,131 spaces <br> - Surplus of 171 spaces <br> - Up to $37 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Langstaff GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Improve the pedestrian connections from the platform to the Richmond Hill Centre Terminal (including weather protection). |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Markham to integrate pedestrian connections to the station site from the south. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Improve signage and wayfinding to and from the Richmond Hill Centre terminal and the GO station. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Richmond Hill and City of Markham to explore on-site and off-site options to expand the bus facilities at the station with direct connections to the GO Rail station building and the proposed Yonge North Subway Extension (YNSE). |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Richmond Hill to connect the proposed GO station with existing bike lanes on Hwy. 7 and Yonge St. by implementing cycling facilities on Red Maple Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on YNSE delivering a secure bike room, provide an additonal 16 secure bike parking spaces for GO customers in an integrated facility. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvement, expand the southern PUDO to 15 waiting spaces and 5 loading spaces. Additionally, conditional on the proposed YNSE, consider reconfiguring the southern PUDO to a high ridership facility. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Richmond Hill to explore modifications to the pick-up and drop-off area to address conflicts between vehicles exiting the PUDO and pedestrians walking to parking spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on any future station improvement, expand the northern PUDO facility to 23 waiting spaces and maintain 5 loading spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to $37 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on any future site redevelopment, upgrades, or other works, total parking supply may be decreased by up to 171 spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { LNGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider alternative parking solutions (i.e., shared parking or modular parking) in the south parking lot to integrate parking with the proposed YNSE. |
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## Old Cummer GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Access Station |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 575 | 600 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 50 | 100 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,175 | 1,350 |  |


| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) Tro $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 2 bus bays East: bus bays (2 TTC) |
| (-0) Bike Parking | Total: 32 spaces East: 32 covered | Total: 64 spaces <br> - East: 32 covered, 32 secure |
| Drop-off Facilities | Total: 35 spaces <br> - East: 29 waiting and 6 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 18 spaces <br> -East: 14 waiting and 4 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 466 spaces - 466 surface | No facility expansion recommended - Up to 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Old Cummer GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on any future exploration of platform tunnels at the station, consider providing an entrance to the west side of the corridor and connecting with Pineway Blvd. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-RH- } \\ \text { CMGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | Work with the City of Toronto and HydroOne to consider a pedestrian link between the north-west corner of Greyhound Dr. and the GO station site. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto and TTC to provide on-site or on-street bus bays. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 32 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Install additional covered bike shelters if there is a future western station entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bikeshare docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the eastern PUDO, reduce size to 14 waiting spaces and 4 loading spaces and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 85\% of total spaces. |
|  | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
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## Oriole GO



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 2 bus bays - (Off-site) Bus bays (2 TTC) |
| (Po) Bike Parking | Total: 16 spaces East: 16 covered | Total: 48 spaces - East: 48 covered |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 8 spaces - East: 8 loading | Total: 4 spaces - (Off-site): 4 loading |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ spaces East: 280 surface | Total: 59 spaces <br> - East: remove 221 spaces <br> - Up to 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Oriole GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { ORGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider enhancing connections between the GO and TTC station entrances and sidewalks on Esther Shiner Blvd., Sheppard Ave., Leslie St., and Old Leslie St. |
|  | ON-RH-ORGO-02 | As part of planning explorations to relocate the station north of its current location, consider developing a pedestrian connection between the current TTC bus loop and subway stop at Leslie St. and the GO platform entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { ORGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to explore alternative options that offer transit priority on off-site facilities such as laybys on local roads with direct connections to the station building and/or platform. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { ORGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore opportunities to add 32 bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | ON-RH-ORGO-05 | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station and protect space for bikeshare docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
| 1/ คิ <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { ORGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of planning explorations to relocate the station, consider developing a pick-up/ drop-off facility within the TTC/TPA parking lot. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { ORGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement modified reserved and carpool parking on up to $85 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-RH- } \\ & \text { ORGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of planning explorations to relocate the station, integrate 59 GO parking spaces with the 100 TTC/TPA paid parking spaces currently located on Old Leslie St. |

## Stouffville Line

## LEGEND

## $\dot{\mathcal{E}}$ Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization

 (pre-COVID-19 pandemic)Equal or higher than 95\%
86\%-94\%
O Equal or less than $85 \%$
No dedicated GO parking facility

## Couplet stations

....(stations with similar catchment area, one of them with parking capacity)Station within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)

Planned two-way all-day peak service frequency
GO Expansion FBC (2018)

## 20-min 15-min



## Corridor Context

- The City of Markham and York Region are expected to experience a significant increase in population and employment by 2041, given the growth of technology industries and the future York University - Markham Campus.
- The corridor is planned for 15 -minute, all-day, twoway service between Union and Unionville GO.
- Customers will increasingly access stations by walking and transit in comparison to drive-and-park.
- Customers within the catchment area of the Richmond Hill corridor may use the Stouffville line in the future, due to better service levels.
- There are a number of secure bike rooms planned across the corridor as cycling levels are forecasted to increase.
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| Old Elm GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 150 | 125 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 25 | 0 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 325 | 250 |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) Tror Active $\begin{array}{r}\text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - East: multi-use path through the station site |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3}$ bus bays <br> East: bus bays (2 GO, 1 unassigned) | Total: $\mathbf{3}$ bus bays <br> - East: bus bays (2 GO, 1 YRT) |
| (-0) Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces East: 32 covered | Total: 48 spaces East: 48 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 25 spaces <br> - East: 21 waiting and 4 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 27 spaces <br> - East: 24 waiting and 3 loading spaces (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 673 spaces East: 673 surface | Total: 672 spaces <br> - East: 672 surface <br> - Up to 10\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

Stouffville Line
Region of York
Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville

STOUFFVILLE


UNION
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## Stouffville GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Active Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 575 | 1,800 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 75 | 75 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 1,275 | 3,525 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( ㅅㅇㅇ $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |
| (\%)Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 1 bus bay - (Off-site) Southeast: bus bay (1 GO) |
| Por Bike Parking | Total: 48 spaces <br> - East: 32 covered, 16 secure <br> - West:16 covered | Total: 80 spaces <br> - East: 32 covered, 32 secure spaces <br> - West: 16 covered |
| $\text { (i) } \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \\ \text { Drop-off Facilities } \end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 6 spaces - East: 6 loading (strip) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 378 spaces <br> - Northwest: 124 surface <br> - West: 181 surface <br> - East: 73 surface | Total: 205-378 spaces <br> - Surplus of 173 surface <br> - Up to 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | StOUffille GO |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
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| Mount Joy GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,925 | 6,725 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 225 | 1,800 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 3,800 | 15,075 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (रु) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - West: additional pedestrian pathways <br> - West: pedestrian plaza |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3}$ bus bays <br> - West: bus bays (2 GO, 1 unassigned) | Total: 4 bus bays <br> - West: bus bays (2 GO, 2 YRT) |
| (Pio Bike Parking | Total: 96 spaces - West: 96 covered | Total: 192 spaces <br> -West: 64 secure, 128 covered |
| (T) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 84 spaces <br> - West: 74 waiting, 10 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: $\mathbf{8 0}$ spaces <br> - West: 60 waiting, 20 loading (high ridership) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,333 spaces <br> - West: 979 surface <br> East: 354 surface | Total: 1,180-1,333 spaces <br> - Surplus of 153 spaces <br> - Up to $31 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Mount Joy GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $F_{\text {Walking }}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement a multi-use path along the southern extent of the west parking lot to provide an uninterrupted connection between Markham Rd. and the GO platform/tunnel entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement a pedestrian and cycling connection from the intersection of Bur Oak Ave. and Anderson Ave. to the station building. Additionally, consider incorporating a public plaza in front of the station building that includes pedestrian and cycling amenities. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider providing a pathway connecting the northwest corner of the GO parking lot to the sidewalk along Bur Oak Ave. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Markham and York Region Transit to identify opportunities to add additional bus bays by optimizing design of the existing bus loop facility or through provision of on-street bays. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 32 covered bike parking spaces in the west parking lot through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 64 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { MJGO-07 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Dependent on the development of an eastern station entrance, consider installing 16 covered bike spaces adjacent to the east parking lot. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvement reconfigure the PUDO into a high ridership facility with 60 waiting and 20 loading spaces |
| Carpool <br> Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 31\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MJGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the municipality to identify parking replacement alternatives to offset any further parking loss at the GO station. |
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| Markham GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 950 | 2,025 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 125 | 600 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 1,925 | 4,650 |


| Access <br> Mode Share (2019) | Target Access Mode Share (2041) | Daily Unique Home Riders by Mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \text { Walk Bike } \quad & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Transit } \end{array}$ | PUDO $\begin{gathered}\text { Drive \& Carpool } \\ \text { Park }\end{gathered}$ | :-w. 20192041 |
| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| (i)Active <br> Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - 1 multi-use path and a pedestrian plaza |
| - Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 1 bus bay <br> - (Off-site) East: bus bay (1 GO) |
| (\%) Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{4 0}$ spaces <br> - East: 32 covered, 8 uncovered | Total: 136 spaces <br> - East: 40 covered, 48 secure <br> - Northwest: 32 covered <br> - Southwest: 16 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: $\mathbf{3 5}$ spaces <br> - East: 28 waiting and 7 loading spaces (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 416 spaces <br> - East: 247 surface <br> - West: 169 surface | Total: 336-416 spaces <br> - Surplus of 80 spaces <br> - Up to $22 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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| Centennial GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Base |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,075 | 475 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 125 | 325 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 2,175 | 1,425 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (h) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No facility expansion recommended |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 1 bus bay <br> - (Off-site) South: bus bays (1 GO) |
| Poio Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces <br> - South: 56 covered, 8 uncovered | Total: $\mathbf{8 0}$ spaces <br> - South: 64 covered, 16 secure |
| (1/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 35 spaces <br> - South: 31 waiting, 4 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 26 spaces <br> - South: 21 waiting, 5 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 451 spaces <br> - South: 350 structure <br> - South: 101 surface | - No facility expansion recommended <br> - Up to 10\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Centennial GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $F_{\text {Walking }}^{0}$ | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Markham to explore options that offer transit priority on offsite facilities such as laybys on local roads with direct connections to the station building and/or platform. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 16 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide high quality pedestrian and cycling connections through the station site to connect to McCowan Rd. from the east and Bullock Dr. from the south. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a new north entrance, consider installing a new bike shelter near the new station entrance. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the southern PUDO, reduce size to 21 waiting and 5 loading spaces in a peak/ferry facility and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Markham to explore modifications to the pick- up and drop-off area to enhance the circulation of vehicles using the PUDO facility. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 10\% of total spaces. |
|  | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
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| Unionville GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,275 | 6,700 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 350 | 4,000 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 4,675 | 18,850 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (V) Transportation | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - Pathway from the west side of the corridor |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 7 bus bays <br> - East: bus bays (3 YRT, 3 GO, 1 unassigned) | Total: 12 bus bays and 8 layovers <br> - East: bus bays (5 YRT, 7 GO), layovers (8 GO) |
| Pio Bike Parking | Total: 144 spaces <br> - East: 128 covered, 16 uncovered spaces | Total: 192 spaces <br> - East:144 covered, 48 secure spaces |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 40 spaces <br> East: 33 waiting and 7 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 40 spaces <br> -East: 23 waiting, 4 loading (high rideship) <br> -West: 10 waiting, 3 loading (peak/ ferry) |
| Prichlar Parking | Total: 1,906 spaces East: 1,906 surface | Total: 2,400 spaces <br> - Add 494 spaces <br> - Up to 50\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Unionville GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $r^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider the feasibility of providing a tunnel entrance on the west side of the rail corridor with a multi-use path that connects to Enterprise Blvd. to enhance pedestrian and cycling access to residents on the west side of the rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with York Region and the Ministry of Transportation to identify design solutions that would provide for direct integration between a proposed Viva BRT station, GO Bus, and future 407 Transitway station at the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore options to add 5 bus bays and 8 layover spaces. Given that this a transit priority station, this may result in the loss of parking spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 48 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Connect the municipal cycling infrastructure to one of the eastern GO station entrances by developing a dedicated cycling path. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Reconfigure bike shelters adjacent to planned GO and Viva BRT station buildings on both sides of the GO Rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on any future station improvement, convert uncovered bike racks to covered bike parking. Additionally, no bike parking expansion is recommended. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future west side entrance, explore opportunities to integrate a new PUDO facility in peak/ferry style with 10 waiting spaces and 3 loading spaces. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the eastern PUDO, reduce size to 23 waiting and 4 loading spaces in a peak/ferry facility and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking program on 50\% of the total parking spaces on the east side of the GO Rail corridor. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future west side entrance, explore opportunities to add 600 spaces (offsite) to enhance vehicle access to the GO station and off-set the potential reduction of parking (approx. 300) on the east side. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { UVGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Markham, the YMCA, and York University to explore options for sharing or modular parking on the east side of the GO Rail corridor and allow for the remainder of the station site to be used for other higher order transit facilities (Viva BRT and 407 Transitway). |
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| Milliken GO |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | C - Self Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,100 | 1,625 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 100 | 1,600 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 2,250 | 5,750 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ㅅㅇO $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - West: pedestrian pathways <br> - North: pedestrian overpass |
| (8) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 5 bus bays and 1 layover - (Off-site) Northwest: bus bays (5 YRT), layover (1 YRT) |
| (Pio) Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces - West: 32 covered | No facility expansion reccomended |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3 6}$ spaces <br> - West: 33 waiting, 3 loading (peak/ferry) | Total: 25 spaces <br> - West: 22 waiting, 3 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 665 spaces - West: 665 surface | Total: $\mathbf{8 2 5}$ spaces <br> - Add 160 spaces <br> - Up to $85 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Milliken GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| 숫 <br> Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider the feasibility of providing a tunnel entrance on the east side of the rail corridor with a multi use path that connects to Silver Star Blvd. and Steeles Ave. E to the north. This would improve pedestrian and cycling access to residents on the east side of the rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to improve pedestrian and cycling connections through the current GO station site and prioritize pedestrian and cycling connection along Redlea Ave. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the Steeles Ave. Grade Separation Environmental Assessment process, work with the City of Toronto, City of Markham, and York Region to explore options to incorporate a pedestrian overpass adjacent to the rail corridor, and a connection from the multi-use path along Steeles Ave. to the GO station platform. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to explore the feasibility of creating on-street bus bays with direct connections to the GO platform. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bike share docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the western PUDO, reduce the size to 22 waiting and 3 loading spaces in a peak/ferry facility and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to $85 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on any future redevelopment, upgrades, other works, consider adding 160 spaces via alternative parking solutions (e.g., modular parking) on the main west parking lot. |
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| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ㅇ) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - Pedestrian pathways from Dowry St. and Agincourt Dr. |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 2 bus bays - (Off-site) South: bus bays (2 TTC) |
| (\%0) Bike Parking | Total: $\mathbf{3 2}$ spaces - West: 32 covered | Total: $\mathbf{8 0}$ spaces <br> - West: 48 covered, 32 secure |
| (1) Drop-off Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 29 spaces <br> - West: 24 waiting, 5 loading (peak/ferry) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 342 spaces - West: 342 surface | Total: 440 spaces <br> - Add 98 surface <br> - Up to 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Agincourt GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| 숫 <br> Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of the station site, develop pedestrian connections from Dowry St. to the station building, and between the new east platform and Agincourt Dr. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to ensure that the planned Sheppard LRT station is built with a direct pedestrian connection to the GO station platform. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-03 } \end{gathered}$ | Consider improving accessibility for all passengers from the station platform to Shephard Ave. E. to connect to the TTC bus stops. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-04 } \end{gathered}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to explore the feasibility of creating on-street bus bays or explore alternative options that offer transit priority on offsite facilities such as laybys on local roads with direct connections to the station building and/or platform. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Add 32 secure bike parking spaces through future station works or redevelopment projects. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-06 } \end{gathered}$ | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bikeshare docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-07 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of the station site, install bike shelters on both sides of the station site. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of station site, consider developing a PUDO facility adjacent to the new station building with shared access with the remaining station parking lot from Sheppard Ave. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-09 } \end{gathered}$ | Implement the modified reserved and carpool parking on up to 85\% of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-10 } \end{gathered}$ | Consider opportunities to expand surface parking by 98 spaces on acquired or leased land near the station. |
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| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

## Lakeshore East Line



## LEGEND

## $\dot{\mathcal{E}}$ Existing barrier-free path of travel

## Average parking utilization

 (pre-COVID-19 pandemic)Equal or higher than $95 \%$
86\%-94\%
〇 Equal or less than $85 \%$
No dedicated GO parking facility

## Couplet stations

....(stations with similar catchment area, one of them with parking capacity)Station within Major Transit Station Area (MTSA) or Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA)

Planned two-way all-day peak service frequency GO Expansion FBC (Nov 2018)

## 15 -min

## Corridor context

- The Region of Durham is forecasted to see strong population and employment growth out to 2041, while relatively lower growth is forecasted in the Scarborough area of Toronto.
- The corridor is planned for 15 -minute-or-less, twoway, all-day electrified service, operating between Union Station and Oshawa GO.
- Customers will increasingly access stations by active transportation (walk/cycle) and local transit modes in favour of drive-and-park.
- Infrastructure requirements at Ajax and Pickering GO were planned as a station couplet due to their proximity to one another and overlapping station catchment areas.
- Historically, this is the second busiest rail corridor by passenger volume in the GO network.
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| Oshawa GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (Medium) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 3,625 | 4,500 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 1,275 | 2,025 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 8,025 | 11,425 |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) Tror $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | No dedicated facility is currently provided | - North: 1 multi-use path <br> - West: 1 pedestrian connection |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 11 bus bays <br> - North: bus bays (4 DRT, 4 GO, 2 unassigned, 1 accessible) | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| Por Bike Parking | Total: 64 spaces - North: 64 covered | Total: 64 spaces <br> - North: relocate 64 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: 60 spaces <br> - Northeast: 54 waiting, 6 loading (main lot) | Total: $\mathbf{3 7}$ spaces <br> - Northeast: 27 waiting, 10 loading (high ridership) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 2,439 spaces <br> - North: 2,109 surface <br> - West: 330 surface | Total: 2,839 spaces <br> - North: 2,109 spaces <br> - (Dependent) West: add 400 spaces <br> - Up to 49\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Oshawa GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| $\overbrace{\text { Walking }}^{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Enhance the existing dedicated pedestrian walkway between the station plaza area and parking lot mid-point into a dedicated multi-use path with improved paving and protection measures, and extend this path north to the station entrance at Bloor St. to connect with a future multi-use path and bike lanes. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Add a multi-use path connecting the satellite western parking lot with the main station area via the rail corridor northern edge. |
|  | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | In conjunction with a new north-south multi-use path, relocate the existing bike parking shelters in the parking lot to locations in the station plaza area, closer to the platform, with a shelter located on each side of the station building. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE-- } \\ & \text { OSGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on parking expansion and a new multi-use path, provide a platform entrance connection and PUDO facility on the western station lands to turn the site into a secondary access/egress point for the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of any future station improvements, reconfigure the existing PUDO facility into a high ridership facility with 27 waiting and 10 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $49 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on demand growth, consider expansion of surface parking by 400 spaces at the station's western satellite lot. |
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| Whitby GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> WHGO-01 | As part of the redevelopment of the north station parking lot, maintain provision of a direct pedestrian connection to Henry St. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-LSE- } \\ \text { WHGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the redevelopment of the south station parking lot, provide a step-free active transportation connection between Byron St. and the southern pedestrian bridge entrance area. Through this work, an auto connection between Byron St. and the south parking lot should also be studied. |
| Local Transit | ON-LSE- <br> WHGO-03 | In the long-term, provide for 13 bus bays, adding on to the existing 10 bays. |
| Cycling | ON-LSE-WHGO-04 | Provide a 10-space secure bike room facility. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ON-LSE- } \\ \text { WHGO-05 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the redevelopment of the north station site, provide an additional 32-space bike shelter. |
|  | ON-LSE-WHGO-06 | Add an additional 54 secure bike parking spaces to the existing facility. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-LSE- } \\ \text { WHGO-07 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of the redevelopment of the station site, provide a dedicated multi-use path connecting municipal cycling infrastructure on Henry St. to the southern station tunnel entrance area via internal parking lot drive aisles. |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> WHGO-08 | As part of the redevelopment of the south station site, provide two additional bike shelters on the south lot, with one 32 -space shelter located in the vicinity of the pedestrian bridge entrance to serve customers coming from the area southeast of the station, and one 16 -space shelter at the western tunnel entrance. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSE- <br> WHGO-09 | As part of the redevelopment of the northern station site, reconfigure the north PUDO into a high ridership facility with 39 waiting and 8 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSE- <br> WHGO-10 | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $49 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSE- <br> WHGO-11 | Intoduce a pilot project with municipal partners to advance a 115 -space (net) modular parking structure pilot on the main south parking lot to offset the potential reduction of leased surface spaces (approx. 520-820 spaces). If the pilot proves successful, seek to add an additional 320 spaces with this technology. |

Lakeshore East Line
Region of Durham
Town of Ajax
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| Ajax GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Interchange (High) |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Grow | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 4,700 | 12,025 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 1,100 | 3,425 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 9,725 | 27,550 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - Centre: dedicated pedestrian walkway <br> - East: dedicated pedestrian connection | - Centre: 1 multi-use path <br> - East: dedicated pedestrian connection |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: 13 bus bays <br> - East: bus bays (11 DRT, 2 GO) | Total: 15 bus bays and 4 layovers - East: bus bays (11 DRT, 2 GO, 2 unassigned), 4 layovers |
| P(o) Bike Parking | Total: 272 spaces <br> - South: 96 covered, 176 open | Total: 240 spaces <br> - South: 80 secure, 160 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{8 6}$ spaces <br> - West: 51 waiting, 11 loading <br> - South: 20 waiting, 4 loading | Total: 43 spaces <br> - (Dependent) West: 27 waiting, 10 loading (high ridership) <br> -(Dependent) South: 4 waiting, 2 loading (strip) |
| Pa Vehicular Parking | Total: 3,058 spaces <br> - South: 1,362 structure, 1,696 surface | Total: 3,255 spaces <br> - (Dependent) South: surplus of 200 spaces, add 400 modular spaces <br> - Up to $50 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Ajax GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { AJGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Redevelop the north-east corner of the main station area and retail parking lot to provide a direct, landscaped cycling and pedestrian connection between Westney Rd. and Fairall St. to the main station building. |
| Local Transit | ON-LSE- AJGO-02 | Proceed with planned redevelopment of the station site that includes an expanded bus loop facility for 15 bays and 4 layover spaces. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { AJGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the redevelopment of the station site, provide two net new 32-space shelters with relocated open bike racks, and consolidate this with the secure bike parking infrastructure east of the bus loop that can be accessed by a direct north-south cycling and pedestrian connection to Fairall St. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { AJGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the redevelopment of the station site, provide a 32 -space secure bike parking facility east of the bus loop that can be accessed by a direct north-south cycling and pedestrian connection to Fairall St. |
|  | ON-LSE- | Integrate a multi-use path on the northern side of Fariall St. at the retail parking lot entrance, to connect to potential multi use paths along Westney Rd. and existing bike lanes on Fairall Rd. east of Westney Rd. |
|  | ON-LSE- | Explore opportunities to add 48 new secure bike parking spaces to the planned facility through future station works or redevelopment projects in the vicinity of the planned bike parking area east of the bus loop. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { AJGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | To address conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles, and provide enhanced priority for accessibility needs, install an accessible loading area away from the parking structure entrance and closer to Westney Rd. |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> AJGO-08 | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure the west PUDO, reduce size to 27 waiting and 10 loading spaces in a high ridership facility and reallocate space to other modes or uses. |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> AJGO-09 | Dependent on a future need to resize or reconfigure southern PUDO, reduce size to 4 waiting and 2 loading spaces in a strip style facility and reallocate spaces to other modes or uses. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { AJGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $50 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | ON-LSE- <br> AJGO-11 | Reconfigure the main parking lot to reduce conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles and provide priority egress for transit and PUDO users. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { AJGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider adding 400 spaces using alternative parking solutions (e.g., modular parking) to the main south parking lot as station demand grows. |
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| Pickering GO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | High |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | A - Full Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Power Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station |  | 4,700 | 9,925 |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station |  | 1,150 | 5,225 |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) |  | 9,925 | 26,875 |



| Pickering GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a direct, landscaped cycling and pedestrian connection between the south parking lot entrance at Bayly St. and the west tunnel building entrance, to connect with a planned multi-use path along Bayly St. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | To accommodate future growth immediately east of the station, retain the eastern access path between the bus loop and east parking lot, and work with the City of Pickering to provide additional pedestrian connection points along the path to the area to the south, when appropriate. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Install a direct fully-accessible pedestrian access ramp from Liverpool Rd. to the station site where a staircase exists today, along with a dedicated walkway within the station site enabling safe connections between the ramp and main station building area. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Proceed with planned redevelopment of the station site that includes expanded bus loop facility for 9 bays, and explore the opportunity to increase the facility up to 11 bays. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Pickering to identify improvements to integrate a future Bayly St. rapid transit (BRT) service with the modified south bus loop and dedicated access road. |
|  | ON-LSE- PKGO-06 | Provide a 20-space secure bike parking facility adjacent to the western tunnel entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Install existing open bike racks on the south parking lot under new shelters adjacent to the west tunnel building. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Install a bike shelter in close proximity to the eastern entrance of the pedestrian path that connects the existing east satellite lot to the station building area. Fill the shelter with existing uncovered racks. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Explore opportunities to add 60 new secure bike parking spaces to the existing facility through future station works or redevelopment projects at the main station building area. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | To address conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles and provide enhanced priority for PUDO users, relocate the current facility west of the station building closer to the west station access road. Reconfigure the PUDO facility into a high ridership configuration with 26 waiting and 9 loading spaces. As part of this relocation, consider reconfiguring the vehicle waiting area as accessible parking. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $50 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { PKGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of future site redevelopment, local road network expansion, upgrades or other works, total supply may be decreased by approximately 389 spaces. |
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| Rouge Hill GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 2,600 | 4,175 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 525 | 1,425 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 5,350 | 10,050 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( $\circ$ OO $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - Southwest: 1 dedicated pedestrian pathway |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | Total: $\mathbf{3}$ bus bays <br> - Northeast: bus bays: (3 TTC) |
| (Po) Bike Parking | Total: 160 spaces <br> - Northeast: 64 covered, 1 Bike Share station, 4 City of Toronto secure lockers - Southwest: 96 covered |
| $\text { (if)r } \begin{array}{r} \text { Pick-up/ } \end{array}$ | Total: 51 spaces <br> - Centre: 45 waiting, 6 loading |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 1,409 spaces <br> - North: 319 surface <br> - West: 534 surface <br> - Centre: 556 surface |


| - Northeast: multi-use path |
| :--- |
| - Centre: dedicated pedestrian walkway and |
| multi-use path |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}No facility expansion recommended at this <br>


time\end{array}\right|\)| Total: $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ spaces |
| :--- |
| - Northeast: 48 secure, 64 covered |
| - Southwest - 32 secure, 96 covered |
| Total: $\mathbf{3 7}$ spaces <br> - Centre: 27 waiting, 10 loading (high <br> ridership) |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Total: } \mathbf{1 , 1 6 3 - 1 4 0 9} \text { spaces } \\ - \text { Centre: surplus of } 246 \text { spaces } \\ -\end{array}\right\}$ Up to $85 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Rouge Hill GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Redevelop the main station site with landscaped pedestrian path and multiple multi-use path connections from Lawrence Ave. E to the new station building. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify opportunities for on-demand microtransit solutions in order to introduce improved municipal transit connections. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Add one open bike rack per access point between the southern rail platform and Waterfront Trail. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Redevelop the station with bike shelters where the Port Union Village Common Park connects with the main station parking lot. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Relocate bike parking near the entrance to the pedestrian tunnels that connect the Waterfront Trail to the main parking lot. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ON-LSE- } \\ \text { ROGO-06 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Install a 32-space secure bike room adjacent to the west tunnel entrance. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to turn existing Bike Share points at the station and Waterfront Trail into permanent installations. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Add an additional 48 secure bike parking spaces to the planned facility through future station works or redevelopment projects in the vicinity of the station building. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{gathered} \text { ON-LSE- } \\ \text { ROGO-09 } \end{gathered}$ | As part of any future station improvement, reconfigure the PUDO facility into a high ridership facility with 27 waiting and 10 loading spaces. |
| Carpool Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $85 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-11 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of the main station site parking lot, supply may be reduced by approximately 250 spaces. |

Links: table of contents off-site table

| Guildwood GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Mixed Modal | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Manage | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,425 | 1,225 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 275 | 1,000 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 2,875 | 4,000 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (र) 0 Active $\begin{array}{r}\text { Action } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - North: dedicated pedestrian walkway and plaza | - Southwest: dedicated multi-use path connection <br> - North: bike lanes |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Improved on-site local transit access and egress |
| (Po) Bike Parking | Total: 216 spaces <br> - North: 24 covered, 96 covered, 1 Bike Share station, 6 City of Toronto secure - South: 96 covered | No facility expansion recommended at this time |
| (1月) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 56 spaces <br> - North: 30 waiting, 6 loading <br> - South: 16 waiting, 4 loading | Total: 49 spaces <br> - (Dependent) North: 23 waiting, 6 loading (high ridership) <br> - South: 16 waiting, 4 loading |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: 903 spaces <br> - North: 671 surface <br> - South: 232 surface | Total: 678-903 spaces <br> - (Dependent) North: surplus of 225 spaces <br> - Up to 85\% carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode |  | ID | Required Improvements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Eglinton GO <br> Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Transit Priority | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Active Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,550 | 6,750 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 325 | 2,700 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 3,125 | 16,825 |  |



## Eglinton GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\dot{~}$ <br> Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Complete work to replace existing pedestrian tunnels with two new tunnels, stairwells and elevator access connections to both station platforms. Improvement of the north station area public realm and PUDO waiting area should be coordinated in tandem with these tunnel works. |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> EGGO-02 | Provide a direct cycling and pedestrian connection between the south tunnel entrance area and the multi-use path to Colonial Park, along the southwestern edge of the south parking lot. Once the MUP is built, add a new connection between this path and the southern platfrom at its southwestern extent. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Pave the informal desire path located between the south side sidewalk on Eglinton Ave E. and the station building, west of Bellamy Rd. N. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide a direct cycling and pedestrian connection between the south entrance to the east tunnel and the multi-use trail on the southern edge of the south parking lot. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | If the rail bridge over Eglinton Ave. is to be widened to allow for additional tracks to enable GO Expansion, allow sufficient space on the northern side to allow for a pedestrian connection between the northern rail platform and the northern parking lot. |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> EGGO-06 | In conjunction with relocation of the north PUDO facility west of the station building, consider converting the existing PUDO facility into a car-free station plaza able to facilitate and guide customers walking from Eglinton Ave., existing bus stops, a potential LRT stop, and commuter lots to the station. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Cycling | ON-LSE- <br> EGGO-07 | Convert existing open bike rack into a 32 -space sheltered facility adjacent to the station building, or at the future northeast pedestrian tunnel entrance area. This will allow for improved cycling integration with proposed bike lanes along Eglinton Ave. E. and Bellamy Rd. N. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to provide Bike Share at the station, and protect space for Bike Share docks as part of station renovations with one bike share station on each side of the rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Integrate a secure bike facility at the main station building area, north of the corridor that can be accessed from Eglinton Ave. E. and Bellamy Rd. N. This will allow for improved integration with proposed bike lanes along Eglinton Ave. E and Bellamy Rd. N. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Provide two 32 -space bike shelters on the station southern side, one adjacent to the western platform entrance and the other adjacent to the east platform entrance, on the eastern side. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | ON-LSE- <br> EGGO-11 | As part of any future station improvement reconfigure the northern PUDO into a high ridership facility with 23 waiting and 8 loading spaces, on the underutilized north station site lands adjacent to the station building, that can potentially be accessed via the Home Depot internal circulation road that aligns with Torrance Rd. |
|  | ON-LSE- <br> EGGO-12 | Provide an urban PUDO facility with 6 waiting and 2 loading spaces into the southern parking lot in the vicinity of the eastern platform entrance area. |


| Eglinton GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Carpool Passengers | ON-LSE- <br> EGGO-13 | Consider implementing the modified reserved and carpool parking programs on up to $85 \%$ of total spaces. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |

Links: table of contents off-site table

## Scarborough GO

| Station Classification |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Station Access Type (2019) | Mixed Modal | Station Categorization Framework | Medium |
| Station Access Type (2041) | Transit Priority | Station Service Model | B - Limited Service |
| Parking Typology (2041) | Maintain | Retail Typology | Community Centre |
| GO Rail Ridership |  | Current (2019) | Forecast (2041) |
| Daily Riders' Home Station | 1,200 | 6,600 |  |
| Daily Riders' Destination Station | 300 | 1,825 |  |
| Daily Total Footfall (Boardings + Alightings) | 2,550 | 15,075 |  |



| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (रㅇ) Transportation | - Northeast: dedicated pedestrian walkway | - North: accessible platform access <br> - Northeast: dedicated pedestrian walkway <br> - Southwest: dedicated pedestrian walkway |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No dedicated facility is recommended at this time |
| Bike Parking | Total: 70 spaces <br> - South: 24 secure, 32 covered, 14 open, 6 City of Toronto secure lockers | Total: 192 bike spaces <br> - North: 32 covered <br> - South: 64 secure, 96 covered |
| (\%) Drop-off Facilities | Total: 34 spaces <br> - South: 28 waiting, 6 loading | Total: 45 spaces <br> - North: 13 waiting (urban)(off-site) <br> - South: 25 waiting, 7 loading (high ridership) |
| Vehicular Parking | Total: $\mathbf{6 2 8}$ spaces <br> South: 628 surface | No facility expansion recommended at this time <br> - Up to $85 \%$ carpool/reserved parking |


| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Scarborough GO |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |

Links: table of contents off-site table


| Station Access Facilities | Current (2021) | Requirements (2041) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R) $\begin{array}{r}\text { Active } \\ \text { Transportation }\end{array}$ | - Northwest: dedicated pedestrian walkway <br> - South: dedicated pedestrian walkways | - Northeast: dedicated pedestrian connection <br> - Northwest: pedestrian plaza, dedicated pedestrian platform connection |
| (\%) Bus Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No dedicated facility is recommended at this time |
| (Po) Bike Parking | Total: 48 spaces <br> - North:16 open <br> - South: 32 covered | Total: 160 spaces <br> - North: 64 secure, 64 covered <br> - South: 32 covered |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off Facilities | No dedicated facility is currently provided | Total: 12 spaces <br> - (Off-site) North: 9 waiting (urban) <br> - (Off-site) South: 3 waiting (urban) |
| Vehicular Parking | No dedicated facility is currently provided | No dedicated facility is recommended at this time |


| Danforth GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Required Improvements |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { DAGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Develop a public plaza adjacent the station building on the north side of the station that responds to the significant grade changes on either side of the station and serves the wider public in addition to transit users. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { DAGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Develop a dedicated pedestrian connection from the northern station platfrom to the foot of Dawes Rd. |
|  | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Cycling | ON-LSE- <br> DAGO-03 | Relocate the bike shelter at the southern station entrance to be located directly in front of the south station entrance building. This will eliminate back tracking movements for users coming from the east, and place the parking facility closer to the station facility for users coming from the west. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { DAGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Add a 64-space secure bike parking facility in the vicinity of the northern station entrance area. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ON-LSE- } \\ & \text { DAGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Add two 32-space bike parking shelters in the vicinity of the north station entrance area. Convert the existing open bike parking to be included in one of the new shelters. |
|  | N/A | No on-site facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Carpool Passengers | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No facility expansion recommended at this time. |

### 2.3 Methodology to Develop the StationSpecific Requirements

The station-specific requirements in GO Rail Station Access are based on work undertaken by provincial and municipal governments, including:

- The provincial Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe and Metrolinx's 2041 Regional Transportation Plan;
- Regional and municipal plans and studies; and
- The Greater Golden Horseshoe Model (GGHM), and the Station Access Model.

This section provides further details on key components of the process (see Figure 13):

- Step 1: Inputs;
- Step 2: Station Access Model; and
- Step 3: Station access requirements.


### 2.3.1 Step 1: Inputs

The inputs used in GO Rail Station Access are based on two major components:

- Customer inputs that inform travel patterns, historical trends, and population growth;
- Service inputs, including but not limited to: existing parking and PUDO supply at stations, transit service plans, and other mode-specific inputs.


## Customer inputs

The current ridership used in this document is based on customer inputs extracted from the 2019 GO Rail Passenger Survey. The survey is part of Metrolinx's ongoing efforts to monitor ridership, market trends, and commuter travel behaviour.

The future 2041 forecast uses ridership outputs from the GGHM (adjusted for the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, resulting in an increased tendency to work from home) as an input to the Station Access Model.

The ridership reported by the GGHM refers to the number of people accessing their home stations (the station where people start their GO Rail round trip) and alighting at destination stations (the station where people end the first part of their GO Rail round trip).

GGHM inputs and assumptions include:

- Land Use
- The land use scenario reflects GGH-wide population and employment targets for the 2041 horizon as set out in the Growth Plan but allocates land use based on market trends. This is the standard land use forecast that Metrolinx applies for

The GGHM is Ontario's multimodal Regional Travel Demand Model used by both the Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and Metrolinx to forecast future transportation demand. The model network covers the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH), including the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) and beyond, including the Niagara Peninsula through Waterloo Region, Barrie and Simcoe Region, and Peterborough and Northumberland Region in the east.

The GGHM forecasts the choices that individual travellers will make, including where to travel, what mode to use, and when to travel, using baseline population and employment forecasts. It represents a full 24-hour weekday period, enabling integrated peak and off-peak modelling and analysis, and assumes that parking at stations is not constrained.

business cases and planning studies.

- Transit Network
- The forecasted 2041 GO Rail ridership builds on the GO Expansion Full Business Case (FBC), assuming the same rail network.
- Modelled rail services do not include off-peak rail service on the Milton and Richmond Hill corridors. The lack of allday service may result in lower ridership growth or net decreases at stations on each corridor, and underutilization of certain facilities as travellers relocate to stations on adjacent corridors with allday services.
- Municipal transit networks that connect to GO stations were updated based on the information received from the municipal transit service providers and includes both local transit and GO Bus.
- The forecast scenario assumes full regional transit fare integration in the future with free transfers between GO Rail services and all municipal transit service providers, as well as among all municipal transit service providers.

Post-Model COVID-19 adjustments accounted for the following factors:

- The baseline ridership forecast ("business as usual") generated by the GGHM is based
on land use growth and service expansion that is consistent with current plans, assuming pre-COVID-19 travel patterns and behaviours.
- To reflect the expected increase and tendency to telework (i.e., reduced trips) after the COVID-19 pandemic, stationspecific ridership reduction factors were estimated based on available survey data ${ }^{1}$ and existing ridership trends. These COVID-19 adjusted ridership outputs from the GGHM are used as an input to the Station Access Model.
- The approach assumes that the growth rate will not be impacted by increased teleworking during the post-pandemic transformation; however, the magnitude of ridership will be impacted by the increase in teleworking. The station-specific growth factors have been developed using growth rates from the GO Expansion Full Business Case Model.
- As the impact of teleworking and ridership recovery is expected to be volatile for the short and medium term, Metrolinx will monitor ridership trends and adjust the forecast as needed.

1 Canadian Survey on Business Conditions (Statistics Canada, 2020), Census Employment Data (Statistics Canada, 2016), Transportation Tomorrow Survey (University of Toronto, 2016), and Survey of Downtown Workers (Toronto Region Board of Trade, 2020)

## Service inputs

In addition to customer inputs, the Station Access Model incorporates context-specific information to generate future mode shares and final ridership forecasts. These inputs and assumptions are defined at the station and zone-level (based on distance from the station), including:

- 2017 \& 2019 GO Rail Passenger survey
- Initial parking and PUDO quantities
- Travel and waiting time per mode
- Access cost per mode
- Walk score and bike score


### 2.3.2 Step 2: Station Access Model Station Access Model Outcomes

The Station Access Model generates daily home and destination riders for each station. Forecasts are provided for a.m. peak period (06:00-09:00) and off-peak period (09:00-16:00) based on when riders are assumed to start the first part of their GO Rail round trip, resulting in the following outputs:

- 2041 ridership (AM and off-peak)
- 2041 mode shares
- Initial quantity of bike parking, PUDO, and vehicular parking spaces

Ridership and mode shares presented in the station-specific requirement tables (Section 2.2) are a blend of the AM and off-peak results to present an all-day average.

The Station Access modelling process is an iterative exercise and a number of model runs were undertaken to arrive at an optimized scenario related to ridership, mode share and initial station access facility requirements.

## Limitations of the Model

Feedback between Stations: The Station Access Model does not allow for feedback between stations on ridership and mode share. As such, the model does not account for the potential of riders at one station who choose
to use an alternate station if their preferred access mode is not available at their home station (typically due to the absence of available parking options). This limitation may result in under- or over-estimation of the demand at specific stations that are close enough to allow for home station diversion depending on the availability of facilities. The impact at the system level, however, is not anticipated to be significant.

Demographic Data: As the Station Access Model relies on 2016 Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) data based on existing conditions, people, and behaviours, it does not forecast demographic changes in communities over time. As a result, station-specific requirements for 2041 are based on existing demographics that may not be the same in 2041. Since demographics affect mode choice, there is potential for a mismatch in the mode share targets and associated facility requirements over time. This limitation will be considered and corrected as part of the scheduled 5-year updates to this document, which will incorporate updated TTS data that captures demographic change and its influence on travel behaviour.

### 2.3.3 Step 3: Station Access Requirements

The initial quantities for station access infrastructure (bike parking, PUDO, vehicular parking) provided by the Station Access Model outputs are reviewed with a context-specific lens, accounting for the following aspects:

- Station-specific context:
- Customer satisfaction (CSAT scroes)
- Existing supply
- Parking utilization (pre-pandemic)
- Higher order transit
- GO Expansion plan and extensions
- GO Bus plans
- Land values
- External plans:
- Municipal plans
- Municipal service providers plans
- Local policies
- External stakeholder engagement

Station specific context, external plans, and modelling results were used to establish the final station access infrastructure requirements considering the following for each mode:

- Walking: multi-use paths and sidewalks are identified through engagement with local municipalities, review of local plans, and connection gaps that need to be addressed;
- Local transit: GO Bus and local municipal service providers provide bus bay and layover requirements to facilitate the
anticipated future service levels;
- Cycling: modelled bike demand is multiplied by a daily turnover rate of 1.2 and split between covered and secure bike parking;
- Pick-up/Drop-off: modelled PUDO demand is used to generate the number of waiting and loading spaces. Post-model adjustments are made based on the proposed PUDO configuration;
- Drive-and-park: modelled parking demand confirms the established station parking requirement.


## STEP 1: INPUTS

## CUSTOMER INPUTS

2017 \& 2019 GO RPS
Existing travel patterns and historical trends

## GGH Model

2041 forecasted ridership
COVID-19 Outlook
Long-term impacts Statistics Canada Employer Survey

## SERVICE INPUTS

GO \& Local Transit
Planned local transit network \& frequency

Initial Draft Parking \& PUDO Quantities

## Additional Mode Specific Inputs

- Travel and waiting time
- Cost
- Customer behaviour
- Walk score, Bike score

STEP 2: STATION ACCESS MODEL


STEP 3: RESULTS

## STATION ACCESS

 REQUIREMENTS

Optimal on-site station access quantity requirements for each station to support the projected ridership for 2041:

- Number of cycle and vehicle parking, bus bays \& layovers, and PUDO spaces
- Walking and cycling paths determined through the identification of connection gaps and municipal engagement

Figure 13 Station access requirements modelling process

### 2.3.4 Mode Share Targets: Current and Forecasted

Mode share targets for 2041 are included in this document. The targets are critical in order to establish objectives for levels of access by each mode and inform Metrolinx's decision-making on access programs and investments. Existing mode shares are derived from the 2017 and 2019 GO Rail Passenger Surveys for each mode.

## Walking

Station-specific walking mode share targets for 2041 are based on Station Access Model analysis that evaluates the relative attractiveness of walking for current and future GO Rail customers. It accounts for:

- Forecasted growth in population in the surrounding station area,
- Expected quality of the local pedestrian environment around each GO station as it evolves over time through redevelopment, in accordance with provincial and municipal land use policy, and the station-specific approach to parking management identified in this document, and
- Connectivity enhancements to reduce travel time for pedestrians.


## Local Transit

Station-specific transit mode share targets for 2041 are based on station access choice analysis that evaluates the relative attractiveness of transit for current and future GO Rail customers. It accounts for:

- Current and planned improvements to municipal transit service frequencies, travel times, and wait times,
- Forecasted growth in population in proximity to current transit stops, and
- Updated transit fares that coincide with transit fare system integration.


## Cycling

Station-specific cycling mode share targets for 2041 are based on a station access choice analysis that evaluates the relative attractiveness
of cycling for current and future GO Rail customers. It accounts for:

- Forecasted growth in population within cycling distance to the GO stations,
- The quality of the cycling environment around GO stations and cycling travel times, and
- Metrolinx's station-specific approach to parking management.


## Pick-Up and Drop-Off

Station-specific passenger pick-up and drop-off (PUDO) mode share targets for 2041 are based on station access choice analysis that evaluates the relative attractiveness of PUDO for current and future GO Rail customers. It accounts for:

- Forecasted growth in population within driving distance to each GO station, and current and future road congestion around each GO station, and
- Road congestion and travel times, and the provision of PUDO facilities.

PUDO configurations need to be responsive to the frequency of trains as well as to the local station context. Standard configurations are provided in Metrolinx's GO Design Requirements Manual and include both waiting areas for cars and passenger loading areas at a curbside zone.

## Drive-and-Park

Station-specific drive-and-park mode share targets for 2041 are based on station access choice analysis that evaluates the relative attractiveness of parking for current and future GO Rail customers. It accounts for:

- Forecasted growth in population around GO stations,
- Road congestion, travel times, and fuel costs, and
- The provision of parking spaces identified in this document.


## 2041 Daily Forecast:

Average Daily Footfall
Almanden weeforom


Figure 14 Daily forecast: average daily footfall
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

### 2.3.5 Station Classification

This document presents five station classifications to inform Metrolinx, municipalities, and third parties the various priorities and guidelines for infrastructure at each station, as follows:

- Station Access type: categorization is based on predominant access mode and informs prioritization for station access requirements and implementation;
- Parking typology: provides policy direction on vehicular parking supply based on demand forecast and local context;
- Station categorization framework: informs non-access requirements based on ridership;
- Station service model: identifies level of customer support; and
- Retail typology: identifies opportunities for non-fare revenue initiatives.

These typologies are presented in the stationspecific requirement tables as a resource to enhance the understanding of a station's context and needs for the future.

## Station Access Type

Also referred to as station access typology, is based on the 2041 projected mode share and will be used to prioritize the implementation of new infrastructure required at each station.

The Station Access Typologies (see Table 1) are:

- Active Priority: stations where walking and cycling will be the predominant mode
- Transit Priority: stations expected to have local transit as main access mode, therefore, transit infrastructure is required
- Mixed Modal: stations where drive and park will be the predominant mode alongside other modes
- Interchange: stations with higher-order transit transfers

Further details on the station access types are presented in Supplement C.

| Station Access <br> Typology | Active Priority <br> Stations | Transit Priority <br> Stations | Mixed Modal Stations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode share | More than 28\% walk/ <br> bike | More than 25\% transit <br> Less than 29\% walk/ <br> bike | More than $40 \%$ drive- <br> and-park |
| Overlay | Interchange Stations: Any station that connects with higher-order transit <br> (subway or light rail) services |  |  |

Table 1 Station access typology

## Future Station <br> Access Typology



Figure 15 Future station access typology
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

## Parking Typology

These categories indicate the policy objective for the parking supply at each station to 2041.

The parking typologies are:

- Grow: Stations where more parking is likely required to meet GO Expansion ridership projections.
- Maintain: Stations where 2041 parking requirements will remain the same as existing supply.
- Manage: Stations where 2041 parking will be reduced relative to existing supply.


## Station Categorization Framework Level

Metrolinx's Station Categorization Framework (SCF) is used to identify non-station accessrelated "site neutral" requirements (e.g., communications, fare payment, waiting areas, retail, washrooms, platforms, and canopies) based on forecasted daily ridership rather than station-specific site conditions.

The SCF levels are:

- Base: Less than 4,000 daily riders.
- Medium: 4,000-20,000 daily riders.
- High: More than 20,000 daily riders.
- Interchange: A GO station with direct subway/LRT connection or more than ten bus bays.

SCF site neutral requirements and levels are not determined by this document but are reported in station-specific tables (Section 2.2) for ease of reference.


## Station Service Model

Metrolinx's Station Service Model is used to identify the level of service provided to customers at individual GO stations (i.e., ticketing and staff support).

Station Service Models are:

- A - Full Service Model: Roaming station ambassadors, with service counter and virtual assistance.
- B - Limited Service Model: Roaming station ambassadors and virtual assistance.
- C - Self Service Model: Virtual assistance.

Station Service Models are not determined by this document but are reported in stationspecific tables (Section 2.2) for ease of reference.

## Retail Typology

Metrolinx retail typology reflects station characteristics that are not part of the decisionmaking process for providing retail services at GO Rail stations. These typologies are assessed through quantitative benchmarks such as demographics, ridership, and specific site conditions.

The Retail Types and characteristics are:

- Power Centre Station: Multiple modes, high ridership, and residential density with many easy access options.
- Community Centre Station: Variable ridership and lower residential density with many easy access options.
- Urban Centre Station (TOC): High ridership density and active transportation access.
- Access Station: Low ridership, residential density, and many access options.

Retail Types are not determined by this document but are reported in station-specific tables for ease of reference (see Section 2.2).

### 2.4 Procedures for Amending Station-Specific Access Requirements

Metrolinx will consider proposed amendments to mode share targets and access facility requirements as a station's context evolves over time.

Amendments to some requirements may be proposed by any party that is actively pursuing redevelopment or upgrades to an existing station and will be evaluated by Metrolinx using a benefits management framework. The primary goal should be to maintain station access capacity across modes to accommodate forecasted ridership growth and support the GO Expansion program.

If an amendment could reduce station access capacity and thereby cause a decline in forecasted ridership, Metrolinx may require a business case to evaluate whether the overall project benefits are positive despite the ridership impact (see Supplement D).


Part 2
Supplements

# Supplement A: Off-Site Opportunities 

## A-1 Background

The Metrolinx GO Rail Station Access was developed in consultation with regional and local municipalities within the GO Transit service area.

Technical input was received through:

- Municipal Technical Advisory Committee workshops with staff from upper- and lower-tier municipalities across the GO Rail network: Durham, Guelph, Halton, Hamilton, Niagara, Peel, Simcoe, Toronto, and Waterloo.
- Metrolinx staff reviews of applicable municipal plans and strategies to identify additional proposals to improve connections to and from GO stations.
- Metrolinx outreach to municipal service providers (MSPs) to gather information on local transit service routes, stop locations, headways, and bus bay requirements at GO stations to the year 2041.


## A-2 Key Themes

The following summary identifies some of the key themes raised during the discussions.

## A willingness to engage and collaborate

Many municipalities are interested in working with Metrolinx to coordinate station improvements with local initiatives and support multimodal access opportunities.

## Reducing auto mode share

Municipalities expressed interest in reducing auto mode shares and setting more ambitious multimodal targets. Preferred approaches varied, but include supporting greater access by transit and emphasizing active modes through local active transportation network improvements or transit-oriented development.

## Balancing local transit service with station access

At many stations, particularly those served by transit systems with a high proportion of nonGO customers, MSPs expressed the challenges of serving GO stations due to the added running time required to enter and exit the station site. There is a strong desire for more priority bus facilities to avoid queuing and to support transfers between the local transit and GO networks without excessive routing diversions. At end-of-line hub stations, needs focused less on station access and more on ensuring adequate bus and driver facilities.

## Coordinating on-demand transit strategies with MSPs

A number of municipalities have plans or preferences about where on-demand services should be utilized. The consensus was that these services are most appropriate at stations with fewer fixed-route services and particularly in lower-density areas. In emerging communities, on-demand service is an opportunity to grow a culture of transit ridership where fixed-route bus service is not yet viable. MSPs requested coordination on recommendations for ondemand services.

## Completing and connecting to the active transportation network

Almost every municipality identified initiatives to strengthen local active transportation (AT) networks. Discussion focused on opportunities to "bridge the gap" between the station-related pedestrian and cycling investments and the municipal AT network. Opportunities to design stations and local networks to support AT connections were identified at and around many stations.

## Leveraging Transit-Oriented Communities for multimodal access enhancements

Development is occurring or being planned around many GO Rail stations. Municipalities identified opportunities for new development near GO stations to support improved multimodal access through the introduction of a finer-grained street and block network or the establishment of new connections to and from the station. Aligning station improvements, such as new tunnels, with development is viewed as an opportunity to "stitch" communities together and enhance access.

## Not just commuter stations

Municipalities expressed a desire to move away from the idea that GO stations were primarily for commuters heading to downtown Toronto and instead wanted to see them function more as destinations in their communities. Some participants described the GO network as their version of the subway and expressed aspirations for commensurate levels of development and more balanced modes of access.

## Responding to context

Many issues and ideas were site-specific and dependent on the local setting, development and transit context, and patterns of land use. Discussions reinforced the need to keep up to date on local station developments and align access strategies accordingly.

## A-3 Municipal Access Planning Considerations

This section presents a summary of off-site programs and initiatives identified through the consultation process and a review of municipal planning documents.

The improvements listed below are a reference to support local planning initiatives and infrastructure investments and include:

- Secondary plan updates;
- Major Transit Station Area planning exercises;
- Corridor- and precinct-related plans;
- Municipal and regional transportation master plan processes;
- Expansion of local pedestrian and cycling networks; and
- Streetscape improvements.


## A-4 Off-Site Opportunities Tables
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Lakeshore West Line
Region of Niagara
City of Niagara Falls
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## Niagara Falls GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Lakeshore West Line
Region of Niagara
City of St. Catharines
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| St. Catharines GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
|  <br> Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CAGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | The Region of Niagara is providing walkways and multi-use paths as part of the St. Catharines station renovations. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CAGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | The Region of Niagara is providing bus bays as part of the St. Catharines station renovations. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CAGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of St. Catharines to explore improvements to cycling infrastructure along Louth St. and Leeper St. to improve cycling connectivity to St. Catharines GO. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | OFF-LSW-CAGO-04 | The Region of Niagara is providing a PUDO facility as part of the St. Catharines station renovations. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CAGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | The Region of Niagara is providing parking as part of the St. Catharines station renovations. |

Lakeshore West Line City of Hamilton
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| Confederation GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| Walking | OFF-LSW-CONF-01 | Encourage the City of Hamilton to implement the planned multi-use trail along Goderich Rd. and Centennial Parkway to connect the station with the Waterfront Trail. Connect the trail with the station's multi-use path that is currently under construction. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

Lakeshore West Line City of Hamilton

WEST HARBOUR
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| West Harbour GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| $18$ <br> Walking | OFF-LSW-WHBR-01 | Work with City of Hamilton to implement a sidewalk along north side of Stuart St. between Bay St. N. and MacNab St. N. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { WHBR-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with City of Hamilton and HSR to improve connections between West Harbour and Hamilton GO Centre. |
| Local Transit | OFF-LSW- <br> WHBR-03 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-LSW-WHBR-04 | Provide support to municipalities who currently do not have any local transit connections to the GO station, through service design, ridership, and PRESTO data analysis. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { WHBR-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage City of Hamilton to implement planned improvements to the Cannon St. and Bay St. cycle facilities. |
|  | OFF-LSW-WHBR-06 | Encourage City of Hamilton to implement planned reconstruction of Stratchan Ave. to incorporate a multi-use path on the south side connecting Bayview Park and Ferguson St. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Pa <br> Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Hamilton GO Centre

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Lakeshore West Line
Region of Halton
City of Burlington

ALDERSHOT
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| Aldershot GO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Burlington GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overbrace{\text { Walking }}^{0}$ | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-01 | Encourage the City of Burlington to expedite planned implementation of proposed protected bike lanes on Fairview St. and Plains Rd with connections to on-site multi-use paths. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-02 | Encourage the City of Burlington to enhance connectivity to Glenwood Park neighbourhood (northeast of the station) with a future pedestrian connection to Fassel Ave. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-03 | Encourage City of Burlington to incorporate a future pedestrian connection from the centre of the south station site to Drury Lane. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-04 | Encourage the City of Burlington to enhance the north-south permeability of the street network south of Fairview St. by providing a pedestrian and cycling connection to Edinburgh Dr. and enhancing cycling and wayfinding along Maplewood Dr. and Prospect St. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-05 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-06 | Encourage the City of Burlington to expedite the planned implementation of the proposed "Minimum Grid", including a connection to the GO station from the south on Fairview St. |
| Cycling | OFF-LSW- <br> BUGO-07 | Encourage the City of Burlington to prioritize implementation of cycling infrastructure along Drury Ln., Prospect St., Grahams Ln., Stephenson Dr. and Caroline St. to facilitate improved cycling connectivity to residential areas to the south of the GO station. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

UNION
Links: table of contents |on-site table

|  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Appleby GO |

Lakeshore West Line
Region of Halton
Town of Oakville
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| Bronte GO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |

## Oakville GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Lakeshore West Line
Region of Peel
City of Missisauga
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| Clarkson GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Peel to add wayfinding and signage to the multi-use path along the Sheridan River to direct customers to the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { CLGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage MiWay to explore options to deliver microtransit service in the $4-5 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the station. When considering microtransit options, evaluate modifications to conventional transit routes to ensure that fixed and dynamic service options are delivered in an integrated manner. |
|  | OFF-LSW- CLGO-04 | Encourage the City of Mississauga to develop dedicated cycling infrastructure along Royal Windsor Rd. heading west from Southdown Rd. to Winston Churchill Blvd. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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| Port Credit GO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |

LONG BRANCH
UNION
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## Long Branch GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

## Mimico GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | OFF-LSW- <br> MMGO-01 | Consider opportunities to work with the City of Toronto and adjacent landowners to connect the station to the south community. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> MMGO-02 | Encourage the City of Toronto and TRCA to implement the Mimico Creek Bridge to enhance cycling and pedestrian connectivity between the West Humber Bay Shores community and the Mimico GO station. |
|  | OFF-LSW-MMGO-03 | Work with the City of Toronto to consider the feasibility of developing a pedestrian bridge across Royal York Rd. to provide residents to the west with a direct connection to the GO station. |
|  | OFF-LSW-MMGO-04 | Consider options to develop a pedestrian bridge over Royal York Rd. on the north side of the GO corridor to provide an improved pedestrian connection from local transit and the surrounding area. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> MMGO-05 | Encourage the City of Toronto and TRCA to enhance cycling infrastructure as part of the proposed Mimico Creek Bridge to enhance connectivity between the West Humber Bay Shores community and the Mimico GO station. |
| Local Transit | OFF-LSW-MMGO-06 | Encourage the City of Toronto to identify improvements to signage and wayfinding along local streets (Royal York Rd., Buckingham St., Windsor St., Lake Shore Blvd., Mimico Ave., Station Rd., Cavel Ave., and Blue Goose St.) that can improve connections between GO and existing local transit routes and stops. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> MMGO-07 | Encourage the City of Toronto and TRCA to enhance cycling infrastructure as part of the proposed Mimico Creek Bridge to enhance connectivity between the West Humber Bay Shores community and the Mimico GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { MGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore the feasibility of a bike lane network to connect to Lakeshore Rd. through the local community on the south side of the GO station. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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| Exhibition GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| i <br> Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { EXGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore cycling and pedestrian improvements along Atlantic Ave., Jefferson Ave., and East Liberty St. north of the station, and along Manitoba Dr. south of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { EXGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage Exhibition Place and Ontario Place to consider improvements to wayfinding and signage that can provide improved connectivity from Exhibition GO Station to the various special events venues south of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { EXGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to prioritize pedestrian and cycling infrastructure, signage and wayfinding along the proposed Liberty New St., which would significantly expand the walkable area around the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { EXGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { EXGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to consider expediting the development of proposed cycling improvements along Springhurst Ave. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSW- } \\ & \text { EXGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to develop a cycling path or on-street lane as part of the proposed Liberty New St. |
|  | OFF-LSW- <br> EXGO-08 | Encourage Exhibition Place and Ontario Place to consider identifying a bike corridor with either an on-street bike lane or a separated cycling path to connect the cycling path along Lake Shore Blvd. with the south entrance to the GO station. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Milton GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |

## Milton Line

Region of Peel
City of Mississauga
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| Lisgar GO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
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## Meadowvale GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Streetsville GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  | Erindale GO |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |

Links: table of contents | on-site table

## Cooksville GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

## Dixie GO

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underbrace{0}_{\text {Walking }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Peel to review the design of the intersection of Dixie Rd. and Blundell Rd. to improve pedestrian crossings, signage, and wayfinding. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | To provide pedestrian connections south of the corridor, consider developing a pedestrian and cycling connection to Dixie Rd. using a sidewalk along the north side of the rail corridor and stairs to Dixie Rd; or working with the landowner south of the station, consider providing a tunnel to the south side of the corridor and a pedestrian connection to Dixie Rd., south of the grade separation. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Peel to enhance the public realm along Dixie Rd. from the GO station to the north to Venta Ave. to the south, and implement a planned multi-use trail along Dixie Rd. |
| Local Transit | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Peel to consider expediting implementation of planned bike lanes along Dixie Rd. from the GO station to Sherway Dr. to the south, and potentially to connect to the separated bike lanes installed on Dixie Rd. south of the OEW. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-MIL- } \\ & \text { DXGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Peel, City of Mississauga and Hydro One to explore opportunities to provide cycling connections along the east-west hydro corridor to connect to future Dixie Rd. cycling infrastructure. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Kipling GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Kitchener GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Guelph GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | OFF-KIT- | Encourage the City of Guelph to explore the development of a more direct pedestrian connection to the station platform from the intersection of Macdonnell St. and Woolwich St. |
|  | OFF-KIT-GUEP-02 | Encourage the City of Guelph to consider improvements to way-finding and signage along Macdowell St. to improve pedestrian and cycling connectivity across Speed River. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> GUEP-03 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Cycling | OFF-KIT- <br> GUEP-04 | Work with the City of Guelph on exploring options to provide active transportation connections across the rail corridor, including better connecting the bus loop to GO services. |
|  | OFF-KIT-GUEP-05 | Encourage the City of Guelph to enhance cycling infrastructure along Macdonell St. from the GO station to John Galt Park and the connection to the Royal Recreational Trail. |
|  | OFF-KIT-GUEP-06 | Encourage the City of Guelph to prioritize implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Paisley St. and Quebec St. from Silver Creek Pkwy. to the west to Wyndham St. to the east, and south along Wyndham St. to the station site. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GUEP-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Guelph to prioritize implementation of a planned cycling connection across Speed River to the northeast of the GO station. |
|  | OFF-KIT-GUEP-08 | Encourage the City of Guelph to prioritize implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Macdonell St. from Wyndham St. to the west to Arthur St. to the east and north along Arthur St. and Delhi St. to Speedvale Ave. to the north. |
| $1$ <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | OFF-KIT-GUEP-09 | Consider a shared parking agreement or partnership with the City of Guelph to connect paid parking spaces in the immediate vicinity of the GO station to customers. |
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## Acton GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Georgetown GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to install sidewalks, lighting, way-finding and signage in areas adjacent to the GO station that do not have sidewalks. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Currently, there is an informal path that diagonally connects John St. to the north station parking lot. Work with the Town of Halton Hills to explore developing a paved pedestrian and cycling connection along the alignment of the informal path that connects John St. to the north parking lot. Additionally, consider extending this connection along the alignment of St. Michaels St. to connect to the station entrance. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to explore options for providing an improved pedestrian and cycling link from the intersection of the King St. and Queen St. to the south of the GO station and the GO station entrance. Ensure that such a connection reduces conflicts between vehicular traffic on the south parking lot and pedestrians and cyclists walking through this parking lot. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> GEGO-04 | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to extend sidewalk infrastructure along the east side of Victoria St. to the GO Rail corridor. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify opportunities for on-demand microtransit solutions in order to introduce improved municipal transit connections. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> GEGO-06 | Provide support to municipalities who currently do not have any local transit connections to the GO Station, through service design, ridership and PRESTO data analysis. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the Town of Halton Hills to identify the facility needs associated with any future transit service that connects to the station and consider co-locating such a future facility to meet GO Bus, paratransit and PUDO needs at this station. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to consider prioritizing implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Victoria St., King St. and Queen St. in the immediate vicinity of the GO station. This will ensure that appropriate cycling links are provided between recommended improvements to the Georgetown cycling network and the GO station site. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to consider prioritizing implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Mountainview Rd. and Confederation St. from Wildwood Rd. to the north to John St. to the south, and west along John St. to the proposed cycling connection to the GO station site. This will provide effective options for residents to the north of the GO station to cycle to the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { GEGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to consider prioritizing implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Maple Ave. from Gardner Dr. to the west to Guelph St. to the east, and north-west along Guelph St. to Queen St. This will provide effective options for residents to the south of the GO station to cycle to the station site. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | OFF-KIT- <br> GEGO-11 | Encourage the Town of Halton Hills to widen McNabb St. to a bidirectional road under the rail corridor. |

Links: table of contents |on-site table

## Mount Pleasant GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Walking | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-01 | Encourage the City of Brampton to identify improvements to wayfinding and signage along Ashby Field Rd. to better connect pedestrians and cyclists to the GO station site. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-02 | Consider improving pedestrian and cycling connection between Lagerfeld Dr. and the GO station platform that reduces conflicts with vehicular traffic. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-03 | Encourage the City of Brampton to explore the feasibility of providing a pedestrian and cycling link between the intersection of Salvation Rd. and Commuter Dr., and Rowland St. to the north. Additionally, consider a similar link between the north end of Leagrove St. and Salvation Rd. to the west. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-04 | Encourage the Region of Peel and the City of Brampton to consider options to enhance the pedestrian environment at the intersection of Ashby Field Rd. and Bovaird Dr. This could include the use of landscaping to enhance the pedestrian environment and narrowing of the pedestrian crossing distance by removing or revising right turn to Channel Islands. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { MPGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-06 | Identify opportunities to coordinate timetables between agencies. |
| Cycling | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-07 | Encourage the City of Brampton to enhance the wayfinding and signage along the multi-use path along Bovaird Dr. W to Chinguacousy Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { MPGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to prioritize the feasibility review and implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Ganton Heights, from Creditview Rd. to the west to Commuter Dr. to the east and further along Commuter Dr. to Salvation Rd. to the east. |
|  | OFF-KIT-MPGO-09 | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider prioritizing the feasibility review and implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Brisdale Dr. from Wanless Dr. to the north to Groverwood Dr. to the south and further west along Groverwood Dr. to Salvation Rd. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-10 | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider incorporating cycling infrastructure and boulevard separated sidewalks from Heritage Rd. to the west to the station site to the east design of the eastwest connector road. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> MPGO-11 | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider implementing planned cycling infrastructure along Lagerfeld Dr. connecting to the station site from the multi-use path on Creditview Rd. and James Potter Rd. Additionally, consider extending such infrastructure west in tandem with future development of these areas. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Brampton GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BRGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider improving wayfinding and signage along pedestrian routes to the Brampton GO station (i.e., Main Street train platform stairs). |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BRGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Brampton to provide a seamless connection to the new transit hub to the GO station. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-03 | Consider improving on-site pedestrian and cycling crossings and define a multi-use path from the southwest station entrance to existing sidewalks and potential cycling infrastructure on Railroad St. and Mill St. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-04 | Consider enhancements to pedestrian access to the bus loop access off of George St. N . This will support growing use of George St. N by pedestrians and Brampton Transit customers to connect to Brampton GO. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-05 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-06 | Encourage Brampton Transit to explore options to deliver micro-transit service in the 4-5 km radius of the station. When considering micro-transit options, evaluate modifications to conventional transit routes to ensure that fixed and dynamic service options are delivered in an integrated manner. |
|  | OFF-KIT- | Dependent on advancement of Hurontario LRT (HuLRT) extension, work with the HuLRT project team to identify and protect for a transit connection with the Brampton GO station and the Downtown Transit Hub. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-08 | Encourage Brampton Transit to explore opportunities to enhance frequencies along Kennedy Rd. between Bovaird Dr. E. and Steeles Ave., including improving customer transfers to match the Zum service schedule along Queen St. E/Hwy. 7 corridor. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-09 | Encourage Brampton Transit to consider modifying routes servicing the Charolais Blvd. and Centre St. communities to connect to Downtown Brampton. This will provide a direct transit connection to the residential areas east and west of the GO station that have a high concentration of GO Rail customers. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-10 | Encourage Brampton Transit to enhance frequencies for routes servicing the Van Kirk Dr., Centre St., McMurchy Ave., Charolais Blvd. communities to align with future rail services. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-11 | Work with Brampton Transit and the City of Brampton to explore opportunities to address safety, capacity, and circulation constraints associated with the Downtown Transit Hub and adjacent on-street bus stops. |

## Brampton GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycling | OFF-KIT-BRGO-12 | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider prioritizing the feasibility review and implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Church St, from Mill St. to the west to Ken Whillans Dr. to the east where it also connects to the Etobicoke Creek recreational path. This will provide enhanced cycling connectivity for residents northeast of the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BRGO-13 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider improving wayfinding and signage of cycling routes to highlight key cycling connections. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BRGO-14 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to consider prioritizing the feasibility review and implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along McLaughlin Rd. from Queen St. to the south to Flowertown Ave. to the north. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BRGO-15 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on the third track and south platform expansion, work with the City of Brampton to determine the feasibility of implementing cycling infrastructure on Railroad Rd. from the southwest GO station entrance near Mill St. to Haggert Ave. to the west and through Chris Gibson Park, terminating at McLaughlin Rd. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BRGO-16 | Encourage the City of Brampton to evaluate the feasibility of developing a multi-use path from Joseph St. to the south along the rail corridor to Vodden St. to the north. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BRGO-17 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Brampton through the Railroad St. reconfiguration project. to determine the feasibility for the introduction of an urban PUDO facility with 12 onstreet waiting spaces to the southwest of the GO station site in close proximity to the intersection of Mill St. and Railroad St. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

City of Brampton
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## Bramalea GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BLGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to formalize the pedestrian desire line from Orenda Rd. to Steeles Ave. at the western entrance of the GO station. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BLGO-02 | Encourage the Region of Peel and the City of Brampton to evaluate the feasibility of developing a pedestrian and cycling connection that links Avondale Blvd. and the various multi-use path connections along it, to the signalized station entrance at Steeles Ave. If such a connection is deemed feasible, ensure that improvements to lighting, wayfinding, and signage and incorporated along Avondale Blvd. and the proposed link. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> BLGO-03 | As part of the planned redevelopment of the north station site in alignment with the recommendations of the Bramalea Station Master Plan, consider enhancements to the signalized intersection at Steeles Ave. that address pedestrian connectivity between the dedicated bus loop access and the passenger vehicle access. Additionally, consider implementing a multi-use path from this intersection to the GO station building. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BLGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Brampton and Ministry of Transportation to provide and protect for a multi-use path south of the GO station to the future 407 Transitway along Hwy. 407. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BLGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-KIT- BLGO-06 | Identify opportunities to coordinate timetables between agencies. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BLGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify opportunities to improve the access to the bus facility if operations and customer experience are affected by the intersection with Steeles Avenue. |
| Cycling | OFF-KIT- <br> BLGO-08 | Encourage the Region of Peel to connect the multi use trail on Steeles Ave. to the existing multi-use trail on Dixie Rd. to bridge this gap in the active transportation network. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BLGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to prioritize the feasibility review and implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Clark Blvd., Balmoral Dr. and Avondale Blvd./ Dearbourne Blvd., from Dixie Rd. to the west to Torbram Rd. to the east. This will improve cycling connections between the residential communities to the north of the GO station and the station site. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BLGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Brampton to prioritize the feasibility and review and implementation of cycling infrastructure along Bramalea Rd., from Clark Blvd. to the north to Steeles Ave. to the south. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Malton GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Weston GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | OFF-KIT-WSGO-01 | Work with the City of Toronto to explore opportunities to develop eastern entrances to the GO/UP Express station with pedestrian and cycling connections to the intersection of Lawrence Ave. and Ralph St. to the north and Wright Ave. to the south. Additionally, consider improvements to way-finding and signage along Ralph St. and Wright St. as part of the development of any future eastern entrances. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-02 | Encourage the City of Toronto to identify and implement improvements to public realm along Weston Rd. from Dora Spencer Rd. to the south to Church St. to the north. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-03 | Encourage the TTC to explore the feasibility of rerouting buses servicing the communities along Church St./Maple Leaf Dr. to connect to the Weston GO/UP Express station at Lawrence Ave. and Ralph St. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-04 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-05 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore improvements to signage and wayfinding to improve the experience of transit users connecting from the south side of Lawrence Ave. and Ralph St. to a possible future entrance on the east side of the rail corridor. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-06 | Work with the City of Toronto to explore the feasibility of directly connecting local transit services to the Weston GO/UP Express station site. |
| Cycling | OFF-KIT-WSGO-07 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore improvements to cycling infrastructure along Pine St., from Woodward Ave. to the north, to Wright Ave. to the south. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-08 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore improvements to cycling infrastructure along Rosemount Ave. from Queens Lee Ave. to the north to Ralph St. to the south. |
|  | OFF-KIT-WSGO-09 | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bike share docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  | OFF-KIT- <br> WSGO-10 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore enhancements to lighting, wayfinding and signage at Raymore Park and along the Humber River Recreational Trail that connects to Hickory Tree Rd. and Bellevue Cres. to the GO/UP Express station site. This would provide enhanced pedestrian and cycling connections for residents within the Humber Heights and Westmount neighbourhoods to the GO/UP Express station. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Mount Dennis GO

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underbrace{\circ}_{\text {Walking }}$ <br> Walking | OFF-KIT- MDGO-01 | Encourage the City of Toronto to identify and implement streetscape improvements along Weston Rd. from Dora Spencer Rd. to the north to Lambton Ave. to the south as identified in the Mount Dennis Mobility Hub Study. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to consider a secondary access to the GO Rail station at the south end of the platform as part of the Picture Mount Dennis Planning Framework Study and subsequent planning efforts for the area. A pedestrian tunnel in this area could also serve as a pedestrian and cyclist rail crossing connecting the residential neighbourhood near Brownville Ave. with Photography Dr. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | The City of Toronto is planning to undertake pedestrian and cycling improvements to Eglinton Ave., east and west of the future Mount Dennis LRT/GO/UP Express station, alongside the development of the Eglinton Crosstown LRT and in alignment with the vision for the street identified in the Eglinton Connects Study and the Mount Dennis Mobility Hub Study. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { MDGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the local provider to provide bike share at the station, and protect space for bike share docks as part of station renovations, where feasible. |
|  | OFF-KIT- MDGO-05 | Encourage the City of Toronto explore the feasibility of improving cycling infrastructure along East Dr., from Scarlett Rd. to the west to Jane St. to the east, and eastward along Outlook Ave. to Rockcliffe Blvd. Additionally, consider similar improvements to Rockcliffe Blvd. from Alliance Ave. to the south to Lambton Ave. to the north, and further along Lambton Ave. and Bayless Ave. to connect to the multi-use trail system along Eglinton Ave. Ensure that enhancements to lighting wayfinding and signage and considered as part of any such improvement project. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

UNION
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## Bloor GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve signage and wayfinding on Macaulay Ave. and Edwin Ave. to the east of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve signage and wayfinding at access points to the West Toronto Rail Path. |
|  | OFF-KIT- BOGO-03 | Work with the City of Toronto and adjacent land owners to the west of the GO station to integrate pedestrian and cycling connections as part of the future redevelopment of lands west of the station site to better connect the west station entrance to Chelsea Ave. and Glenlake Ave. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BOGO-04 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Local Transit | OFF-KIT-BOGO-05 | Continue discussions with the Crossways development and the TTC to develop a fixed underground connection to the TTC subway platform at Dundas St. W. from the Bloor GO station. |
|  | OFF-KIT-BOGO-06 | Work with the Toronto Bike Authority to identify bikeshare locations to the west to Runnymede Rd., to the north to Dundas St. and south to Wright Ave. and south of the GO station. |
| $\csc$ | OFF-KIT-BOGO-07 | Encourage the City of Toronto to prioritize planned cycling improvements to Bloor St. on either direction from the station site, and along Dundas St. from Annette St. to the north, to Roncesvalles Ave. to the south. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-KIT- } \\ & \text { BOGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider the impacts associated with planned expansion of the GO Rail corridor to the West Toronto Rail Path, and explore mitigation measures. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Allandale Waterfront GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Barrie South GO

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Barrie to develop a multi-use path north from Yonge Street along the west side of the GO Rail corridor to integrate with the path ending at Painswick Park. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Barrie to ensure that the planned grade separation of Mapleview Dr. takes into consideration a pedestrian and cycling connection to the GO station site along the west side of the GO Rail corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Barrie to ensure that future development to the south of the GO station incorporates a permeable local street network with sidewalks that connect to Mapleview Dr. and Yonge St. |
|  | OFF-BA-BSGO-04 | Consider implementing a boulevard separated joint-use path along the northern edge of the station site from Yonge St. to the station platform. This would reduce conflicts between pedestrians and cyclists and vehicular traffic on the station site. Alternatively, explore a multi-use path to be delivered as part of an adjacent development located northeast of the station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | In coordination with the municipal service provider, review opportunities to improve transit vehicle access and egress at the station, prioritizing customer travel time). |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider solutions to provide enhanced priority or dedicated access to the bus loop with accommodations for conventional, accessible and other vehicle types at this station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage Barrie Transit to align schedules of routes that serve planning areas with a high concentration of GO passengers (e.g., Painswick South, Innis Shore and Bayshore) to the north of the station with planned GO Rail service levels. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage Barrie Transit to consider providing more direct connections from the Painswick North and Bond Head planning areas to the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-10 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage Barrie Transit to consider incrementally extending transit service to the proposed new residential communities to the south of the GO station. This could include connecting route(s) that serve southeast Mapleview and Southwest Painswick to the Barrie South GO station. |
| $\stackrel{i}{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-11 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Barrie to prioritize the implementation of planned cycling infrastructure along Yonge St. from Cox Mill Rd. to Mapleview Dr. |

## Barrie South GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-BA- } \\ & \text { BSGO-12 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider adding 425 parking spaces using alternative parking solutions (e.g., shared and leased surface parking) within walking distance of the GO station. |
| Drive \& Park | OFF-BA- BSGO-13 | Work with the City of Barrie to determine how the City's plans for intensification in the immediate vicinity of the GO station (east of Yonge St., north of Mapleview Dr., and east of the GO Rail corridor) may impact access to the GO station site. Once determined, work with the city to identify enhancements to the station's internal circulation network, vehicular access and surrounding municipal roads that align with the City's long-term plans and facilitate intensification to the south and east of the GO station site. |
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## Bradford GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## East Gwillimbury GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Walking | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-01 | Work with the Town of Newmarket to study the feasibility of developing a pedestrian and cycling link between Haines Road and the GO station platform to the west side of the rail corridor. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-02 | Encourage the Town of Newmarket to explore the feasibility of a pedestrian and cycling connection between Traviss Dr. and the Nokiidaa bike trail to the east of the rail corridor to make walking a viable alternative for GO customers residing in these communities. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-03 | Encourage the Town of East Gwillimbury to incorporate a permeable local road network that connect into and through the GO station site as part of proposed future development along the north and west side of Green Ln. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-04 | Encourage the Town of East Gwillimbury to consider the feasibility of a grade separated eastern connection for cyclists and pedestrians to the GO station. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-05 | Explore the feasibility of adding new cycling and pedestrian sidewalks on both sides of Green Lane, as the areas surrounding the station continue to experience development and intensification. |
| Local Transit | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-06 | Encourage YRT to explore the feasibility of introducing a new route to the southeast along Elgin St to provide substantially improved options for the high concentration of GO Rail customers that originate from this area. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-07 | To support increased use of local transit as a station access mode, encourage YRT to increase the service frequency for routes that serve concentrations of GO passengers (e.g., Holland Landing and Main St. corridor south of the station) to align with future GO Rail service levels. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-08 | Encourage YRT to explore the feasibility of connecting route(s) serving north Newmarket neighbourhoods on both side of Yonge St. to GO Rail services at this station to provide substantially improved options for the high concentration of GO Rail customers that reside southwest of the GO station. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-09 | Provide support to municipalities that currently do not have any local transit connections to the GO station, through service design, ridership, and PRESTO data analysis. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-10 | Encourage YRT to proactively introduce transit services to new residential areas in the Green Lane Secondary Plan Area to support their commuting needs. |
| Cycling | OFF-BA- <br> GWIL-11 | Encourage York Region and the Town of East Gwillimbury to consider implementing planned cycling infrastructure along Green Ln. in tandem with new development in the Green Lane Corridor Secondary Plan Area. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Newmarket GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

Town of Aurora
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## Aurora GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-01 | As part of the planned expansion to parking off of Scanlon Court, consider improved pedestrian connections that reduce walk time and distance between the off-site parking and the GO station. Potential pedestrian pathway alignments to consider include a pathway directly adjacent to the GO corridor, or a pathway via Centre Crescent and Duggan Lane, and a grade separated pedestrian pathway across Wellington St. to further improve the pedestrian experience and travel times. |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-02 | Encourage the Town of Aurora to enhance pedestrian and cycling infrastructure along Berczy St. |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-03 | Consider developing a boulevard separated pedestrian and cycling connection to the proposed new western GO station entrance from Berczy St. |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-04 | Explore options to improve pedestrian and cycling connectivity across the grade separated Wellington St. including signalization of Berczy St. to the west and a pedestrian and cycling bridge adjacent to the rail corridor. |
| Local Transit | OFF-BA-AUGO-05 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-BA- <br> AUGO-06 | Identify opportunities to coordinate timetables between agencies. |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-07 | Encourage YRT to consider phasing out of local routes that wind through surrounding neighbourhoods and replacing them with expanded Frequent Transit Network routes east-west along Wellington St. and St. John's Sideroad, and north-south along Bayview Ave. and Bathurst St. |
| Cycling | OFF-BA-AUGO-08 | Encourage the Town of Aurora to implement enhancements to lighting, wayfinding and signage along Mary St. to the east, Kennedy St. to the west, Walton Dr. to the north, and the Nokiidaa Bike Trail to the south to cycling to the station. |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-09 | Encourage the Town of Aurora to explore the feasibility of developing dedicated cycling infrastructure along Kennedy Rd. from Bathurst St. to the west to Edward St. to the east and then further along to the west entrance to the GO station site. |
|  | OFF-BA-AUGO-10 | Encourage the Town of Aurora to explore the feasibility of developing dedicated cycling infrastructure along Aurora Heights Dr. from Wimpy Trail to Walton Dr., and then further south along Walton Dr. to Wellington St., terminating at the west GO station entrance. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | OFF-BA- <br> AUGO-11 | As part of the planned improvements at the GO station, opportunities to expand surface parking to the north off of Scanlon Crt. are being explored. Continue to pursue property acquisition in this area in order to further expand on off-site surface parking opportunities located within walking distance of the station. |
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## King City GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Maple GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Rutherford GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Downsview Park GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFF-BA-DWPK-01 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore improvements to wayfinding and signage in the employment areas to the north of the GO station, in alignment with the Downsview Area Secondary Plan, to support pedestrian access from the TTC/GO station to workplaces in this area. |
|  | OFF-BA-DWPK-02 | Consider pedestrian connections from both east and west GO Rail platforms down to the sidewalks along Sheppard Ave. |
|  | OFF-BA-DWPK-03 | Encourage the TTC to consider connecting routes serving the Sheppard Ave. corridor, and near Jane St. and Finch Ave. around Driftwood Ave. to GO Rail service at this station at bus stops along Sheppard Ave. This could facilitate connectivity to the residential areas to the east and west of the TTC/GO station. |
|  | OFF-BA-DWPK-04 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore enhancements to lighting, signage and wayfinding along Bakersfield Rd. and Sheppard Ave. to improve pedestrian and cycling access to the TTC/GO station. |
|  | OFF-BA-DWPK-05 | Encourage the City of Toronto to expedite the implementation of planned improvements to cycling infrastructure along Chesswod Dr. and Overbrook Pl. to the north and east of this station. |
|  | OFF-BA-DWPK-06 | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore development of a multi-use path that connects to Grand Ravine Dr. to provide improved cycling connectivity to the west of this station. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Caledonia GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Richmond Hill Line
Region of York
City of Richmond Hill

## BLOOMINGTON


UNION

Links: table of contents |on-site table

|  | Bloomington GO |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identificed Through Municipal Engagement |

Richmond Hill Line
Region of York
City of Richmond Hill
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| GOrmley GO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
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| Richmond Hill GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| Walking | OFF-RH-RHGO-01 | Work with the City of Richmond Hill to implement a multi-use path on Newkirk Rd., which would connect to the existing multi-use path north of Taylor Mills Dr. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { RHGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Richmond Hill to integrate a pedestrian connection from high-rise residential developments west of the station site with the proposed west entrance. |
|  | OFF-RH-RHGO-03 | Work with the City of Richmond Hill to implement a multi-use path from Roseview Avenue to connect to Richmond Hill GO Station. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { RHGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { RHO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage York Region and City of Richmond Hill to address gaps in the cycling network on Major Mackenzie Dr. E from Leslie St. to Newkirk Rd. |
| $\infty$ <br> Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { RHGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Richmond Hill to expedite the implementation of a planned cycling infrastructure as part of a planned Environmental Assessment process for the widening of Newkirk Rd. Additionally, encourage the City to explore similar improvements along Centre St. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

Links: table of contents |on-site table

## Langstaff GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
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## Old Cummer GO

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | OFF-RH- <br> CMGO-01 | Encourage the City of Toronto to implement a pedestrian link between Tree Sparroway and the station platform. |
|  | OFF-RH-CMGO-02 | Work with the City of Toronto and Hydro One to consider implementing a multi-use path through the Finch Hydro Corridor (pending Hydro One approval), which runs adjacent to the station parking lot to the east as well as west of the corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve signage and wayfinding along Finch Ave. to increase awareness of the pedestrian bridge and pathway connection to the station. |
|  | OFF-RH-CMGO-04 | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve wayfinding and signage at the intersection of Finch Ave. and Pineway Blvd. |
|  | OFF-RH-CMGO-05 | Encourage the City of Toronto to consider implementing a multi-use path east of Leslie St. and west of the corridor to Pineway Blvd. along the Finch Hydro Corridor (pending approval by Hydro One). |
| Local Transit | OFF-RH-CMGO-06 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify opportunities for ODMT solutions in order to introduce improved municipal transit connections. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-RH- } \\ & \text { CMGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to consider a signalized pedestrian crossing with enhanced signage and wayfinding across Leslie St. to improve connection to the onstreet TTC bus stop. Consider improvements to signage and wayfinding at the Finch Ave. TTC bus stops. |
|  | OFF-RH-CMGO-09 | Explore on-site options to expand bus facilities at the station. If this is not feasible, work with the City of Toronto to explore alternative options that offer transit priority on off-site facilities such as laybys on local roads with direct connections to the station building and/or platform. |
| Cycling | OFF-RH-CMGO-10 | Encourage the City of Toronto to enhance wayfinding on local trails and bikeways between Old Cummer and Oriole GO stations. |
|  | OFF-RH-CMGO-11 | Encourage the City of Toronto to move forward with the cycling plan for the Finch Hydro corridor to enhance connectivity and address cycling gaps in the network. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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| Oriole GO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Stouffville Line
Region of York
City of Whitchurch-Stouffville
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| Old EIn ${ }^{\text {co }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
|  <br> Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { OEGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville to explore a future western connection if land is developed on the west side of the corridor. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { OEGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Identify opportunities for ODMT solutions in order to introduce improved municipal transit connections. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{gathered} \text { OFF-ST- } \\ \text { OEGO-03 } \end{gathered}$ | Provide support to municipalities who currently do not have any local transit connections to the GO station, through service design, ridership, and PRESTO data analysis. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

## STOUFFVILLE
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## Stouffville GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | | OFF-ST- |
| :---: |
| SVGO-01 |$\quad$| Work with the Town of Whitchurch-Stouffville to explore options to improve pedestrian |
| :--- |
| connectivity by developing a signalized pedestrian crossing across Main St. on the east |
| side of the GO Rail corridor. |

## Mount Joy GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identificd Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Markham GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Centennial GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underbrace{\circ}_{\text {Walking }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham to explore options to enhance active transportation infrastructure on Bullock Dr. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham to add pedestrian and cycling paths along the north half of Markham Centennial Park to formalize the informal path that connects Markville Rd. to the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham to explore a pedestrian crossing across McCowan Rd. for the pedestrian path along Sunway Square to provide a safer connection for customers walking from east of McCowan Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage York Region and the City of Markham to explore options to enhance pedestrian infrastructure and options for incorporating pedestrian crossings along McCowan Rd. from 16th Ave. to the north to Hwy. 7 to the south. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham to explore options to enhance pedestrian connectivity from the proposed Viva Rapid way extension on Hwy. 7 to the GO station. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a platform tunnel north of the corridor, consider providing a dedicated entrance to enhance pedestrian connectivity from Snowdon Circle. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-08 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham and York Region to prioritize implementation of planned cycling improvements along McCowan Rd. from Bur Oak Ave. to the north, Bullock Dr. to the south, and 16th Ave. from The Bridle Walk to the west, to Cairns Dr. to the east. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { CEGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham and York Region to prioritize implementation of planned cycling improvements along Cairns Dr., Roy Rainey Ave., and James Parrot Ave., and The Bridle Walk to the north of the GO station to address current gaps in the City's cycling network near the GO station. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

Stouffville Line
Region of York
City of Markham

UNIONVILLE


OLD ELM
UNION
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| Unionville GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| Walking | OFF-ST- | Encourage the City of Markham to improve signage and wayfinding at the intersection of Helen Ave. and Kennedy Rd. for pedestrians and cyclists travelling from west of Kennedy Rd. |
|  | OFF-ST-UVGO-02 | Encourage the City of Markham to explore the development of a pedestrian bridge across Enterprise Rd. and the development of pedestrian connections to the GO and Viva Rapidway stations from the sidewalks along the Enterprise Blvd. underpass. |
| Local Transit | OFF-ST-UVGO-03 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Cycling | OFF-ST-UVGO-04 | Encourage the City of Markham to prioritize the implementation of a cycling connection from YMCA Blvd. to Enterprise Blvd. |
|  | OFF-ST-UVGO-05 | Encourage the City of Markham to consider implementing planned improvements to cycling infrastructure along Village Parkway to the north of the GO Rail station. |
|  | OFF-ST- <br> UVGO-06 | Encourage York Region to consider implementing planned improvements to cycling infrastructure along Hwy. 7 and Kennedy Rd. to the north and east of the GO Rail station. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

UNION
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## Milliken GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overbrace{\text { Walking }}^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to identify improvements to wayfinding and signage along Canongate Trail, New Forest Square, and Rockwell Manor Dr. to the two pedestrian paths on Kennedy Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Markham to identify improvements to wayfinding and signage along Harvest Moon Dr. and Appleby Cres. to the multi-use path to Steeles Ave. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Dependent on a future eastern entrance, encourage the City of Toronto to explore the feasibility of developing a pedestrian and cycling path between Midland Ave. and Silver Star Blvd. |
|  | OFF-ST- <br> MIGO-04 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to explore the development of a multi-use path along Silver Star Blvd. from Passmore Ave. to the future eastern entrance of the GO station. |
| Cycling | OFF-ST- <br> MIGO-06 | Encourage the City of Toronto to prioritize implementation of cycling improvements planned for Steeles Ave. from Kelvin Grove Ave. to the west from Sanwood Park. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { MIGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to extend Redlea Ave. to connect with Passmore Ave. to the south and provide greater connectivity to the station site. |
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## Agincourt GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overbrace{\text { Walking }}^{\circ}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OFF-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-01 } \end{gathered}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to implement a planned muti-use path from the station site to Lockie Ave. to connect the communities to the east of the station site. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OFF-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-02 } \end{gathered}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { OFF-ST- } \\ \text { AGGO-03 } \end{gathered}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve wayfinding and signage for the GO station from the TTC bus shelters at Midland Ave. and Kennedy Rd. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | As part of the planned redevelopment of the station site, work with the City of Toronto to explore development of cycling paths that connect Agincourt Dr., Marilyn Ave. and Dowry St. to the proposed bike shelters. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to prioritize implementation of planned cycling improvements on Sheppard Ave. from Warden Rd. to McCowan Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto and CP Railway to evaluate the feasibility of developing a cycling trail along the north side of the CP Rail corridor, from Kennedy Rd. to the west to West Highland Creek to the east. Additionally, explore extending the trail north, adjacent to West Highland Creek to Sheppard Ave. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { AGGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to consider improvements to wayfinding and signage along West Highland Creek Trail, Marilyn Ave., and Dowry St., west of the GO station. Additionally, consider similar improvements along East Highland Creek Trail, Midland Ave., Havendale Dr., and Agincourt Dr. from the east of the GO station. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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| Kennedy GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { KDGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to implement planned public realm improvements to Eglinton Ave. on both sides of the rail corridor, which includes continuous tree boulevard to provide definition to the street and enhanced sidewalks to accommodate pedestrian clearway and boulevard amenities. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { KDGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to install a multi-use path between Trevorton Dr. south of Sedwick Creek to the Gatineau Hydro Corridor Trail and a pedestrian path along the trail to connect to the proposed Don Montgomery Community Centre location. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { KDGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-ST- } \\ & \text { KDGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to implement cycling facilities along Eglinton Ave. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |

Links: table of contents |on-site table

## Oshawa GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Consider developing a pedestrian connection over Hwy. 401 with municipal authorities to connect to the main GO station site, conditional on if Metrolinx-owned lands north of Hwy. 401 are re-purposed for remote parking, to provide improved active transportation access to Durham College and residential neighbourhoods to the north. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the Region of Durham and City of Oshawa to explore the feasibility of providing a pedestrian connection from the station to Thornton Rd., south of the rail corridor. This would improve pedestrian connectivity to employment uses south of the rail corridor. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Support Region of Durham potential plans for a multi-use path along Victoria St./Bloor St. in the west to Simcoe St. in the east connecting to the Joseph Kolodzie Oshawa Creek Bike Path. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Durham to explore the potential for dedicated cycling facilities along Thickson Rd. north to Burns St. E. that would connect with the new multi-use path along Victoria St. going to the station site. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the Region of Durham to explore the potential for dedicated cycling facilities on Park Road S. to connect the Lakeview community north to the future planned Bloor St. E. multi-use path. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with municipal partners to install a multi-use path or bike lane connection on Thornton Rd. S. from Bloor St. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| $\square \stackrel{\text { Q }}{\square}$ <br> Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { OSGO-07 } \end{aligned}$ | As traffic volumes increase, work with the Region of Durham to explore signalization of the main station entrance or bus loop entrance at Bloor St. E. |

## Whitby GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Ajax GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Lakeshore East Line
Region of Durham
City of Pickering

PICKERING
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## Pickering GO



|  | Work with the City of Pickering and the Pickering Town Centre to explore opportunities <br> to develop the area around the north entrance of the Hwy. 401 pedestrian bridge into <br> a civic plaza. This will assist in integrating transit more effectively into the intensification <br> plans identified for the lands around Pickering Town Centre. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PKGO-01 |  |
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| Rouge Hill GO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \text { Access Mode } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| Walking | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve wayfinding and signage to the GO station from the western satellite lot along the multi-use path connection in Port Union Village Common Park. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { ROGO-02 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to explore introducing a pedestrian crossing of Lawrence Ave. E, 50 metres east of the bus loop with a sidewalk on the south side of Lawrence Ave. E that connects with the GO station site. |
|  | OFF-LSE-ROGO-03 | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Cycling | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
|  | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Guildwood GO

| Station Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFF-LSE-GUGO-01 | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve the station walkshed south of the rail corridor by providing a multi-use pathway connection between Westlake Rd. and Toynbee Trail in the vicinity of the existing network of multi-use paths on Tonybee Trail and Nuffiled Dr. In conjunction with this investment, a dedicated pedestrian crossover of Westlake Rd. should be built to connect the trail extension to the sidewalk on the northern side of Westlake Rd. |
|  | OFF-LSE- GUGO-02 | Work with the City of Toronto to explore options for converting the northern station access route into a public street with improved pedestrian connections between Kingston Rd. and the primary station entrance. |
| Local Transit | OFF-LSE- | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
|  | OFF-LSE-GUGO-04 | Work with the TTC to support the delivery of on-street bus bays on Kingston Rd. at Celeste Dr. (one per direction) to enable better local transit transfer connections. |
| Cycling | OFF-LSE-GUGO-05 | Encourage the City of Toronto to improve wayfinding and signage to the GO station along the local street network and multi-use trails in the Guildwood neighbourhood to the south of the GO station. |
|  | OFF-LSE-GUGO-06 | Encourage the City of Toronto to develop a multi-use path across the southern edge of Galloway Park and consider developing a connection to Cultra Square. This will provide direct cycling access to residential communities to the east of the GO station. |
|  | OFF-LSE- | Work with the City of Toronto to provide a multi-use path on the north side of the rail corridor under Kingston Rd. to connect the north station area to Livingston Rd. N. and the extensive network of trails in Highland Creek Park. |
|  | OFF-LSE- GUGO-08 | Encourage the City of Toronto to identify cycling improvements to West Lake Rd. between Kingston Rd. and Galloway Rd. such as a dedicated two-way, multi-use path in place of the existing narrow, multi-use path and sidewalk. This will allow for improved integration with a proposed bike lane along Kingston Rd. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { GUGO-09 } \end{aligned}$ | Encourage the City of Toronto to develop a bike lane along Celeste Dr. and across Kingston Rd. into the GO station site. |
|  <br> Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
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## Eglinton GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underbrace{0}_{\text {Walking }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-01 } \end{aligned}$ | Work in coordination with the City of Toronto to install a pedestrian crossover for the Bellamy Park multi-use path where it crosses Bellamy Rd. S. at the southern parking lot entrance. |
|  | OFF-LSE-EGGO-02 | Work with the City of Toronto to consider ways to improve the pedestrian crossing of Eglinton Ave. E and the intersection with Bellamy Rd. N. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-03 } \end{aligned}$ | Engage customers through localized TDM campaigns; educate and promote local transit connectivity with the local GO station, through personalized travel planning consultation, information outreach campaigns, and community incentive programs. |
| Local Transit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-04 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the Eglinton Crosstown East LRT team to identify design solutions that would allow for tunnel connection between the proposed Eglinton Crosstown East LRT station and GO platform tunnel on the north of the corridor. |
| Cycling | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-05 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the TTC to determine if 2 on-street bus laybys, one eastbound and one westbound, on Eglinton East on the far side of the station entrance, is feasible. Relocating the bus stop will remove conflict with PUDO users attempting to turn right off of Eglinton eastbound into the station site, and benefit from transit signal priority infrastructure. It would also support the TTC's effort to build a westbound layby on Eglinton Ave. at Bellamy Rd. |
| Pick-up/ Drop-off | N/A | No off-site plans identified through municipal engagement. |
| Drive \& Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFF-LSE- } \\ & \text { EGGO-06 } \end{aligned}$ | Work with the City of Toronto to explore the feasibility of a right-in/right-out connection between Eglinton Ave. E and Bellamy Rd. S where it turns into the south parking lot entrance. This could reduce traffic on local roads in the residential area southeast of the station. |
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## Scarborough GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
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## Danforth GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

## Danforth GO

| Station <br> Access Mode | ID | Off-Site Improvements Identified Through Municipal Engagement |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |

## Supplement B: <br> Foundations of GO Rail Station

 Access
## B-1 Vision

GO Rail Station Access will be integrated with each station area's redevelopment over time in a way that supports ridership growth, regional economic development, and city building. The planning and implementation of access improvements will:

- remove barriers for equity-seeking communities to access the GO Rail network;
- prioritize safety, customer experience, and quality of design; and
- reduce dependence on single-occupancy vehicles in the Greater Golden Horseshoe region.


## Decision History

## 2013-GO Transit Rail Parking and Station

 Access Plan: Adopted to direct decision making and investment in parking facilities and accomodate the ridership growth over the next two decades.
## 2016-GO Rail Station Access Plan and

 Initial Business Case (IBC): Adopted as an update to the 2013 plan in response to province's commitment to GO Expansion. Incremental Change is the preferred scenario to shift investment towards access alternatives to accomodate the anticipated doubling of GO Rail ridership.2018 - GO Expansion Full Business Case (FBC): Approved, setting the stage for the transformation of GO Rail into a rapid rail system with 15 -minute, all-day, twoway service across most of the GO Rail network. The FBC provides further details on proposed service patterns. Ridership forecasts assume that suitable infrastructure is in place for customers to reach stations.

## 2018 - Transit Oriented Communities

Market Driven Strategy: Adopted and identifies the opportunity to maximize the Province's investment in transit infrastructure as well as work with third parties to deliver new or improved transit infrastructure and stations.

## B-2 Principles

## B-2.1 Supporting All Modes

Investments will support ridership growth and support customer access to the stations by walking, municipal transit, cycling, and other vehicles to ensure safe and efficient movement to and through station areas for all GO Transit customers, aligned with the Hierarchy of Access.

## B-2.2 Equitable Access

An equity lens will inform the planning and delivery of station access improvements to increase access to frequent and reliable transit services by racialized and equity-seeking communities and reduce secondary negative impacts of projects and programs.

## B-2.3 A Multi-Dimensional Approach

A three-tiered system, corridor, and stationlevel approach will be used to plan and deliver station access investments.

## B-2.4 Maximizing Benefits

Access investments will be evaluated according to Metrolinx's business case framework, considering strategic, economic, financial, deliverability, and operational factors, to support evidence-based decisions that maximize benefits for Metrolinx, customers, municipalities, and the region.

## B-2.5 Working in Partnership

Ongoing engagement and public and private sector partnerships will be explored to support the successful planning and delivery of station access improvements.

## B-2.6 Phased Implementation

Investments and programs will be delivered incrementally over time, seek value for money, and be subject to provincial funding and other delivery opportunities.

The principles that guide decisions and investments for improving station access will be aligned with all related provincial and Metrolinx policies and plans.

## B-3 Policies

## B-3.1 Supporting All Modes

Supporting and reinforcing a mode shift by increasing access options for customers is essential for addressing the legacy of policies and pricing signals that have favoured driving over other modes. It is also needed to mitigate the related negative economic, social, and environmental impacts associated with an overreliance on drive-and-park.

Complementary transportation demand management (TDM) programs and marketing are cost-effective ways to maximize the benefits of new infrastructure and services to encourage a mode shift, especially when there are key opportunities for customer behaviour change. Sustained behaviour change can be difficult to achieve; however, people are more likely to try something new during periods of change in their daily routines. To support a shift in station access, behaviour change programs should be introduced:

- During times of construction at stations;
- Following the delivery of new infrastructure at stations and in surrounding communities;
- Following changes in individual life circumstances (e.g., a new job or home); and
- During the expected COVID-19 pandemic recovery period, as customers adjust to potential new work and commuting arrangements.


## B-3.1.1 Application of the Hierarchy of Access

The system-wide policies and programs identified in this section have been developed to guide decision-making and implementation of the Hierarchy of Access.

Based on a station access choice analysis completed as part of the GO Rail Station Access Plan (2016), the Hierarchy of Access presents a prioritization of modes based on levels of impact for shifting travel to more sustainable alternatives. The study demonstrated that local transit has a substantial impact in travel behaviour changes, therefore, it is prioritized over cycling in the Hierarchy of Access. The hierarchy is ordered from highest priority to lowest priority as follows:


## Local Transit



Gycling
 Pick-Up / Drop-Off


Drive-and-Park

|  | Walk | Transit | Cycling | Pick-Up/ Drop-Off | Carpool <br> Passenger | Drive \& Park |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Existing } \\ & (2019) \end{aligned}$ | 11\% | 18\% | 1\% | 17\% | 8\% | 45\% |
|  | 55\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target (2041) | 16\% | 35\%* | 3\% | 11\%** | 4\% | 31\% |
|  | 69\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: * Target (2041) transit includes microtransit
** Target (2041) pick-up and drop-off includes rideshare
Table 2 Access targets

Access enhancements will be prioritized using Metrolinx's Hierarchy of Access to ensure more efficient use of assets, support equitable access to the GO Rail network, and enable sustainable growth in ridership.

Metrolinx will target an increase in modes other than drive-and-park from approximately 55\% in 2019 to approximately 69\% by 2041 (Table 2) based on the mode-specific requirements outlined below. Station-specific mode share targets are based on local characteristics and are intended to support the system-wide access targets (see Section 2.3.3).

## B-3.1.2 Mode Shift Programming

1. Programs that provide incentives and information for GO customers to shift to more sustainable modes than drive-and-park will:

- Recognize strategic opportunities to influence station access choices afforded by the behaviour change moments that GO Transit customers experience;
- Identify specific mode shift programs and strategies, such as municipal TDM policies, transit oriented community TDM policies, PRESTO fare incentives, and commercial partnerships to deliver customer information and improve the customer experience;


## Case Study

Temporary Construction and Customer Experience Requirements for GO Facilities

Developed in 2020, this document identified temporary construction as a strategic opportunity to influence behaviour and mode choice of customers accessing the stations.

The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that a holistic approach is taken to coordinate and mitigate impacts on customers, operations, access, and infrastructure at GO facilities.

These requirements are to be followed when planning, designing, constructing, and maintaining an in-service GO facility in order to uphold operational integrity and Metrolinx customer service principles.

Compliance with these requirements will ensure that work performed provides seamless integration within the operational site while maintaining customer satisfaction, and supports the goals of the GO Rail Hierarchy of Access during construction.

- Include specific marketing strategies and promotions that incentivize the use of active modes for customers who have access to these options; and
- Identify principles for determining which stations should be prioritized, including by developing an understanding of station characteristics and customer profiles at each location.


## B-3.1.3 Managing Parking

1. Metrolinx's parking strategy will be advanced by:

- Expanding the number of programmed parking spaces (reserved and carpool) provided at all GO stations from approximately $7 \%$ in 2019 to approximately $52 \%$ by 2041 , and by developing new parking products that support a more diverse range of trip purposes and arrival times;
- Implementing a parking management system to improve the customer experience for booking reserved parking spaces;
- Exploring opportunities for deploying a cost-effective, technology-based solution to gather and report on parking utilization, and provide real-time information on parking availability for customers; and
- Improving ride-matching services for carpool users and better enforcement of carpool parking to increase its mode share.

2. Parking needs at existing and new stations have been evaluated and prioritized at the corridor and station levels, based on the following criteria:

- Availability of other modes: Lower priority for parking expansion will be given where other transportation choices exist or are planned.
- Potential for other modes: Lower priority for parking expansion will be given at stations where:
- A geographically-compact customer base provides greater potential for the provision and promotion of other
modes;
- A historical mode shift has demonstrated a higher than average willingness for customers to switch modes; and
- Other opportunities and improvements have been identified.
- Utilization, capacity, and future demand: The demand for parking and other modes of station access will be estimated based on:
- Current parking utilization and capacity;
- Anticipated growth in peak and midday ridership demand in line with the local population; and
- Employment growth and improvements in level of service.
- Local context: The surrounding road network capacity, provincial policy, and municipal plans for the station area, including opportunities for intensification, will be considered.
- Financial considerations: The financial costs of delivering parking related to expected ridership benefits will be considered including:
- The cost to acquire or lease land in the local area;
- Construction costs; and
- Opportunity costs of potential lost intensification opportunities.

3. Before parking is expanded, other options will be exhausted, including optimizing the existing parking supply, exploring shared and modular parking, and identifying opportunities to increase the use of other modes.
4. Targeted parking expansion will be considered only if it supports ridership growth with the aim of moderating growth of the parking supply compared to historical trends. Parking expansion will use a marketdriven parking strategy that considers the value of the land and appropriate benefit-tocost analysis.
5. Targeted parking expansion will prioritize surface parking over the development of structured parking due to the relatively high cost and inflexible nature of parking structures. The market-driven parking strategy will outline when structured parking may be appropriate. The expansion of structured parking is discouraged across the GO network and will only be considered where it is critical for ridership growth, considering:

- Costs: When accounting for lifecycle costs, structured parking is the most expensive form of parking to implement.
- Flexibility: While surface parking may be adapted as parking needs change over time, structured parking provides little flexibility or opportunity for other uses.

These criteria informed the parking typologies for all stations with existing or planned parking supply. Updated parking supply recommendations were prepared for this document using a four-step process (see Figure 16).

## 2041 PARKING SUPPLY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS



Figure 162041 Parking supply development process

## Net Change in Parking Supply


$\therefore$ In-Delivery Station
New station names are draft, subject to change
Figure 17 Net change in parking supply
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.


## B-3.2 Equitable Access

Transit is a critical public service that connects people to jobs, services, education, and recreational opportunities. Still, not all communities are equitably served by the existing transit network, nor do they experience the impacts of investments in the same way. Racialized communities are less likely to have access to frequent and reliable transit services, which limits social and economic opportunities and compounds disadvantages, creating a negative feedback loop known as "transportation-related social exclusion."

## B-3.2.1 Planning for station access will seek to reduce transportation barriers for racialized and equity-seeking communities and enhance transportation by:

1. Developing planning methods that consider the impacts and benefits for racialized communities, such as in data collection and analysis, evaluation frameworks, consultation, and monitoring;
2. Working with racialized and equity-seeking communities to identify and remove barriers to station access and facilitate greater use of the GO Rail network as a connection to jobs, services, education, and recreational opportunities; and
3. Equitably and strategically distributing investments in station access infrastructure, services, and programs.

## B-3.3 A Multi-Dimensional Approach

Each GO Rail station is unique in terms of its location and function in its community, relationship to other stations, and role in the broader transportation network. The access characteristics of one station may be influenced or supported by the features of other nearby stations. Characteristics of station access can also significantly affect the primary mode of station access and the ability to shift modes over the long term. The planning and delivery of station access improvements requires consideration at the system, corridor, and station levels to reflect the distinct access requirements of each GO Rail station.

B-3.3.1 The role of each station along the rail corridor and in the broader transit network will be considered when determining access strategies.

## B-3.3.2 Investments will consider the evolving character of each station as defined by existing provincial and municipal plans and policies.

## B-3.4 Maximizing Benefits

Evidence-based decision-making plays a vital role in the selection, design, and delivery of transportation investments to ensure they deliver value over the lifecycle of a project.

Metrolinx's business case framework is a critical element of the decision-making process that supports the planning and evaluation of station
access improvements. It provides a robust and transparent method for assessing options and making investment decisions that maximize benefits in key areas.

B-3.4.1 Decisions about station access improvements, programs, and services will be based on Metrolinx's business case framework.

## B-3.4.2 Investments in station access will demonstrate benefits in four key areas of the business case framework:

1. The Strategic Case: Compliance and fit with the provincial and municipal planning policy context, the long-term vision for the station area, the interests of key stakeholders, and the ability to address a problem or opportunity.
2. The Economic Case: An understanding of the long-term value to society, including how the capital and operating costs of an investment may balance against a range of potential economic, environmental, health, and user benefits and impacts.
3. The Financial Case: An understanding of the financial resources required to implement the investment, and the cash flow impact for Metrolinx or the organization delivering the investment.
4. The Deliverability and Operations Case: The technical and commercial feasibility of the investment, including the ability to deliver the investment, maintain it over time, and minimize risks.

## Coordinating with Municipal Service Providers

The GO Rail Station Access has been informed by feedback from municipal service providers including consideration of current municipal service plans. While transit integration is crucial to growing the municipal transit mode share for GO Rail station access and shifting away from drive-and-park over time, transit does not currently function as a single network across the region. Improved fare and service integration is needed to enhance transit competitiveness, support more equitable access to the GO Rail network, and attract people to choose transit for general transportation purposes and as a mode of accessing GO stations.

Within this context, Metrolinx is working with the Province and municipal service providers to remove barriers to transit use and to improve the experience for customers transferring between transit services across the region. While on-demand transit (ODT) services are more challenging to integrate as they are demand-responsive, there are opportunities for future integration, including utilizing contemporary technologies to make booking more appealing to customers, and integrating ODT in pick-up and drop-off facilities.

## B-3.5 Working in Partnership

Partnerships play a crucial role in enhancing station access, such as through improved municipal transit services, changes to local land use patterns, area-wide streetscapes and mobility improvements, or the creation of new Transit-Oriented Communities.

Partnerships require continuing collaboration between Metrolinx and the municipal service providers that integrate with the GO Rail network, with private interests that provide services, and the numerous communities that are affected by, and have the potential to benefit from, improved access to GO Rail transit services.

## Planning and Delivery:

## B-3.5.1 Metrolinx will plan and provide

 access improvements in partnership with:- Provincial ministries and agencies, including the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure Ontario;
- Municipalities, including upper- and lowertier municipalities and municipal service providers;
- Private sector developers; and
- Other stakeholders as necessary, such as VIA Rail, intercommunity bus operators, etc.


## B-3.5.2 Metrolinx will establish partnerships

 to:- Deliver station access improvements and improve the station access customer experience;
- Expand ridership beyond traditional transit customers;
- Deliver rewards programs that incentivize desired travel behaviours;
- Deliver ride-sharing solutions;
- Generate non-fare revenue; and
- Explore joint-marketing for TDM and promoting transit and active modes.


## B-3.5.3 Metrolinx will undertake consultation with the public and impacted communities according to the consultation strategy included in Supplement C of this document.

B-3.5.4 Metrolinx will consult with local, municipal, and provincial partners as part of the scheduled five-year reviews of this document.
B-3.5.5 Collaboration between Metrolinx
and municipal service providers will be based
on shared objectives and understanding
of the roles and responsibilities for
research, project development, and project
implementation.

B-3.5.5 Collaboration between Metrolinx and municipal service providers will be based on shared objectives and understanding of the roles and responsibilities for research, project development, and project implementation.

B-3.5.6 Station access improvements will be coordinated with municipal service providers to support the increased use of municipal transit as a first- and last-mile solution.

B-3.5.7 Metrolinx will engage municipal service providers when developing GO station business cases and detailed station designs to ensure stations can accommodate the municipal service provider services required to attain the target transit mode shares.
B-3.5.8 Metrolinx must provide consistent and timely information to the municipal service provider service planning processes and incorporate municipal service provider feedback into GO Rail service planning and schedule development to:

- Support more direct transit connections between stations and current and future GO Rail customers;
- Improve service between GO Rail stations and area destinations; and
- Harmonize schedules.

B-3.5.9 Metrolinx will support and coordinate with municipal service providers and municipalities to explore delivery of ondemand transit (ODT) services that prioritize connecting customers to GO Rail service by:

- Researching opportunities for adopting ODT service models through new research, knowledge sharing, pilot programs, and future demand modelling to understand its potential future impact; and
- Developing appropriate guidance and design standards for accommodating ODT at stations to ensure priority access, orderly and safe circulation, and a clear and predictable customer experience.

B-3.5.10 Metrolinx and municipal service providers will work to develop approaches for accommodating electric bus charging facilities at GO Rail stations in order to support environmental sustainability.
B-3.5.11 Collaboration between Metrolinx and municipal service providers will be informed by efforts aimed at:

- Defining and communicating the cost and benefits of municipal transit compared to other access modes;
- Developing a range of solutions and better tools for right-sizing bus facilities that optimize bus bay configuration, utilization, and infrastructure investments; and
- Developing better forecasting tools for on-site infrastructure requirements at GO stations that reflect the typical five-year municipal transit service planning horizon (rather than the 20-year planning horizon used by GO Transit).


## Complementary Plans and Objectives:

## B-3.5.12 Access enhancements will

 complement and be supported by municipal policies and plans for land use and development, transportation, and parking, with consideration given to the areas surrounding GO Rail stations and the funding of infrastructure and services for more sustainable (non-single occupancy vehicle) modes of station access. Major Transit Station Area planning undertaken by municipalities for lands around GO stations should address multimodal station access.
## B-3.6 Phased Implementation

Investments in station access will occur over time as resources and opportunities permit, and in response to the timing of service improvements and ridership growth.

B-3.6.1 Investments will seek value for money and depend on the availability of provincial funding and other delivery opportunities, such as through third parties.

B-3.6.2 Investments will be phased to respond strategically to ridership demand and local opportunities, focusing on areas with the most significant gains.


## Supplement C: Station Access Types and Mode-Specific Considerations

The station access enhancements presented in this supplement are intended to make walking, cycling, transit, and pick-up and drop-off (PUDO) more attractive to GO customers, and to better integrate parking into safe and well-designed station areas.

Section C-1 presents three GO station access types with similar access and mobility characteristics (see Section 2.3.2). An "interchange" station type overlay is also introduced to highlight the unique aspects and objectives for stations that provide transfers to other forms of higher-order transit (subway or LRT/BRT). A series of station access objectives is identified for each type, along with key strategies and priority investments needed to increase customer choice and support a shift to a new access option.

Section C-2 provides a series of modespecific considerations, recommending best practices to enhance access at all types of GO stations. These considerations should be read in conjunction with other applicable Metrolinx standards that provide more specific design guidance.

## How to Use This Supplement

The station access types and design guidelines that follow are intended to inform GO station site planning and design by Metrolinx, municipal planning for Major Transit Station Areas (MTSAs), and the preparation and review of private development proposals for lands within MTSAs. Together they enable Metrolinx, municipalities, and private developers to use resources efficiently, coordinate work, and plan for supportive development that will help to improve customer choice and access to stations by more sustainable modes.

## C-1 Station Access Types

Table 3 presents three types of stations based on their existing access mode shares. Each station within the station-specific requirements table has been classified with an existing station access type (based on the 2019 mode share) and anticipated future station access type (based on the mode share targets for 2041).

A series of objectives are identified in sections C1.1-C1.4 for each existing station access type. The objectives can be used to inform access investments to support a shift to more sustainable access modes, aligned with the Hierarchy of Access, and enable each station to achieve its 2041 mode share targets.

A special interchange overlay designation and additional objectives are included for those stations that have connections to higher-order transit (subway or LRT/BRT) services.

## How the Station Access Types Compare to the GO Station Categorization Framework

In addition to station access types described in this section, Section 2.3.2 of this document also refers to the GO Station Categorization Framework. Each uses different information and serves different purposes.

The station access types are based on mode shares at existing stations and are used to identify shared characteristics and objectives, and appropriate station design guidelines.

The GO Station Categorization Framework categories are based on total daily GO ridership to inform station improvements that are not related to station access (e.g., fare payment, retail, and washrooms).

| Station Access Type | Active Priority <br> Stations | Transit Priority <br> Stations | Mixed Modal Stations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Access Mode | More than 28\% walk/ <br> bike | More than 25\% transit <br> Less than 29\% walk/ <br> bike | More than 40\% drive- <br> and-park |
| Overlay | Interchange Stations: Any station that connects with higher-order transit |  |  |
| (subway or light rail) services |  |  |  |

Table 3 Station Access Types

> While the station access type guidelines in this section are based on each station's current station access type, the station-specific requirements tables in Section 2.2 also note the forecasted station access types in 2041 (based on the Station Access Model).

## Existing Station Access Typology



Figure 18 Existing station types
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

## Future Station <br> Access Typology



Figure 19 Future station types
*Etobicoke North GO will be decommissioned and is planned to be replaced by a future GO Station along the Kitchener corridor. As such, no station access recommendations were identified.

## C-1.1 Active Priority Stations

- More than $28 \%$ access by active modes (walking and cycling).


## Common Characteristics

- Often located in existing centres or proximate to significant development with a well-connected street and block network and a mix of municipal or regional destinations.
- Typically, stations are compact given their constrained location in existing centres or built-up areas.
- Investments in station access require consideration of development adjacencies, opportunities, and impacts.


## Objectives

Active Priority stations have significant potential to attract more customers using active modes of access due to the walk- and bike-friendly nature of the local street network and proximity to higher-density residential and commercial activity. Access investments in these stations need to leverage the surrounding context and already high active mode share by focusing on improvements that fill gaps in existing active transportation routes and facilities.

1. Align station access points with the surrounding street and block network and improve the integration of the station with surrounding pedestrian and cycling infrastructure. This may include coordination between Metrolinx and local municipalities to bridge infrastructure gaps (e.g., sidewalks, bikeways, signalized crossings) between the station lands and the surrounding networks.
[AP]
2. Identify opportunities to extend bike and pedestrian infrastructure and complete any gaps within 800 m of the station to expand the walkshed and bikeshed to more customers. Improvements could include new crosswalks, traffic signals where required, wayfinding, and other amenities that support a safe and comfortable experience for pedestrians and cyclists. [AP]
3. Provide year-round maintenance of pedestrian and cycling facilities to ensure they remain safe and attractive for customers, including by ensuring timely snow and litter removal and repair of lighting and surface conditions. [AP]
4. Protect space for facilities such as bike share stations and secure and covered bike parking, and explore opportunities to integrate and share these facilities with adjacent development. [AP]
5. Work with municipal service providers, local municipalities, and private landowners to leverage new development to help improve pedestrian and cycling access to and from the station and support the accommodation of connecting transit services. [AP] [TP]
6. Integrate station facilities for municipal transit and PUDO to minimize impacts on surrounding uses and support the integration of the station with adjacent uses and development. Strategies for improved station integration could include distributing PUDO activities to reduce impacts on any one place and orienting bus facilities to reduce noise impacts on sensitive land uses. [TP]
7. Optimize bus bay configurations and minimize infrastructure requirements to support the integration of stations with surrounding development. Strategies could include the use of on-street bus facilities or dynamic bus bay assignments, where appropriate and compatible with municipal service provider operating requirements and seamless customer transfers. [TP]
[AP] Indicates an objective supporting greater Active Priority
[TP] Indicates an objective supporting greater Transit Priority
[MP] Indicates an objective to optimize multimodal priority in stations with higher vehicular mode share Note: To reduce repetition, some objectives apply to more than one station type, as indicated.


## C-1.2 Transit Priority Stations

- More than $25 \%$ access by transit.
- Less than $29 \%$ access by active modes (walking and cycling).


## Common Characteristics

- A convergence of connecting transit infrastructure with high service frequency.
- Often located in evolving employment areas and stable neighbourhoods proximate to the station.
- Often more expansive stations given their lower-density surroundings.


## Objectives

Transit Priority stations are already important places that have high transit mode access. Access-related investments need to reinforce these stations as important transit transfer points while supporting their transition to Active Priority stations that have a greater share of active modes (walking and cycling).

1. Review whether enhanced municipal transit integration is needed at stations, including by coordinating GO and municipal service provider schedules and providing short and convenient transfers between services. [TP]
2. Provide bus priority measures, such as dedicated access infrastructure and signal timing, and schedule coordination to minimize travel time delays for GO and nonGO customers on municipal buses that serve the GO station. [TP]
3. Provide safe, direct, and continuous walkways from buses to platforms, supported by crosswalks, signals, wayfinding, and other amenities. [TP]
4. Bridge the gap between the station and local pedestrian and cycling networks within the station area by establishing new or enhanced walkways and safe cycling routes. This may include coordination between Metrolinx and local municipalities. [TP] [AP]
5. Organize surface parking areas and key access routes to connect with the adjacent street and block networks to support improved walkability and redevelopment of the station area over time. [AP]

## C-1.3 Mixed Modal Stations

- More than $40 \%$ access by drive-and-park.
- Less than $29 \%$ access by active modes (walking and cycling).
- Less than $26 \%$ access by transit.


## Common Characteristics

- Often located within lower-density employment areas or on the periphery of built-up areas with easy highway access.
- Often have limited or infrequent municipal transit service, sometimes due to being away from important transit corridors or hubs of transfer.
- Often at a distance from local activity centres and with a poor relationship and connectivity to important pedestrian and cycling corridors.
- Often represent terminus stations for the rail corridor whereby some customers drive far distances, beyond the local municipal service provider service area, to get to the GO network.
- Typically, are more expansive stations with large areas of surface parking, wide roadways, and large blocks with little dedicated pedestrian and cycling infrastructure.


## Objectives

Mixed Modal stations are important gateways to the GO Rail network that serve larger and typically more dispersed catchment areas than stations with higher levels of sustainable access.

Access-related investments in these stations need to reduce the amount of space required for parking by incentivizing customer access by higher occupancy vehicles or on-demand transit (ODT) and exploring parking management programs and redesign opportunities.

1. Optimize the use of available parking through the use of reserved and carpool spaces, as well as real-time information on parking availability for customers. [MP]
2. Provide safe, direct, and continuous pedestrian and cycling infrastructure on station lands, and connections to the broader area, including through the reorganization of large surface parking areas into smaller operational lots and with dedicated infrastructure along busy streets. [MP] [AP]
3. Orient and design PUDO facilities to ensure they support priority access by personal vehicles, shuttle, and ODT services. [TP]
4. Grant priority to ODT vehicles by reserving locations within PUDO areas. [TP]
5. Identify opportunities to enhance municipal transit integration at stations, including by coordinating schedules and providing short and convenient transfers between transit services. [TP]
6. Provide bus priority measures, such as dedicated access infrastructure and signal timing, and schedule coordination to minimize travel time delays for GO and non-GO customers aboard municipal buses serving the GO station. [TP]

## C-1.4 Interchange Stations

"Interchange" is an additional category applied to GO stations that provide connections to higher-order transit (subway or LRT/BRT).

## Characteristics

Interchange stations have unique access characteristics but also present heightened opportunities for partnerships to align resources and coordinate work on improving access by more sustainable modes.

## Objectives

In addition to the primary station type objectives for Active Priority, Transit Priority, and Mixed Modal stations, those with an interchange function require particular attention to support access to, and transfers between, connecting transit services to contribute to a more seamless transit network (as described in the 2041 Regional Transportation Plan).

1. Provide direct and comfortable transfers between connecting transit services with minimal walking distances and changes in grade (e.g., stairs and elevators). [TP]
2. Minimize the footprint of connecting transit facilities including by using dynamic bus bays or on-street facilities (where appropriate), and making more efficient use of space through the sharing of facilities and amenities between GO Rail and the connecting transit services. [AP] [TP]
3. Consider opportunities for sharing transit access facilities (e.g., bus bays, PUDO areas, bike parking) between all connecting transit services.
4. Disperse and integrate PUDO facilities onand off-site to minimize the land use impact of accommodating PUDO requirements. [TP]
5. Coordinate wayfinding, including information hubs at platform and bus bay locations to orient customers to the facility, connecting transit services, the station area, and the surrounding area. [AP] [TP]
6. Ensure that new development helps to improve pedestrian and cycling access to and from the station and supports transfers between connecting transit services. [AP]

## C-2 Mode-Specific Considerations

While Section C-1 identifies stations with similar characteristics and provides targeted objectives for supporting mode shifts, the mode-specific considerations in this section present important objectives for designing station access facilities for walking, transit, cycling, pick-up and dropoff, and drive-and-park that apply to all station access types ( $\mathrm{C}-1$ ). This section includes a number of general considerations (C-2.1) and mode-specific considerations (C-2.2 to C-2.7) for enhancing station access, acknowledging that each mode has unique opportunities and challenges.

These considerations include access-related improvements that are both on- and off-site and should be read in conjunction with Metrolinx's Design Standards and Requirements, as applicable.


## C-2.1 General Station Access

 ConsiderationsThe design of GO Rail station facilities plays an important role in supporting station access and promoting a shift to more sustainable access modes that enhance customer choice. Appropriate siting, orientation, and layout of station infrastructure and amenities can support more intuitive and efficient access for all customers and limit conflict among different modes. High quality urban design, landscape architecture, and wayfinding can enhance the customer experience when accessing the station by any mode and as customers travel to their destination. Redevelopment on or near station lands can help support a further shift to greater use of active modes over time.

The following are important access-related considerations for station design.

> Metrolinx's Design Standards and Requirements seek to create consistency for the user experience, maximize independent access, and increase safety for customers with disabilities.

## Design

1. Ensure conformity with Metrolinx Design Standards and Requirements, as appropriate.
2. Organize driveways, walkways, and bikeways to reduce conflict with vehicular traffic and extend and connect the surrounding pedestrian and cycling networks to the station platforms. Access routes must be direct, convenient, safe, and accessible.
3. Create an attractive and comfortable public realm with clearly defined pedestrian areas and a strong sense of place to support a walkable station area and promote transit use.
4. Encourage high quality design of the public realm that is sensitive to the surrounding built context and community vision.
5. Integrate the interior planning and design of the station building within the site and connecting modes to facilitate safe, convenient, and intuitive intermodal transfers.
6. Design the interior of the station building to establish clear sightlines to adjacent transit modes and important station amenities to promote customer safety and comfort.

## Access

7. Create accessible station sites and buildings that can be approached, entered, egressed, and used by persons with disabilities as for any other customer with a similar level of convenience, safety, dignity, and customer experience, in conformity with Metrolinx standards.

## Wayfinding

8. Create intuitive transit stations with consistent and clear station design. Wayfinding must support a logical sequence of predictable spaces, clear sightlines, and barrier-free movement for users travelling to and from the station, as appropriate for the station access type.
9. Ensure that the design of new signage and wayfinding for new stations and the retrofit of existing stations signage and wayfinding conforms to Metrolinx standards for greater consistency across the GO Rail network. Coordinate station wayfinding with local communities to streamline access to and from area destinations, such as by incorporating wayfinding signage at key destinations or decision points in the surrounding station area.

## Station Redevelopment

10. Align station access routes such as walkways, bikeways, and drive aisles with existing and future patterns of streets, blocks, and pedestrian connections. The block pattern will inform the organization of new TransitOriented Community development that is integrated with the GO station through direct and clear pedestrian and cycling connections over time.
11. Focus and integrate a mix of uses at transitsupportive densities at and around GO stations to support greater levels of walking and cycling access over time.


## C-2.2 Walking

Supporting pedestrian access to GO stations is the highest priority in the Hierarchy of Access. Walking is the most cost-effective means of accessing the GO Rail network. It requires minimal station infrastructure and allows for more efficient use of GO station properties and facilities. With the introduction of increased service through the GO Expansion plan and the planned intensification around transit stations through the province's Transit-Oriented Communities initiative, there is significant potential to increase ridership growth from residents and workers who are within walking distance of the station.

Metrolinx's 2019 Rail Passenger Survey reported that on a system-wide basis, approximately 14\% of GO Rail customers walked to their station. However, within 800 m of the station, walking accounted for the majority of access trips. The highest levels of pedestrian access are typically found in areas that feature finer-grained street networks with short blocks and high intersection densities, limited parking, and generally medium- to high-density development.

Despite the financial, social, and environmental benefits of walking for customers, there remain several challenges for pedestrians at GO stations. These challenges exist more noticeably in urban areas with less density:

- Poor network connectivity, particularly in the station area, where fragmented or indirect pedestrian routes result in longer walk times;
- Conflicts with vehicles along major streets and at intersections;
- Conflicts among modes that can create uncomfortable and unsafe walking conditions at stations;
- Dispersed development that can leave pedestrians more exposed to inclement weather than in urban areas; and
- The difficulty in trip-chaining (stopping at multiple locations on the way to or from the station) on foot in areas where amenities and destinations are dispersed.

The following are important considerations to support pedestrian access.

## C-2.2.1 On-Site

## Connectivity

1. Provide safe, direct, and continuous walkways from the closest local road(s) to more than one platform access point.
2. Prioritize connections that minimize walking distances between the station and local destinations, and those that increase the station walkshed.
3. Separate walkways and pedetrian routes from vehicular traffic with grade seperation or curbs to increase safety and accessibility and avoid pedestrian and vehicle conflict.

## Amenities

4. Provide an attractive pedestrian environment that is safe and comfortable, supported by crosswalks, dedicated signals, and wayfinding.
5. Design and orient amenities such as waiting areas, self-service kiosks, service counters, waste receptacles, washrooms, Wi-Fi, and retail to pedestrians, to support the journey and experience of those on foot.
6. Provide year-round maintenance of walkways and pedestrian areas, including snow clearance, garbage removal, and surface repairs, to ensure they remain safe and attractive throughout the year.

## Comfort

7. Frame station access paths with landscape elements that guide people toward the station and platform while maintaining sightlines.
8. Incorporate landscaping to maximize shade along pedestrian routes and provide soft landscaping with varied trees and plantings to enhance the customer experience.
9. Provide accessible curbs and crosswalks where pedestrian paths intersect with roads.
10. Incorporate Crime Prevention Through

Environmental Design techniques to optimize passive surveillance.

## C-2.2.2 Off-Site

## Connectivity

1. Connect the station site to adjacent communities and fill gaps in the pedestrian network through new or enhanced sidewalks, local pathways, and bridges or underpasses, as appropriate to increase the station walkshed. Opportunities to remedy the gap between on-site and offsite walkways must be prioritized and coordinated between Metrolinx, the local municipality, and private developers.
2. Build or retrofit a network of complete streets leading to and from the station to balance the movement of pedestrians, cyclists, transit, and vehicles.
3. Install crosswalks with clear signage and markings along key routes leading to and from the station to guide pedestrians and alert road users to the designated crossing points.

## Comfort

4. Create an attractive and comfortable public realm with a strong sense of place to support a walkable station area and promote transit use.
5. Prioritize sidewalks, pathways, streets, and intersections in proximity to the station for higher quality pedestrian amenities, such as new and improved crossings, lighting, seating, and waste receptacles.

## Trip Generation

6. Focus and integrate a greater mix of uses at transit-supportive densities at and around the transit station to make it easier for customers to reach the station and area destinations on foot. A mix of employment, housing, regional attractions, public services, and public spaces are critical for increasing ridership and maximizing the benefits of transit investment.

## C-2.3 Transit

First- and last-mile connections to GO Rail stations can be served by bus and on-demand transit (ODT) services, and their access can be prioritized. Municipal and regional bus services are also an essential complement to the GO Rail system, helping to support ridership and link local communities to the regional transit network.

Metrolinx's shift to more frequent all-day, twoway service creates an opportunity to explore tools for right-sizing bus facilities (to optimize bus bay configuration and utilization), and for minimizing infrastructure requirements (tailored
to each station access type). Concurrently, the 10-Year GO Bus Strategy plans for a staged transition to regional express service with a focus on linkages between destinations outside of Toronto and with some key nodes situated at GO stations along the 400 -series highway network, potentially increasing demand for bus bays at these GO stations.

ODT is another opportunity to improve transit access by connecting customers to GO stations in locations underserved by traditional transit. As a flexible, on-demand service, ODT can improve convenience for customers and reduce travel times, thereby making transit an attractive alternative to drive-and-park.

In 2019, approximately $15 \%$ of passengers relied on transit to access a GO station. Both transit and auto-based modes are typical modes of access for longer-distance journeys to the station. Increasing the transit mode share is dependent on improvements to transit access relative to auto-based modes and offers the greatest potential to achieve a mode shift away from drive-andpark. Transit competes directly with private vehicle access, a mode that remains a mainly free, convenient option at many stations.

To make transit more convenient and affordable for customers, the following programs were initiated:

1. GO Co-fare Discounts with Transit

Partners: As of March 14, 2022, travel was made free between GO Transit and most local transit agencies through GO Transit co-fare agreements. This increased cofare discount of $75 \%$ to $100 \%$ includes connections to and from GO and these local transit systems: Durham Region Transit, Milton Transit, Grand River Transit, Guelph Transit, Oakville Transit, MiWay, Brampton Transit, Hamilton Street Railway, Burlington Transit, Bradford West Gwillimbury Transit and York Region Transit.
2. GO Youth Concessions: On March 14, 2022, PRESTO discounts for youth and postsecondary students were increased to 40 per cent off the full adult fare, almost double the current discounts. This applies to GO Transit and UP Express customers who are 13 to 19 -years-old or anyone enrolled in full-time post-secondary education. Kids under 12 will continue to ride free on GO Transit.

## 3. GO Affordability Pilot in Region of

Peel: Starting March 14, 2022, riders with an Affordable Transit Program fare type under the Peel Region Affordable Transit Program are being reimbursed 50 per cent of the PRESTO adult fare when travelling on GO Transit using a PRESTO card. The pilot will be rolled out to other transit systems in a phased approach.

Achieving an increased transit mode share will require that the following challenges be addressed:

- Traffic delays, both on-site and off-site, which disrupt bus travel speed and reliability;
- Infrequent bus service or bus scheduling that does not align with GO Rail schedules;
- Conflicts between pedestrians, buses, and other vehicles, which can impede bus traffic flow and create unsafe and uncomfortable conditions for waiting transit customers;
- Weak or indirect connections between the station building and bus stops, which increases travel times for transferring customers; and
- Remaining gaps in fare integration among transit services, which is a disincentive for some transferring customers.

The following guidelines are important considerations to enhance the viability of transit access to GO stations.

## C-2.3.1 On-Site

## Connectivity

1. Design transit facilities and connecting local street intersections to speed transit access and egress through priority measures that minimize transfer and waiting times for both terminating and non-terminating municipal transit services.
2. Separate bus access routes from other vehicles, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic where possible. The configuration for a bus loop needs to be selected based on site constraints and optimal traffic flow patterns.
3. Create clear, direct, and short transfers between transit modes and routes, including ODT, accessible conventional transit, and specialized transit.
4. Locate bus facilities to minimize travel distances to the rail platform.
5. Increase the priority of ODT vehicles within PUDO areas through dedicated locations that minimize travel time between ODT and GO Rail services.

## Amenities

6. Provide a high level of customer amenity in passenger waiting areas to enhance customer comfort, safety, and information, including seating, waste receptacles, and shelter.

## C-2.3.2 Off-Site

## Connectivity

1. Coordinate local feeder transit service schedules and routes to improve connectivity between municipal transit services and the GO network.
2. Adopt transit priority measures at station entrance locations, including dedicated access and egress lanes and signalized entrance points to ensure the efficient movement of surface transit into and out of the station.
3. Adopt transit priority measures between the station, the surrounding community, and key transit corridors including transit priority lanes and signals to reduce travel times between the station and the community.
4. Complement the reduction of commuter parking by coordinating with municipal service providers to improve the frequency and convenience of feeder transit services to stations.
5. Explore the potential for ODT services where densities may be too low to support conventional fixed route transit services.
6. Coordinate arrival and departure times for accessible transit services to make interregional travel more convenient.


## C-2.4 Cycling

Approximately $1 \%$ of GO Rail passengers arrived at GO stations by bicycle in 2019. While this represents a fraction of GO customers, cycling is a viable alternative mode of access for passengers located between 1 and 5 km from the station, many of whom would otherwise drive to the station.

A shift toward higher cycling access levels can help reduce demand for parking at stations and aligns with Metrolinx's prioritization of more sustainable modes of station access and increasing customer choice. In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, there was an increase in active travel that coincided with new investments in cycling infrastructure. There is the potential to develop cycling as a mode of station access.

Despite the tremendous opportunity that cycling represents to support first- and last-mile connectivity, customer uptake is hindered by a number of challenges, including:

- An overall lack of safe cycling infrastructure and supporting amenities, such as a connecting network of dedicated and protected cycling routes between stations and the surrounding areas;
- On-site conflict points, particularly where cycling routes pass through PUDO areas, bus loops, and vehicle turning areas;
- Inconveniently located bicycle parking facilities that are not close to the station entrance or adjacent to connecting bikeways;
- Limited availability of bicycle parking at the end destination, as well as peak period restrictions on bringing bicycles aboard GO trains (due to capacity limitations), prevent riders from continuing their journey from their alighting station; and
- Cold and inclement weather, which is a deterrent for some cyclists.

The following are important considerations to support cycling access. See the Metrolinx Design Standards and Requirements for more detail.

## C-2.4.1 On-Site

Connectivity

1. Provide safe, direct, and continuous bikeways leading from local streets and pathways to on-site bike parking locations. Routes must be step-free, avoid significant grade changes, and be supported by marked crossings, signage, and dedicated signals.
2. Align station bikeways with local cycling routes and community trails to support seamless connections.
3. Provide dedicated bikeways to minimize conflicts between pedestrians and cyclists. Where dedicated bikeways are not possible, implement multi-use paths leading to covered and secure bicycle parking.
4. Support the integration of bike share service by preserving space for facilities in station upgrades.

## Amenities

5. Provide secure and covered bicycle parking at stations with a split of one-third secure of existing and new supply and two-thirds covered.
6. Locate secure and covered bicycle parking areas in highly visible locations in the vicinity of platform access points. Comfortable, direct, and continuous walking routes must connect bike storage locations to platforms and other access modes.
7. Provide year-round maintenance of bikeways, including snow clearance, garbage removal, and surface repairs, to ensure they remain safe and attractive throughout the year.
8. Provide additional bicycle amenities (e.g., bike repair stands and pumps) at stations with higher volumes of cycling activity or that connect to regional cycling routes.

## C-2.4.2 Off-Site

## Connectivity

1. Create safe and direct cycling routes to GO stations from major destinations and regional cycling networks. Opportunities to bridge the gap between on-site and off-site bikeways through trail connections, bikeways, and improved crossings must be prioritized and coordinated between Metrolinx and municipalities.
2. Create cycling communities with a comprehensive and connected network of safe cycling routes comprised of quiet neighbourhood streets, off-street bikeways, and separated bike lanes.
3. Build or retrofit a network of complete streets leading to and from GO stations to create a balance between the movement of pedestrians, cyclists, transit, and vehicles.
4. Integrate shared bicycle parking and opportunities for bike share services in new developments.
5. Integrate bike share facilities at and around GO stations with complementary facilities at key destinations within a convenient, rideable distance of the GO station.

## Wayfinding

6. Provide wayfinding and signage that facilitates the efficient navigation of the transit station area and improves access to the station from local cycling networks.

Open data platforms can be a useful tool for providing an inventory of cycling routes and amenities that can be integrated as a resource into a variety of digital tools and cyclingsupportive applications.

## C-2.5 Pick-Up and Drop-Off

Passenger pick-up and drop-off (PUDO) is becoming an increasingly important mode of access for GO customers due to more frequent GO services and the growing customer use of ride-sharing services. However, where dedicated PUDO space is not provided, customers may be dropped off in unsafe locations.

In 2019, PUDO accounted for approximately $17 \%$ of GO Rail passenger access trips. PUDO typically serves passengers travelling to and from distances that are too long for walking or cycling to the station, and from areas without convenient transit access. While PUDO can contribute to station area congestion, investment in PUDO facilities can reduce the demand for parking and related land requirements.

Despite the strong demand for improved and expanded PUDO access, its growth is hindered by:

- An absence of PUDO facilities at some stations where demand exists;
- Capacity constraints at stations with existing PUDO facilities due to high ridership and more frequent GO Rail service;
- Facility design and layout that limits operating efficiency and comfort for PUDO users;
- Increased demand for and conflict with ODT services; and
- Conflicts with drive-and-park users, resulting in increased travel time.

PUDO facilities are the preferred station location for private vehicles, ride-
sharing, and ODT where municipalities use smaller vehicles that are not safe to mix with bus traffic. The use of ridesharing is growing significantly in terms of mode share and the availability of services across the GO Rail network. The growth of ride-sharing is expected to continue and will place increased demand on PUDO facilities at GO stations.

Over time, it will be critical to design PUDO facilities to be responsive to the anticipated growth of technologyenabled mobility options, including ride-sharing and ODT.

The following are important considerations to support PUDO access.

## C-2.5.1 On-Site

## Configuration

1. The Metrolinx Design Standards and

Requirements identify the preferred PUDO configuration based on future ridership, service patterns, and local context. The preferred PUDO for each GO Rail station is provided in Section 2.2.

## Connectivity

2. Prioritize PUDO facility access to and from the local road network over drive-and-park traffic.
3. Clearly demarcate PUDO areas at station entrances and preserve clear sightlines to PUDO facilities.
4. Locate PUDO facilities on the shortest possible accessible route to the station building and platform entrance, while following the Hierarchy of Access.
5. Locate loading areas to facilitate safe and convenient access to the station building, passenger waiting area, rail platforms, and bus platforms.
6. Provide PUDO facilities at stations to increase accessiblility for customers with disabilities, as well as customers arriving by private vehicle or ride-share.

## Comfort

7. PUDO facilities need to have one-way traffic flow with the opportunity for recirculation to reduce vehicular conflicts and maximize traffic flow efficiency.
8. PUDO facilities must be designed and located to avoid conflicts with other modes.
9. Pedestrian movements to and from the PUDO facility to the station building or rail platforms must be aligned parallel to traffic flow to limit pedestrian and vehicle conflict.
10. The PUDO facility needs to be visible from enclosed passenger waiting areas.

## C-2.5.2 Off-Site

## Development

1. Explore the potential to integrate PUDO at adjacent developments to increase convenience for users and dilute the flow of traffic to and from the station.

## C-2.6 Drive-and-Park and Carpool

Metrolinx's focus is on supporting ridership growth by reducing the predominance of drive-and-park as the primary station access mode, and by improving options (over time) for customers to get to GO stations by walking, transit, cycling, and PUDO.

Drive-and-park continues to be the single most prevalent mode of station access across the GO Rail network, in part as a result of legacy conditions that have prioritized parking facilities and subsidies that have incentivized driving over other modes. However, while the 2019 Rail Passenger Survey reported that about 45\% of passengers accessed the stations by car and used over 72,000 parking spaces operated by Metrolinx, these numbers have dropped significantly since 2015 and are expected to continue dropping, with a forecasted drive-andpark mode share of $32 \%$ by 2041.

Given the high cost and liability considerations associated with structured parking, the extensive land area required for surface parking, the impacts of parking on creating transit-supportive places, and the limited capacity of surrounding local road networks, unrestricted parking expansion is not a viable or sustainable option.

Challenges include:

- Parking demand management and rightsizing of facilities must be considered in ridership recovery strategies following the COVID-19 pandemic. In the recovery phase and over the long term, there is the risk that an oversupply of parking will induce greater parking demand, which will require monitoring.
- Increased use of car-sharing and technology-enabled mobility services, which dynamically match commuters travelling to similar destinations, may increase demand for parking.
- There is a current lack of technology and a need for new technologies to improve the booking process for parking reservations and provide real-time information on the availability of parking.
- There is a misalignment between existing reserved parking products and customer travel behaviours, with the need for new products that provide greater flexibility and better utilize the parking supply.

The following are important considerations to optimize available parking to enhance safety and usability, while integrating parking within Mixed Modal stations.

## C-2.6.1 On-Site

## Connectivity

1. Provide a complete system of vehicular roads and access points that promote efficient circulation and maintain fluid access to and from local streets.
2. Separate parking access points and drive aisles from connecting transit services entering and exiting the station, where possible.

## Layout

3. Organize drive aisles and parking areas to minimize vehicle conflicts with pedestrians and cyclists by reducing the number of crossing points with pedestrian and cycling routes.
4. Organize large surface parking areas into smaller lots to manage traffic flow, facilitate better site navigation, and provide safe pedestrian walking routes from parking spaces to the rail platform.
5. Divide large lots using clear, simply-paved paths that connect to main pathways.
6. Protect for future intensification or development opportunities through the layout of lots, drive aisles, and utilities.
7. Orient and design new structured parking to protect for future development opportunities and consider long-term plans at and around stations.

## Provision

8. The target number of general parking and reserved parking spaces for each GO station is provided in Section 2.2, based on future ridership and local context.
9. Develop and integrate cost-effective mechanisms to monitor parking utilization, to assess parking demand and the need for demand management programs.
10. Explore the use of modular or alternative parking solutions, such as co-located and shared or leased parking solutions to provide flexibility and minimize costs. Modular parking locations are provided in Section 2.2.

## Comfort

11. Use rehabilitation of existing parking areas as an opportunity to incorporate improved landscaping to enhance the customer experience.
12. Design drive aisles to passively encourage speed reduction.

> Targeted parking expansion will prioritize surface parking over the development of structured parking due to the relatively high cost and inflexible nature of parking structures. Metrolinx's market driven parking strategy will outline when structured parking may be appropriate.

## C-2.6.2 Off-Site

## Efficiency

1. Minimize surface parking areas, integrate parking within development, and orient and design any approved new structured parking structures.
2. Develop TDM plans for station areas and integrate station-specific travel plans into the planning approvals process for new developments.
3. Develop short- and long-term area-wide parking strategies with minimum and maximum parking standards and shared use parking practices.


## Supplement D: Implementation

This supplement provides the Station Access Implementation Strategy, the decision-making framework for Metrolinx's on-site improvements, the approach to community consultation, and how Metrolinx will measure progress on the implementation of GO Rail Station Access.

The implementation of access enhancements will be:

1. Incremental and occur over time as resources and opportunities allow;
2. Delivered through the coordinated efforts of Metrolinx divisions and external partners in their respective roles and capacities;
3. Coordinated with other GO Rail station infrastructure improvements; and
4. Responsive to the timing of service expansion and ridership growth trends in the post-pandemic transformation phase and over the document's 20-year horizon.


## D-1 Requirements, Phasing, and Delivery Opportunities

Implementation of GO Rail Station Access requires consideration of two key components:

1. Station-specific requirements; and
2. Delivery opportunities.
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## D-1.1 Station-Specific Requirements

This document provides each station's on-site access requirements, including for walking, transit, cycling, PUDO, and parking modes (Section 2.2). The station-specific requirements reflect Metrolinx's current station access strategies, policy directions, service plans, and ridership forecasts, as well as information provided by municipalities on local plans for GO station areas.

## D-1.2 Phasing

The GO Rail Station Access horizon aligns with the Greater Golden Horseshoe Model (the regional transportation forecasting tool), the GO Expansion Full Business Case, and the 2041 Regional Transportation Plan.

Metrolinx will determine the phasing of onsite requirements as part of broader capital and operations planning for GO stations, and alongside other station requirements and priorities such as accessibility, communications, fare payment, maintenance, and value for money. Phasing will also be determined by observed and forecast ridership growth over the 20-year horizon.

Third parties such as municipalities, municipal service providers, private developers, and businesses will determine phasing of off-site requirements as part of their respective project and service planning initiatives.

## D-1.3 Delivery Opportunities

Responsibilities for implementing the station access requirements will be shared by Metrolinx and with third parties.

On-site requirements are station access infrastructure and programs located on lands owned or leased by Metrolinx. This includes non-Metrolinx lands abutting the station site where station access infrastructure is provided.

Requirements for these facilities are established by Metrolinx, and Metrolinx has defined roles for the delivery and maintenance of the infrastructure and the programs. Metrolinx's onsite implementation will involve:

- The Planning and Development business unit, to determine station access requirements, phasing timeframes, mechanisms, and funding.
- The Capital Projects Group, TransitOriented Communities, Operations, and Marketing business units, to determine delivery schedules and implement on-site station access infrastructure, programs, and maintenance.

Off-site opportunities are station access infrastructure and programs located away from the station sites and off Metrolinx-owned or leased station lands. For off-site station access improvements, municipalities and municipal service providers are responsible for establishing requirements and providing improvements to the transportation networks, lands, density, and transit services. Third-party off-site implementation will involve:

- Municipalities, to support the delivery of station access improvements through planning and area-related capital works and maintenance initiatives.
- Municipal service providers, to support station access through the delivery and operations of connecting transit services.
- Private developers, to support the delivery of off-site improvements through the layout and design of adjacent developments and the provision of complementary facilities, such as bike share stations, connecting walkways, and spaces for informal pick-up and drop-off activity.


# D-2 Decision-Making Framework for OnSite Station Access Improvements 

Station access improvements are one component of the planning and design of GO Rail stations. Decisions about the location of priority improvements are considered alongside other on-site requirements and priorities.

The decision-making framework, provided in Table 4, is a suggested screening tool that may be used to inform decision-making on station access improvements within Metrolinx's business case and benefits management framework.

While the Hierarchy of Access informs the prioritization of station-specific requirements, Metrolinx's business units can use this suggested framework to inform priorities at a corridor and system-wide level, including:

- Station site planning exercises across the network (by Planning and Development);
- Capital investment (through Transit-Oriented Community solicitations or Metrolinx-led procurements led by the Capital Projects Group and Sponsor Office); and
- Program delivery (by Operations and Marketing).

| Criteria* | Measure | Business Case Lens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City building and economic development | - Station located at or in proximity to (Y/N): <br> - An urban growth centre <br> - A provincially significant employment zone <br> - Other major trip generators <br> - Supportive MSP and transit-supportive planning and designations by municipality (Y/N) | Strategic |
| Equity** | - Improves station access for racialized and equity-seeking communities (Y/N) <br> - Improves regional transportation connectivity for racialized and equity-seeking communities ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) | Strategic |
| Station transit function | - Service level (all-day, two-way service) (Y/N) <br> - Ridership <br> - Interchange station connected to Frequent Rapid Transit Network or major bus terminal (10+ bus bays) (Y/N) | Strategic <br> Economic <br> Financial |
| Access characteristics | - GO Rail Station Access implementation progress <br> - Opportunities to coordinate access improvements across the corridor (Y/N) <br> - Mode share <br> - Connectivity Assessment Tool scores <br> - Transit Connectivity scores <br> - Customer willingness to walk or bike survey (\%) <br> - Percentage of customers living within 1 km and within 3 km of the GO station <br> - Safety concerns (Y: high, medium, low concern/N) | Strategic <br> Economic |
| Deliverability | - Land available for station upgrades and expansion (Y/N) <br> - Land values (TOC opportunities) <br> - Barriers (Y: major, minor/N) <br> - Complexity and cost of infrastructure requirements (Y: High, medium, low/N) <br> - Interface with other planned procurements and construction projections (Y/N) | Financial <br> Deliverability |

[^3]Table 4 Station Access Decision-Making Framework

## D-3 Defining and Monitoring Success for Station Access

## D-3.1 Considerations for Monitoring Key Performance Indicators

Monitoring key performance indicators (KPIs) is important for fostering accountability for GO Rail Station Access implementation by Metrolinx and third parties, as well as for celebrating successes and identifying where alternate strategies or further resources are needed to achieve
this document's objectives over time. Table 5 suggests KPls for monitoring implementation.

Metrolinx will conduct a full review of this document within five years of its publication.

| Indicator | Measure | Frequency | Business Unit Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station Access Infrastructure Delivery Progress | Percentage of completion of new on-site station access infrastructure by mode, facility type, station and corridor. | Annual | - CPG Reporting <br> - Operations |
| Station Access Program Delivery Progress | Qualitative reporting on implementation progress for key station access programs. | Annual | - Stations Planning <br> - Station Services <br> - Marketing |
| Ridership Growth | Year-over-year total daily ridership by station. | Annual | - Customer Analytics |
| Mode Shift* | Mode share by station (as reported in the GO Rail Passenger Survey every 2 years). | Bi-annual | - Market Research |
| Equity in Station Access | Impact of investment in station access improvements on reducing barriers for racialized and equityseeking communities to access GO Rail stations (measure to be developed). <br> Qualitative report on consultation with racialized and equity-seeking communities on station access projects and plans, noting the number of formal meetings or other outreach mechanisms, key messages, and outcomes. | Annual | - Stations Planning <br> - Planning, Development and Sponsor Office |
| Consultation on Station Access | Qualitative report on engagement and outreach with municipal staff, noting the number of formal meetings, key messages on station access by the municipality, and highlights of off-site station access projects. | Annual | - Stations Planning <br> - Municipal Sponsor <br> - Community Relations |

* The Sustainability Strategy (2022-2026) will also include a KPI for mode shift.

Table 5 Station Access Decision-Making Framework: Key Performance Indicators

## D-3.2 Monitoring Impacts on Racialized and Equity-Seeking Communities

Metrolinx recognizes that not all communities are equitably served by the transit network.

Metrolinx is undertaking research to identify new indicators for measuring equity, including the impact of station access improvements on reducing barriers to the GO Rail network for racialized and equity-seeking communities. Metrolinx continues to explore and implement best practices and monitor provincial directions for addressing equity and anti-racism in transit project planning and delivery.

## D-3.3 Reporting

Progress updates on the implementation of this document and associated station access objectives and outcomes will be coordinated by Metrolinx's Planning and Development unit, with contributions from applicable business unit owners from the organization noted in Table 5. Regular reporting will be provided to Metrolinx's Senior Management Team, including:

- Bi-annual reporting on mode shift; and
- Annual reporting on:
- Infrastructure planning and delivery;
- Program development and delivery;
- Municipal engagement and plans;
- Equity indicator(s); and
- Trends in ridership recovery and travel behaviours and their impact on station access during the post-pandemic transformation phase (2022-2026).

Progress reporting will be used to inform and adjust strategies and resource allocations over time to achieve the objectives of this document.

Monitoring trends during the postpandemic transformation phase

Metrolinx will continue monitoring ridership recovery and transformation, as well as key trends that may affect station access facility planning. This involves considering peak spread, telework, location shifts for work and home, and mode preferences. In the short term (2022-2026), an annual review of relevant and available data that is collected and reported by Metrolinx and third parties during the post-COVID-19 pandemic transformation will be undertaken.
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